

MAXIMAL COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OVER NON-ISOLATED SURFACE SINGULARITIES

IGOR BURBAN AND YURIY DROZD

Dedicated to Claus Ringel on the occasion of his 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. In this paper we develop a new method to deal with Cohen-Macaulay modules over non-isolated surface singularities. In particular, we give a negative answer on an old question of Schreyer about surface singularities with only countably many indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules. Next, we show that the degenerate cusp singularities have tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Our approach is illustrated on the case of the rings $k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 - xyz)$, $k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz)$ and $k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xy, uv)$. This study of Cohen-Macaulay modules over non-isolated singularities leads to a new class of problems of linear algebra, which we call representations of decorated bunches of chains. We prove that these matrix problems have tame representation type and describe the underlying canonical forms.

1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND HISTORICAL REMARKS

In this article we essentially deal with the following question. Let $f \in (x, y, z)^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]] =: S$ be a polynomial. How to describe all pairs of matrices $(\varphi, \psi) \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(S)$ such that $\varphi \cdot \psi = \psi \cdot \varphi = f \cdot \mathbb{1}_n$? Such a pair of matrices (φ, ψ) is also called *matrix factorization* of f . One of the earliest examples of this kind, dating back to Dirac, is the following formula for a “square root” of the Laplace operator:

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right] \mathbb{1} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2.$$

Equivalently, the pair (φ, φ) is a matrix factorization of the polynomial $f = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} x & y - iz \\ y + iz & -x \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can show (see, for example [43, Chapter 11]) that up to a certain natural equivalence relation, the pair (φ, φ) is the *only* non-trivial matrix factorization of f . This fact was probably known already to Dirac. One of results of our paper is a complete classification of all matrix factorizations of the polynomial $f = xyz$.

The interest to a study of matrix factorizations of more general polynomials grew up considerably in recent years after Kapustin and Li [28] discovered their applications to the topological quantum field theories. On the other hand, the question of classification of all matrix factorizations of a polynomial f is equivalent to the problem of describing of all *maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules* over the hypersurface singularity $A = S/f$. The latter problem can be posed in a much broader context of local Noetherian rings or even in the non-commutative set-up of orders over a local Noetherian rings. The theory of Cohen-Macaulay modules over orders dates back to the beginning of the twenties century and has its origin in the theory of integral representations of finite groups.

In this article we focus on the study of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over *surface* singularities. In this case the theory of Cohen-Macaulay modules is particularly rich and interesting. In a certain sense (which can be rigorously formulated) it is parallel to the theory of vector bundles on projective curves. Following this analogy, the *normal* surface singularities correspond to *smooth* projective curves. One of the beautiful applications of this theory is a conceptual explanation of the *McKay correspondence* for the finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, see [1, 3, 43, 9].

The main objective of this article is to study representation-theoretic properties of the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over a surface singularity. It is well-known that in the case of a *simple* hypersurface singularity $x^2 + y^{n+1} + z^2, n \geq 1$ (type A_n), $x^2y + y^{n-1} + z^2, n \geq 4$ (type D_n) and $x^3 + y^4 + z^2, x^3 + xy^3 + z^2$ and $x^3 + y^5 + z^2$ (types E_6, E_7 and E_8) there are only finitely many indecomposable matrix factorizations.

It was shown by Buchweitz, Greuel and Schreyer [8] that two limiting *non-isolated* hypersurface singularities A_∞ and D_∞ given by the equations $xy + z^2$ and $x^2y + z^2$ respectively, have only countably many indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules. In other words, A_∞ and D_∞ have *discrete* (or *countable*) Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Moreover, in [8] it was shown that the simple hypersurface singularities are exactly the hypersurface singularities of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type and A_∞ and D_∞ are the only hypersurface singularities of discrete Cohen-Macaulay representation type.

Going in another direction, in works of Kahn [27], Dieterich [12], Drozd, Greuel and Kashuba [17] it was shown that the *minimally elliptic* hypersurface singularities

$$T_{p,q,r}(\lambda) = x^p + y^q + z^r + \lambda xyz,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ have *tame* Cohen-Macaulay representation type. In the case $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ the singularity $T_{p,q,r}(\lambda)$ is quasi-homogeneous and called *simply elliptic*. For $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$ it is a *cuspidal singularity*, in this case one can without a loss of generality assume $\lambda = 1$. In the approach of Kahn [27], a description of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over the simply elliptic singularities reduces to the study of vector bundles on elliptic curves, whereas in the case of the cuspidal singularities [17] it boils down to a classification of vector bundles on the Kodaira cycles of projective lines. In both cases the complete classification of the indecomposable vector bundles is known: see [2] for the case of elliptic curves and [16, 5] for the case of Kodaira cycles. The method of Dieterich [12] is based on the technique of representation theory of finite dimensional algebras and can be applied only for some simple elliptic singularities. Unfortunately, all these techniques do not lead to an explicit description of the indecomposable matrix factorizations.

Our article grew up from an attempt to answer the following questions:

- (1) Let A be a non-isolated Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity of discrete Cohen-Macaulay representation type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Is it true that $A \cong B^G$, where B is a singularity of type A_∞ or D_∞ and G is a finite group of automorphisms of B ?
- (2) Can a non-isolated surface singularity have tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type?

The first question was posed for the first time in 1987 by Schreyer [35]. In this article we show that the answer on it is *negative*. For example, the ring

$$A = k[[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z]]/I, \text{ where } I = \langle x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_2y_2, x_1z - x_2^n, y_1z - y_2^m \rangle$$

has discrete Cohen-Macaulay representation type. In the case $n = m$ this ring is isomorphic to a ring of invariants of A_∞ but for $n \neq m$ is not.

Contrarily to many expectations, the answer on the second question is *positive*. For example, the ordinary triple point $T_{\infty\infty\infty} = k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz)$ turns out to be of tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. This is very surprising from the following reason. The category of Cohen-Macaulay modules over a simple elliptic singularity $T_{p,q,r}(\lambda)$ is tame of polynomial growth. The cusp singularities $T_{p,q,r}$ are tame of exponential growth. In the approach of Kahn, one reduces first the classification problem to a description of vector bundles on Kodaira cycles on projective lines. The latter problem can be reduced to a well-known problem of linear algebra of type “*representations of bunches of chains*” [6]. This class of matrix problems was believed to be the most general one among the tame ones having exponential growth. The singularity $T_{\infty\infty\infty}$ is the natural limit of the entire family of all $T_{p,q,r}(\lambda)$ singularities. The tameness of the underlying classification problem would suggest that it has to be of the type, which goes beyond representations of bunches of chains. But no problems of such type have been known before in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras!

In this paper, we have discovered a new class of tame matrix problem of exponential growth called “representations of *decorated* bunches of chains”. As an application we show that a wide class of non-isolated Gorenstein surface singularities called *degenerate cusps* [37, 38] is of tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. As the name suggests, these singularities are natural limits of families of cusp singularities. Examples of degenerate cusps are the already mentioned singularity $T_{\infty\infty\infty}$, its analogue of the embedding dimension four $T_{\infty\infty\infty\infty} = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy, zw)$ as well as their deformations $T_{p\infty\infty} = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^p + xyz)$, $T_{pq\infty} = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^p + y^q + xyz)$, $T_{p\infty\infty\infty} = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy, x^p - zw)$, $T_{pq\infty\infty} = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xy, x^p + y^q - zw)$ and $T_{p\infty q\infty} = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(x^p - zw, z^q - xy)$. It is plausible that this is actually the *complete list* of non-isolated surface singularities of tame Cohen-Macaulay type, which are *complete intersections*.

It is interesting to observe, that a description of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over degenerate cusps turns out to be much more accessible than in the case of minimally elliptic and cusp singularities. In particular, after some efforts one can obtain a description of indecomposable maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules in terms of their minimal free resolutions. We illustrate our method on the rings $k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 - xyz)$, $k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz)$ and $k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xy, uv)$.

Using the periodicity of Knörrer [30] one can also conclude that the non-reduced curve singularities $k[[x, y]]/(xy)^2$ and $k[[x, y]]/(x^2y^2 - x^p)$, $p \geq 3$ are Cohen-Macaulay tame. These results are also new, since the technique developed in [29, 24, 14] does not apply for non-reduced singularities.

Acknowledgement. Parts of this work were done during the stay of both authors at Max Planck Institute für Mathematik in Bonn and the stay of the first-named author at the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz. The research of the first-named author was supported by the DFG project Bu-1866/1-2 and the research of the second-named author was supported by the INTAS grant 06-1000017-9093. The first-named author would like to thank Duco van Straten for helpful discussions of the results of this article.

2. GENERALITIES ON COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OVER SURFACE SINGULARITIES

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ its residue field and $d = \text{kr. dim}(A)$ its Krull dimension. Throughout the paper $A\text{-mod}$ denotes the category of Noetherian (i.e. finitely generated) A -modules, whereas $A\text{-Mod}$ stands for the category of all A -modules.

Definition 2.1. A Noetherian A -module M is called *maximal Cohen-Macaulay* if $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, M) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < d$. In what follows, we simply call such modules Cohen-Macaulay.

In this article we study the case of Noetherian rings of Krull dimension two, also called surface singularities. This case is very special because of the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a surface singularity, N be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module and M a Noetherian A -module. Then the A -module $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. From a free presentation $A^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} A^m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of M we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \rightarrow N^m \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} N^n \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{depth}_A(N) = 2$, applying the Depth Lemma twice we obtain:

$$\text{depth}_A(\text{Hom}_A(M, N)) \geq 2.$$

Hence, the module $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a surface singularity. Then we have:*

- (1) *The ring A is normal (i.e. it is a domain, which is integrally closed in its field of fractions) if and only if it is Cohen-Macaulay and isolated.*
- (2) *Assume A to be Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein in codimension one (e.g. A is normal) and M be a Noetherian A -module. Then M is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is reflexive.*

This theorem is a classical result of Serre [36], see also [9, Proposition 3.7]. $\square$

The following theorem underlines features of Cohen-Macaulay modules which occur only in the case of the surface singularities.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity with a canonical module K . Then we have:*

- (1) *The canonical embedding functor $\text{CM}(A) \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ has a left adjoint functor $M \mapsto M^\dagger := M^{\vee\vee} = \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_A(M, K), K)$. In other words, for an arbitrary Noetherian module M and Cohen-Macaulay module N the map δ induces an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_A(M^\dagger, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. The constructed functor $\dagger$ will be called Macaulafication functor.*
- (2) *Moreover, for any Noetherian A -module M the following sequence is exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{tor}(M) \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\delta} M^\dagger \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{tor}(M) = \ker(M \rightarrow Q \otimes_A M)$ is the torsion part of M and T is some A -module of finite length.

- (3) *Moreover, if A is Gorenstein in codimension one, then for any Noetherian A -module M there exists a natural isomorphism $M^\dagger \cong M^{**}$, where $*$ = $\text{Hom}_A(-, A)$.*

For a proof of the above statements, see for example Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.7 of our survey article [9]. $\square$

The following lemma is a useful tool to compute the Macaulayfication of a given Noetherian module.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a Noetherian A -module, which is a submodule of an A -module X . Let $x \in X \setminus M$ be such that $\mathfrak{m}^t x \in M$ for some $t \geq 1$. Then we have: $M^\dagger \cong \langle M, x \rangle^\dagger$, where $\langle M, x \rangle$ is the A -submodule of X generated by M and x .*

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} \langle M, x \rangle \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$. From the assumptions of Lemma it follows that T is a finite length module. In particular, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ the map $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism. By the functoriality of Macaulayfication we conclude that the morphism $\iota^\dagger : M^\dagger \rightarrow \langle M, x \rangle^\dagger$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. By [9, Lemma 3.6], the morphism $\iota^\dagger$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Let us additionally assume our Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity A to be Henselian and $A \subseteq B$ to be a finite ring extension. Then the ring B is semi-local. Moreover, $B \cong (B_1, \mathfrak{n}_1) \times (B_2, \mathfrak{n}_2) \times \cdots \times (B_t, \mathfrak{n}_t)$, where all $(B_i, \mathfrak{n}_i)$ are local. Assume all the rings B_i are Cohen-Macaulay.

Proposition 2.6. *The functor $B \boxtimes_A - : \mathbf{CM}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{CM}(B)$ mapping a Cohen-Macaulay module M to $B \boxtimes_A M := (B \otimes_A M)^\dagger$ is left adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{CM}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{CM}(A)$. In other words, for any Cohen-Macaulay A -module M and a Cohen-Macaulay B -module N we have: $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B \boxtimes_A M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$.*

Assume additionally A and B to be both reduced. Then for any Noetherian B -module M there exist a natural isomorphism $M^{\dagger A} \cong M^{\dagger B}$ in the category of A -modules.

For a proof see for example [9, Proposition 3.18]. $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced Noetherian ring of Krull dimension one with a canonical module K . Then for any Noetherian A -module M we have a functorial isomorphism: $M^{\vee\vee} \cong M/\mathrm{tor}(M)$, where $\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_A(-, K)$.*

Proof. From the canonical short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{tor}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\mathrm{tor}(M) \rightarrow 0$ we get the isomorphism $(M/\mathrm{tor}(M))^\vee \rightarrow M^\vee$. Since $M/\mathrm{tor}(M)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay A -module and $\vee$ is a dualizing functor, we get two natural isomorphisms

$$M/\mathrm{tor}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} (M/\mathrm{tor}(M))^{\vee\vee} \xleftarrow{\cong} M^{\vee\vee},$$

being a part of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M/\mathrm{tor}(M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^{\vee\vee} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (M/\mathrm{tor}(M))^{\vee\vee}, \end{array}$$

in which all the morphisms are canonical. This yields the claim. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity with a canonical module K and $A \subseteq R$ be its normalization. Then for any Noetherian A -module M and any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ such that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(R \boxtimes_A M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathrm{tor}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Proof. Note $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a reduced Cohen-Macaulay ring of Krull dimension one, $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical module of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the normalization of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence, this corollary is a consequence of Lemma 2.7. $\square$

3. MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced complete (or analytic) Cohen-Macaulay ring of Krull dimension two, which is not an isolated singularity, and let R be its normalization. It is well-known that R is again complete (resp. analytic) and the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite, see [25] or [11]. Moreover, the ring R is isomorphic to the product of a finite number of normal local rings:

$$R \cong (R_1, \mathfrak{n}_1) \times (R_1, \mathfrak{n}_1) \times \cdots \times (R_t, \mathfrak{n}_t).$$

Note that all rings R_i are automatically Cohen-Macaulay, see Theorem 2.3.

Let $I = \text{ann}(R/A)$ be the *conductor ideal*. It is easy to see that I is also an ideal in R . Denote $\bar{A} = A/I$ and $\bar{R} = R/I$.

Lemma 3.1. *In the notations as above we have.*

- (1) *The ideal I is Cohen-Macaulay both as A - and R -module.*
- (2) *The rings $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{R}$ are Cohen-Macaulay of Krull dimension one.*
- (3) *The inclusion $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ induces the injective homomorphism of rings of fractions $Q(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$. Moreover, the canonical morphism $\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First note that $I \cong \text{Hom}_A(R, A)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, the ideal I is Cohen-Macaulay, viewed as A -module. Since the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite, I is also Cohen-Macaulay as a module over R .

Next, the closed subscheme $V(I) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$ is exactly the non-normal locus of A . If A is normal then $A = R$ and there is nothing to prove. If A is not normal, then $\text{kr. dim}(V(I)) \geq 1$. Indeed, by Theorem 2.3, an isolated two-dimensional surface singularity which is not normal, can not be Cohen-Macaulay. Since A is reduced, we have: $\text{kr. dim}(V(I)) = 1$. In particular, $\text{kr. dim}(\bar{A}) = 1 = \text{kr. dim}(\bar{R})$. Applying Depth Lemma to the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \bar{A} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \bar{R} \longrightarrow 0$$

we conclude that $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{R}$ are Cohen-Macaulay (but not necessarily reduced).

Let $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$ be a non-zero divisor. Since $\bar{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module, $\bar{a}$ is a non-zero divisor in $\bar{R}$, too. Hence, we obtain a well-defined injective morphism of rings $Q(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$.

Finally, consider the canonical ring homomorphism $\gamma : \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$, mapping a simple tensor $\bar{r} \otimes \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}$ to $\frac{\bar{r}\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}$. Since any element of $\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A})$ has the form $\bar{r} \otimes \frac{\bar{1}}{\bar{b}}$ for some $\bar{r} \in \bar{R}$ and $\bar{b} \in \bar{A}$, it is easy to see γ is injective. Next, consider the canonical ring homomorphism $\bar{R} \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A})$. It is easy to see that $\bar{r} \otimes \bar{1}$ is a non-zero divisor in $\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A})$ provided $\bar{r}$ is a non-zero divisor in $\bar{R}$. Since $\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A})$ is a finite ring extension of $Q(\bar{A})$, it is Noetherian of Krull dimension zero. In particular, any non-zero divisor in this ring is invertible. From the universal property of localization we obtain a ring homomorphism $Q(\bar{R}) \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} Q(\bar{A})$, which is inverse to γ . $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module. Then we have:*

- The canonical morphism of $Q(\bar{R})$ -modules

$$\theta_M : Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} (Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_A M) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R (R \otimes_A M) \longrightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R (R \boxtimes_A M)$$

is an epimorphism.

- The canonical morphism of $Q(\bar{A})$ -modules

$$\tilde{\theta}_M : Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_A M \rightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\theta_M} Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R (R \boxtimes_A M)$$

is a monomorphism.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, the cokernel of the canonical morphism $R \otimes_A M \rightarrow R \boxtimes_A M$ has finite length. Hence, it vanishes after tensoring with $Q(\bar{R})$ and θ_M is an epimorphism. The first statement of lemma is proven.

Denote by $\widetilde{M}' := R \otimes_A M / \text{tor}_R(R \otimes_A M)$ and $\widetilde{M} := \widetilde{M}'^\dagger$. First note that the canonical morphism of A -modules $M \xrightarrow{\kappa} \widetilde{M}'$, $m \mapsto [1 \otimes m]$ is a monomorphism. As a result, the morphism $IM \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} I\widetilde{M}'$, which is a restriction of κ , is also injective. Moreover, $\bar{\kappa}$ is also surjective: for any $a \in I, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ we have: $a \cdot [b \otimes m] = [ab \otimes m] = [1 \otimes (ab) \cdot m]$ and $ab \in I$.

Since the module $\widetilde{M}'$ is torsion free, by Theorem 2.4 we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}' \xrightarrow{\xi} \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0,$$

where T is a module of finite length. It implies that the cokernel of the induced map $I\widetilde{M}' \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} I\widetilde{M}$ has finite length as well. Let $M \xrightarrow{j} \widetilde{M}$ be the composition of κ and ξ and $IM \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} I\widetilde{M}$ be the induced map. Then we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & IM & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \bar{A} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \bar{j} \downarrow & & j \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since j is injective and the cokernel $\bar{j}$ is of finite length, the snake lemma implies that $\ker(\eta)$ is of finite length. Since $Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} -$ is an exact functor, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \ker(\eta) \longrightarrow Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{A} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \eta} Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}.$$

It remains to take into account that $Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \ker(\eta) = 0$, $Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{R} = Q(\bar{R})$ and $1 \otimes \eta$ coincides with the morphism $\tilde{\theta}_M$. $\square$

Definition 3.3. In the notations of this section, consider the following *category of triples* $\text{Tri}(A)$. Its objects are triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module, V is a Noetherian $Q(\bar{A})$ -module and $\theta : Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V \rightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ is an epimorphism of $Q(\bar{R})$ -modules such that the induced morphism of $Q(\bar{A})$ -modules

$$V \longrightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V \xrightarrow{\theta} Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$$

is a monomorphism. In what follows, θ will be frequently called *gluing map*.

A morphism between two triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ and $(\widetilde{M}', V', \theta')$ is given by a pair (φ, ψ) , where $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ is a morphism of R -modules and $\psi : V \rightarrow V'$ is a morphism of

$Q(\bar{A})$ -modules such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V & \xrightarrow{\theta} & Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes \psi \\ Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M}' \end{array}$$

is commutative in the category of $Q(\bar{R})$ -modules.

Remark 3.4. Note that we have a pair of functors

$$(2) \quad \text{CM}(R) \xrightarrow{\bar{R} \otimes_R -} Q(\bar{R})\text{-mod} \xleftarrow{Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} -} Q(\bar{A})\text{-mod}.$$

The category $\text{Tri}(A)$ is a full subcategory of the comma-category defined by (2).

This definition is motivated by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ a reduced complete Cohen-Macaulay ring which is a non-isolated singularity. Then in the notations of Definition 3.3 the functor*

$$\mathbb{F} : \text{CM}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Tri}(A), \quad M \mapsto \mathbb{F}(M) := (R \boxtimes_A M, Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_A M, \theta_M),$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Lemma 3.2 insures the functor $\mathbb{F}$ is well-defined. The proof of this theorem as well as the construction of a quasi-inverse functor $\mathbb{G}$ will be given in the next section.

Now we shall investigate the compatibility of the functor $\mathbb{F}$ with localizations with respect to the prime ideals of height 1.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $a(I) := \text{ass}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t\}$ be the associator of the conductor ideal $I \subseteq A$. Then we have:*

- (1) *for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i$ has height one;*
- (2) *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $(R/A)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin a(I)$;*
- (3) *Let $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_i$ be the image of $\mathfrak{p}_i$ in the ring $\bar{A}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then*

$$Q(\bar{A}) \cong \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \dots \times \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t} \text{ and } Q(\bar{R}) \cong \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \dots \times \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t}.$$

- (4) *Moreover, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$ the ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the normalization of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the conductor ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $Q(\bar{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $Q(\bar{R})_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the ideal I is maximal Cohen-Macaulay over A . Hence, it is equidimensional: all its associated prime ideals have the same height, which is automatically equal to one. This proves the first statement.

Next, it is well-known that $a(I)$ coincides with the set of minimal elements of $\text{Supp}(\bar{A})$, see [36]. Hence, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ we have: $\bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$. Since the ring extension $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{R}$ is finite, $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$. This proves the second statement.

The ring $\bar{A}$ is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay ring and the set of its minimal prime ideals is $a(0) = \{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t\}$. Hence, we have: $\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_i} = Q(\bar{A})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_i Q(\bar{A})}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since the ring $\bar{A}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the total ring of fractions $Q(\bar{A})$ is artinian. Moreover, $\{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1 Q(\bar{A}), \dots, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t Q(\bar{A})\}$ is the set of maximal ideals of $Q(\bar{A})$. In particular, the morphism

$$\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \dots \times \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t} \longrightarrow Q(\bar{A})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1 Q(\bar{A})} \times Q(\bar{A})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2 Q(\bar{A})} \times \dots \times Q(\bar{A})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t Q(\bar{A})} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} Q(\bar{A})$$

is an isomorphism. Taking into account Lemma 3.1, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \cdots \times \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t} \longrightarrow (\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \cdots \times \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{R} \longrightarrow Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{R} \longrightarrow Q(\bar{R}).$$

This concludes a proof of the third statement.

For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ the ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the normalization of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Next, we have: $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\text{ann}_A(R/A))_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{ann}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$, hence $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the conductor ideal of $\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$. The ring isomorphisms $Q(\bar{A})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $Q(\bar{R})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ follow from the previous part. $\square$

Remark 3.7. For a one-dimensional reduced local Noetherian ring C one can define the category of triples $\text{Tri}(C)$ in a similar way it was done for rings of Krull dimension two. We refer to Appendix 10 for the definition and properties of $\text{Tri}(C)$.

Proposition 3.8. *For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$ we have the localization functor $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \text{Tri}(A) \rightarrow \text{Tri}(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ mapping a triple $T = (\bar{M}, V, \theta)$ to the triple $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T) = (\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}, V_{\mathfrak{p}}, \theta_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Moreover, there is the following diagram of categories and functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{CM}(A) & \xrightarrow{A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A -} & \text{CM}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \mathbb{F}^A \downarrow & \swarrow \xi & \downarrow \mathbb{F}^{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \text{Tri}(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \text{Tri}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where $\xi : \mathbb{F}^{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \circ (A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A -) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ \mathbb{F}^A$ is an isomorphism of functors. Moreover, for a triple $T = (\bar{M}, V, \theta)$ the gluing morphism θ is an isomorphism if and only if $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$.

Proof. Let $T = (\bar{M}, V, \theta)$ be an object of $\text{Tri}(A)$. By Proposition 3.6, for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ the localization $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the conductor ideal of the ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $Q(\bar{A}) \cong \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \cdots \times \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t}$ and $Q(\bar{R}) \cong \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1} \times \cdots \times \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_t}$. Hence, for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in a(I)$ we have: $\bar{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, $V_{\mathfrak{p}} = V_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a Noetherian $\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}$ -module. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V)_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}} & (Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \bar{M})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}} V_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

where both vertical maps are canonical isomorphisms. In a similar way, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}}} & (Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \bar{M})_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow \cong \\ V_{\mathfrak{p}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \bar{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

and the morphisms $\tilde{\theta}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are mapped to each other under the adjunction maps.

By Corollary 2.8, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ and any Cohen-Macaulay A -module M we have an isomorphism $(R \boxtimes_A M)_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\dagger} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} / \text{tor}(R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ which is natural in M . Moreover, this map coincides the localization $\theta_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ of the canonical morphism θ_M . This shows the claim. $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.5, Theorem 10.5 and Proposition 3.8, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathbf{CM}^{\text{lf}}(A)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{CM}(A)$ consisting of those Cohen-Macaulay modules which are locally free on the punctured spectrum of A . In the notations of Theorem 3.5, the functor $\mathbb{F}$ establishes an equivalence between $\mathbf{CM}^{\text{lf}}(A)$ and the full subcategory $\mathbf{Tri}^{\text{lf}}(A)$ of $\mathbf{Tri}(A)$ consisting of those triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ for which the morphism θ is an isomorphism.*

4. SERRE QUOTIENTS AND PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

The goal of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 3.5, which is the main tool of our approach. To do that we need the technique of Serre quotient categories, studied in details in the thesis of Gabriel [22], see also [32].

Definition 4.1. For a Noetherian ring A let $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$ be the category of finite length modules. Then $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$ is a thick subcategory, i.e. it is closed under taking kernels, cokernels and extensions inside of $A\text{-mod}$. The Serre quotient category

$$\mathbf{M}(A) = A\text{-mod} / \mathbf{fnlg}(A)$$

is defined as follows.

1. The objects of $\mathbf{M}(A)$ and $A\text{-mod}$ are the same.
2. To define morphisms in $\mathbf{M}(A)$, for any pair of A -modules M and N consider the following partially ordered set of quadruples $I_{M,N} := \{Q = (X, \varphi, Y, \psi)\}$, where X and Y are A -modules, $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ is an injective homomorphism of A -modules whose cokernel belongs to $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$ and $N \xrightarrow{\psi} Y$ is a surjective homomorphism of A -modules whose kernel belongs to $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$. For a pair of such quadruples $Q = (X, \varphi, Y, \psi)$ and $Q' = (X', \varphi', Y', \psi')$ we say that $Q \leq Q'$ if and only if there exists morphisms $X' \xrightarrow{\xi} X$ and $Y \xrightarrow{\zeta} Y'$ such that $\varphi' = \varphi\xi$ and $\psi' = \zeta\psi$. Then $I_{M,N}$ is a directed partially ordered set and we define:

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}(A)}(M, N) := \varinjlim_{Q \in I_{M,N}} \mathbf{Hom}_A(X, Y).$$

3. Note that for any pair of A -modules M and N we have a canonical homomorphism of abelian groups $p(M, N) : \mathbf{Hom}_A(M, N) \longrightarrow \varinjlim \mathbf{Hom}_A(X, Y) = \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{M}(A)}(M, N)$.

Theorem 4.2. *The category $\mathbf{M}(A)$ is abelian and the canonical functor*

$$\mathbb{P}_A : A\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}(A)$$

is exact. In particular, if $M \xrightarrow{\psi} N$ is a morphism in $A\text{-mod}$ then $\mathbb{P}_A(\psi)$ is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) if and only if the kernel (resp. cokernel) of ψ belongs to $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$.

Moreover, $\mathbf{M}(A)$ is equivalent to the localized category $\mathbf{M}(A)^\circ = A\text{-mod}[\Sigma^{-1}]$, where the localizing subclass $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{Mor}(A)$ consists of all the morphisms in the category $A\text{-mod}$, whose kernels and cokernels have finite length.

Proof. The first part of this theorem was shown by Gabriel, see [22, Chapitre III]. For the second part we refer to [32]. In particular, for any pair of objects M and N and a morphism

$M \xrightarrow{\psi} N$ in the category $\mathbf{M}(A)$ there exists an A -module E and a pair of morphisms $M \xleftarrow{\phi} E \xrightarrow{\varphi} N$ such that $\ker(\phi)$ and $\text{coker}(\phi)$ belong to $\mathbf{fnlg}(A)$ and $\psi = \mathbb{P}_A(\varphi) \cdot \mathbb{P}_A(\phi)^{-1}$. $\square$

It turns out that the category $\mathbf{M}(A)$ is very natural from the point of view of the singularity theory. The following theorem summarizes some of its well-known properties.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local Noetherian ring.*

- (1) *If A is Cohen-Macaulay of Krull dimension one then the exact functor $Q(A) \otimes_A - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow Q(A)\text{-mod}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\mathbf{M}(A) \rightarrow Q(A)\text{-mod}$;*
- (2) *Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $x = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ be the unique closed point of X . For $U := X \setminus \{x\}$ let $\iota : U \rightarrow X$ be the canonical embedding and $\text{Coh}_x(X)$ be the category of coherent sheaves on X supported at x . Then the functor ι^* induces an equivalence of categories $\text{Coh}(X)/\text{Coh}_x(X) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(U)$. In particular, the categories $\mathbf{M}(A)$ and $\text{Coh}(U)$ are equivalent.*
- (3) *Let A be of Krull dimension at least two then the canonical functor*

$$\mathbb{I} : \mathbf{CM}(A) \longrightarrow A\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}_A} \mathbf{M}(A)$$

is fully faithful. Moreover, if A is a normal surface singularity then the category $\text{Coh}(U)$ is hereditary and $\mathbf{CM}(A)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{VB}(U)$ of locally free coherent sheaves on U .

- (4) *Let A be a reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity then the Macaulayfication functor $\dagger : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{CM}(A)$ induces a functor $\mathbf{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{CM}(A)$ which is left adjoint to the embedding $\mathbb{I}$. Moreover, for a torsion free A -module M we have a natural isomorphism $M^\dagger \rightarrow \Gamma(\iota_* \iota^* \widetilde{M})$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is the coherent sheaf on X obtained by sheafifying the module M .*

Proof. (1) Let $A\text{-Mod}$ be the category of all A -modules and $\text{Tor}(A)$ be its full subcategory consisting of those modules, for which any element is $\mathfrak{m}$ -torsion. In other words, $\text{Tor}(A)$ is the category of modules, which are direct limits of its finite length submodules.

The total ring of fractions $Q(A)$ is flat as A -module, hence $\mathbb{F} = Q(A) \otimes_A : A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow Q(A)\text{-Mod}$ is exact. The forgetful functor $\mathbb{G} : Q(A)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow A\text{-Mod}$ is right adjoint to $\mathbb{F}$. Now note that the counit of the adjunction $\xi : \mathbb{F}\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{Q(A)\text{-mod}}$ is an isomorphism of functors. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is right exact and $\mathbb{G}$ is exact, the composition $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{G}$ is right exact. Moreover, $\mathbb{F}\mathbb{G}$ commutes with arbitrary direct products. Hence, to prove that ξ is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to show that the canonical morphism of $Q(A)$ -modules

$$\xi_{Q(A)} = \text{mult} : Q(A) \otimes_A Q(A) \longrightarrow Q(A)$$

is an isomorphism, what is a basic property of localization.

Since A is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of Krull dimension one, the category $T = \ker(\mathbb{F})$ is equal to $\text{Tor}(A)$. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}(A) = A\text{-Mod}/\text{Tor}(A)$ (one can consult [32] for the definition of the Serre quotients categories in the case they are not small). By [22, Proposition III.2.4] the functor $\mathbb{F}$ induces an equivalence of categories $\bar{\mathbb{F}} : \widehat{\mathbf{M}}(A) \rightarrow Q(A)\text{-Mod}$.

It is clear that $\text{Tor}(A) \cap A\text{-mod} = \text{fnlg}(A)$, hence basic properties of Serre quotients imply that the functor given by the composition

$$A\text{-mod}/\text{fnlg}(A) \longrightarrow A\text{-Mod}/\text{Tor}(A) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mathbb{F}}} Q(A)\text{-Mod}$$

is fully faithful. Since $Q(A) = \bar{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ and $\bar{\mathbb{F}} : \text{End}_{\mathbf{M}(A)}(A) \rightarrow Q(A)$ is an isomorphism of rings, the functor $\bar{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbf{M}(A) \longrightarrow Q(A)\text{-mod}$ is essentially surjective.

(2) The proof of this statement is similar to the previous one. The functor $\iota^* : \text{QCoh}(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(U)$ has a right adjoint $\iota_* : \text{QCoh}(U) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(X)$ and the counit of the adjunction $\iota^* \iota_* \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{\text{QCoh}(U)}$ is an isomorphism. It is easy to see that the kernel of the functor ι^* is the category $\text{QCoh}_x(X)$ consisting of the quasi-coherent sheaves on X supported at the closed point x . Again, by [22, Proposition III.2.4] the inverse image functor ι^* induces an equivalence of categories $\text{QCoh}(X)/\text{QCoh}_x(X) \rightarrow \text{QCoh}(U)$. This functor restricts to

a fully faithful functor $\mathrm{Coh}(X)/\mathrm{Coh}_x(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(U)$. It remains to verify this functor is essentially surjective.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on U , then the direct image sheaf $\mathcal{G} := \iota_* \mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent. However, any quasi-coherent sheaf on a Noetherian scheme can be written as the direct limit of an increasing sequence of coherent subsheaves $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Since the functor ι^* is exact, we obtain an increasing filtration $\iota^* \mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \iota^* \mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \iota^* \mathcal{G}$. But $\iota^* \mathcal{G} = \iota^* \iota_* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$. Since the scheme U is Noetherian and $\mathcal{F}$ is coherent, it implies that $\mathcal{F} \cong \iota^* \mathcal{G}_t$ for some $t \geq 1$. Hence, the functor $\iota^* : \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(U)$ is essentially surjective and the induced functor $\mathrm{Coh}(X)/\mathrm{Coh}_x(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(U)$ is an equivalence of categories.

(3) The fact that the functor $\mathbb{I} : \mathrm{CM}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(A)$ is fully faithful, follows for example from [22, Lemme III.2.1]. It is well-known that for a normal surface singularity A the category $\mathrm{Coh}(U)$ is hereditary. A proof of the equivalence between $\mathrm{CM}(A)$ and $\mathrm{VB}(U)$ can be found for instance in [9, Corollary 3.12]. Note that if A is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, the space U is homotopic to the link of the singularity $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$.

(4) Let A be a reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity. From [9, Lemma 3.6] we obtain that $\dagger : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathrm{CM}(A)$ induces the functor $\mathrm{M}(A)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{CM}(A)$, which for a sake of simplicity will be denoted by the same symbol $\dagger$. Moreover, for any Noetherian A -module M and a Cohen-Macaulay A -module N we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{M}(A)}(M, N) \xleftarrow{\mathbb{P}_A} \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CM}(A)}(M^\dagger, N),$$

which are natural in both arguments. For a proof of the isomorphism $M^\dagger \longrightarrow \Gamma(\iota_* \iota^* \widetilde{M})$, we refer to [9, Proposition 3.10]. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $A \subseteq B$ be a finite extension of Noetherian rings. Then the forgetful functor $\mathrm{for} : B\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$ and the functor $B \otimes_A - : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$ form an adjoint pair and induce the functors*

$$\mathrm{for} : \mathrm{M}(B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad B \bar{\otimes}_A - : \mathrm{M}(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}(B)$$

which are again adjoint. Moreover, for an arbitrary A -module X and a B -module Y the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_A(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} & \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A X, Y) \\ \mathbb{P}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{P}_B \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{M}(A)}(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{M}(B)}(B \bar{\otimes}_A X, Y) \end{array}$$

where both horizontal maps are canonical isomorphism given by adjunction.

Proof. Since the ring extension $A \subseteq B$ is finite, the functor $B \otimes_A -$ maps the category $\mathrm{fnlg}(A)$ to $\mathrm{fnlg}(B)$. The functors $\mathbb{F} = B \bar{\otimes}_A - : \mathrm{M}(A)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(B)^\circ$ and $\mathbb{G} : \mathrm{M}(B)^\circ \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(A)^\circ$ are obtained from the adjoint pair of functors $B \otimes_A -$ and for using the universal property of the localization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{B \otimes_A -} & B\text{-mod} \\ \mathbb{P}_A^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{P}_B^\circ \\ \mathrm{M}(A)^\circ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}} & \mathrm{M}(B)^\circ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{for}} & A\text{-mod} \\ \mathbb{P}_B^\circ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{P}_A^\circ \\ \mathrm{M}(B)^\circ & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}} & \mathrm{M}(A)^\circ. \end{array}$$

For an A -module M let $\xi_M : M \rightarrow B \otimes_A M$ be the unit of adjunction. Let $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{M}(A)^\circ$ represented by the pair of $M \xleftarrow{\phi} E \xrightarrow{\varphi} N$, where $\ker(\phi)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\phi)$ have finite length. Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xleftarrow{\phi} & E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \xi_M \downarrow & & \xi_E \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_N \\ B \otimes_A M & \xleftarrow{1 \otimes \phi} & B \otimes_A E & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} & B \otimes_A N \end{array}$$

is commutative, we get a natural transformation of functors $\xi : \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{M}_A^\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}\mathbb{F}$. In the similar way, we construct a natural transformation $\zeta : \mathbb{F}\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{M}_B^\circ}$. Note that the natural transformations

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}(\xi)} \mathbb{F}\mathbb{G}\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\zeta\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\xi\mathbb{G}} \mathbb{G}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{G} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{G}(\zeta)} \mathbb{G}$$

are $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{G}}$, respectively. Hence, $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})$ is an adjoint pair of functors. $\square$

Now we possess all necessary ingredients to formulate an alternative definition of the category of triples $\operatorname{Tri}(A)$, given in Definition 3.3. Note that we have a pair of functors

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{CM}(R) \xrightarrow{\bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_R -} \mathbf{M}(\bar{R}) \xleftarrow{\bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_{\bar{A}} -} \mathbf{M}(\bar{A}).$$

Definition 4.5. The category $\operatorname{Tri}'(A)$ in the following full subcategory of the comma category defined by the pair of functors (3). Its objects are triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module, V an object of $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$ and $\theta : \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_{\bar{A}} V \rightarrow \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_R \widetilde{M}$ is an epimorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{R})$ such that the adjoint morphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$

$$V \longrightarrow \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_{\bar{A}} V \xrightarrow{\theta} \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_R \widetilde{M}$$

is an monomorphism.

A morphism between two triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ and $(\widetilde{M}', V', \theta')$ is given by a pair (φ, ψ) , where $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ is a morphism in $\operatorname{CM}(R)$ and $\psi : V \rightarrow V'$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_{\bar{A}} V & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_R \widetilde{M} \\ \downarrow 1 \bar{\otimes} \varphi & & \downarrow 1 \bar{\otimes} \psi \\ \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_{\bar{A}} V' & \xrightarrow{\theta'} & \bar{R} \bar{\otimes}_R \widetilde{M}' \end{array}$$

is commutative in the category $\mathbf{M}(\bar{R})$.

Recall that for a Cohen-Macaulay module M we have denoted $\widetilde{M} := R \boxtimes_A M$ and θ_M is the canonical morphism of R -modules given by the composition

$$\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{A} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} R \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \delta} \bar{R} \otimes_R (R \boxtimes_A M).$$

By Theorem 2.4, the canonical morphism $R \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\delta} R \boxtimes_A M$ has cokernel of finite length, hence θ_M has finite length cokernel as well. This implies that the morphism

$$\mathbb{P}_{\bar{R}}(\theta_M) : \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{A} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R (R \boxtimes_A M)$$

is an epimorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{R})$. Next, we have the following commutative diagram in the category of A -modules:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & IM & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \bar{A} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{j} & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta}_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{M} = R \boxtimes_A M$, $j : M \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ is the canonical morphism and $\bar{j}$ is its restriction on IM . The morphism j is injective. Moreover, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ the morphism $\bar{j}_{\mathfrak{p}} : (IM)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (I\widetilde{M})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism, see the proof of Lemma 10.2. Hence, $\text{coker}(\bar{j})$ is an A -module of finite length. Snake Lemma implies that $\ker(\tilde{\theta})$ is a submodule of $\text{coker}(\bar{j})$. Hence, it has finite length, too. By Lemma 4.4, the morphisms $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{R}}(\theta_M)$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\tilde{\theta}_M)$ are mapped to each other under the morphisms of adjunction. This yields the following corollary.

Corollary 4.6. *We have a functor $\mathbb{F}' : \text{CM}(A) \rightarrow \text{Tri}'(A)$ assigning to a Cohen-Macaulay A -module M the triple $(R \boxtimes_A M, \bar{A} \otimes_A M, \mathbb{P}_{\bar{R}}(\theta_M))$. Moreover, the equivalences of categories $\text{M}(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{A})\text{-mod}$ and $\text{M}(\bar{R}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})\text{-mod}$ constructed in Theorem 4.3 induce an equivalence of categories $\mathbb{E} : \text{Tri}'(A) \rightarrow \text{Tri}(A)$ such that the functors $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{E}\mathbb{F}'$ are isomorphic.*

Definition 4.7. Consider the functor $\mathbb{B} : \text{Tri}'(A) \rightarrow \text{M}(A)$ defined as follows. For an object $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ of the category $\text{Tri}'(A)$ let $\widehat{M} := \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ and $\gamma : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ be the canonical morphism of R -modules. Let $\widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\gamma}} \widehat{M}$ be the morphism in $\text{M}(A)$ obtained by applying to γ the functor $\mathbb{P}_R$ and then the forgetful functor $\text{M}(R) \rightarrow \text{M}(A)$. Then we set

$$N := \mathbb{B}(T) = \ker \left(\widetilde{M} \oplus V \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\gamma} \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}} \widehat{M} \right)$$

and define $\mathbb{B}$ on morphisms using the universal property of a kernel. Equivalently, we have a commutative diagram in the category $\text{M}(A)$:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 reduces to the following statement.

Theorem 4.8. *The functor $\mathbb{G} : \text{Tri}'(A) \rightarrow \text{CM}(A)$ given by the composition of the functors $\mathbb{B} : \text{Tri}'(A) \rightarrow \text{M}(A)$ and $\dagger : \text{M}(A) \rightarrow \text{CM}(A)$, is quasi-inverse to $\mathbb{F}'$.*

Proof. Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module. In the notations of the commutative diagram (4), we have the following exact sequence in the category of A -modules:

$$(6) \quad M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -j \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} \widetilde{M} \oplus \overline{M} \xrightarrow{(\gamma \ \tilde{\theta})} \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\overline{M} = \bar{A} \otimes_A M$. Since $\mathbb{P}_A(\bar{j})$ is an isomorphism in $\text{M}(A)$, the image of the sequence (6) under the functor $\mathbb{P}_A$ becomes short exact.

The morphism $M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -j \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} \widetilde{M} \oplus \overline{M}$ is natural in the category of A -modules, thus it is natural in $\text{M}(A)$ as well and we obtain an isomorphism of functors $\mathbb{1}_{\text{CM}(A)} \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}'$. This yields that $\mathbb{G}$ is full on the essential image of $\mathbb{F}'$. Hence, in order to prove that $\mathbb{F}'$ and $\mathbb{G}$

are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories, it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{F}'$ is essentially surjective and $\mathbb{G}$ is faithful.

We first show the second statement. Let $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ and $T' = (\widetilde{M}', V', \theta')$ be a pair of objects in $\text{Tri}'(A)$ and $(\varphi, \psi) : T \rightarrow T'$ be a morphism in $\text{Tri}'(A)$. Let $M = \mathbb{B}(T)$, $M' = \mathbb{B}(T')$ and $\phi = \mathbb{B}((\varphi, \psi))$. Then we have a commutative diagram in the category $\mathbf{M}(A)$:

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} \oplus V & \xrightarrow{(\gamma \ \theta)} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \widehat{\varphi} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M}' \oplus V' & \xrightarrow{(\gamma' \ \theta')} & \widehat{M}' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

First note that $(\varphi, \psi) = 0$ in $\text{Tri}'(A)$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$. Indeed, one direction is obvious. To show the second, let $\varphi = 0$. Then $\widehat{\varphi} = 0$ and $\theta' \circ \psi = 0$. It remains to note that θ' is a monomorphism.

Next, a morphism $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ is zero in $\mathbf{CM}(R)$ if and only if $\mathbb{1} \otimes \varphi : Q(A) \otimes_A \widetilde{M} \rightarrow Q(A) \otimes_A \widetilde{M}'$ is zero in $Q(A) - \mathbf{mod}$. Assume the morphism of triples $(\varphi, \psi) : T \rightarrow T'$ is non-zero. Apply the functor $Q(A) \otimes_A -$ on the diagram (7). It follows that $\mathbb{1} \otimes \phi \neq 0$, hence $\mathbb{G}((\varphi, \psi)) \neq 0$ as well. Hence, $\mathbb{G}$ is faithful.

The difficult part of the proof is to show that for an arbitrary triple $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ there exists an isomorphism $T \cong \mathbb{F}'\mathbb{G}(T)$ in the category $\text{Tri}'(A)$. We split up our arguments in several steps.

Step 1. Since $\bar{A}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of Krull dimension one, the kernel $\text{tor}(V)$ of the canonical map $V \rightarrow Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} V$ is annihilated by a power of the maximal ideal. Hence, the canonical map $V \xrightarrow{\nu} V/\text{tor}(V) =: V'$ is surjective and is an isomorphism in the category $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$. We have an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{1}, \nu) : (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{M}, V', \theta'),$$

where θ' is the map induced by ν . Hence, we may without a loss of generality assume the object V of the category $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$ is represented by a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module.

Step 2. For a Cohen-Macaulay R -module $\widetilde{M}$ consider the following commutative diagram in the category of R -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \rho \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\beta^\circ} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\circ} & \widehat{M}^\circ \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $I\widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\delta} (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger$ is the canonical morphism determined by the Macaulayfication functor. Hence, $\text{coker}(\delta)$ is an R -module of finite length. Snake Lemma yields that ρ is a surjective morphism of R -modules and $\ker(\rho) \cong \text{coker}(\delta)$. In particular, $\widehat{M}^\circ$ is annihilated by the conductor ideal I , hence it is an $\bar{R}$ -module. Depth Lemma implies that $\text{depth}_R(\widehat{M}^\circ) = \text{depth}_{\bar{R}}(\widehat{M}^\circ) = 1$, hence $\widehat{M}^\circ$ is Cohen-Macaulay over $\bar{R}$. Moreover, the morphism $\bar{\rho} := \mathbb{P}_{\bar{R}}(\rho) : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}^\circ$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{R})$.

Step 3. In the notations as above we have the following isomorphism in the category $\mathbf{M}(A)$:

$$\ker(\widetilde{M} \oplus V \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{pmatrix}} \widehat{M}) \cong \ker(\widetilde{M} \oplus V \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma}^\circ \\ \tilde{\theta}^\circ \end{pmatrix}} \widehat{M}^\circ),$$

where $\tilde{\theta}^\circ = \bar{\rho} \tilde{\theta} : V \rightarrow \widehat{M}^\circ$. Since $\widehat{M}^\circ$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{R}$ -module, it is also Cohen-Macaulay over $\bar{A}$. In particular, it has no $\bar{A}$ -submodules of finite length. From the definition of the category $\mathbf{M}(A)$ it follows that the morphism $\tilde{\theta}^\circ$ can be written as

$$\tilde{\theta}^\circ = \mathbb{P}_A(\tilde{\theta}) \cdot \mathbb{P}_A(\tau)^{-1}, \quad V \xleftarrow{\tau} V' \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}} \widehat{M}^\circ,$$

where $\tau : V' \rightarrow V$ is a monomorphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules with cokernel of finite length and $\tilde{\theta}$ is a morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules. Since we have assumed V to be Cohen-Macaulay over $\bar{A}$, its submodule V' is Cohen-Macaulay over $\bar{A}$ as well. Next, $\tilde{\theta}^\circ$ is a monomorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$, hence the kernel of $\tilde{\theta}$ has finite length. But $\ker(\tilde{\theta})$ is a submodule of a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module V' . Hence, $\tilde{\theta}$ is a monomorphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules.

Identifying V and V' in the category $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$ we may without a loss of generality assume:

- In the triple $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$, the module V is Cohen-Macaulay over $\bar{A}$ and the morphism $\tilde{\theta}^\circ : V \rightarrow \widehat{M}^\circ$ in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$ is the image of an injective morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules under the functor $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}$. For a sake of simplicity, we denote the latter morphism by the same letter $\tilde{\theta}^\circ$.
- The object $N = \mathbb{B}(T) \in \text{Ob}(\mathbf{M}(A))$ can be obtained by applying $\mathbb{P}_A$ to the middle term of the upper short exact sequence in the following diagram in $A\text{-mod}$:

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta}^\circ \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\beta^\circ} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\circ} & \widehat{M}^\circ \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{\theta}^\circ$ is injective in $\bar{A}\text{-mod}$, Snake Lemma yields that N is a torsion free A -module.

Step 4. In the notations of the commutative diagram (8), consider the canonical morphism $N \xrightarrow{\delta} N^\dagger$. Then we obtain the following commutative diagram in $A\text{-mod}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\dagger} & N^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & W \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where π' and δ' are induced morphisms. Since N is a torsion free A -module, δ is injective and its cokernel has finite length, see Theorem 2.4. Snake Lemma implies that $\text{coker}(\delta')$ has finite length, too. Moreover, the universal property of Macaulayfication implies there exists an injective morphism of A -modules $N^\dagger \xrightarrow{j} \widetilde{M}$ such that $j \delta = \iota$. In particular, we have: $j \alpha^\dagger = \iota \alpha = \beta^\circ$ and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\dagger} & N^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & W \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\beta^\circ} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\circ} & \widehat{M}^\circ \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

commutes in $A\text{-mod}$, where j' is the morphism induced by j . Since j is injective, the morphism j' is injective as well. Hence, the A -module W is annihilated by the conductor ideal I . Thus, it is a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module and the morphism $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\delta') : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$.

Step 5. In other words, we have shown that any object T of the category $\text{Tri}'(A)$ has a representative $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ such that V is Cohen-Macaulay, the morphism $V \xrightarrow{\theta^\circ} \widehat{M}^\circ$ belongs to the image of the functor $\mathbb{P}_A$ and the module N given by the diagram (8) is Cohen-Macaulay over A . By the definition of the functor $\mathbb{G}$, we have: $N \cong \mathbb{G}(T)$. It remains to find an isomorphism between the triples $\mathbb{F}'(N)$ and T .

Let $I\widetilde{M} \xrightarrow{\delta} (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger$ be the canonical morphism and $\iota' : IM \rightarrow (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger$ be the composition of the restriction of ι on IN with δ . Since ι is injective, it is easy to see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & IN & \xrightarrow{\beta_N} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & \overline{N} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \iota' & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \kappa \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (I\widetilde{M})^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 10.2, the morphism ι' is injective and its cokernel has finite length. Since $\ker(\kappa)$ is a subobject of $\text{coker}(\iota')$ the morphism $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\kappa) : \overline{N} \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{M}(\bar{A})$. Next, the morphism of Cohen-Macaulay A -modules $\iota : N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ induces a morphism of Cohen-Macaulay R -modules $\tilde{\iota} : R \boxtimes_A N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$. Theorem 10.5 implies that $\tilde{\iota}_{\mathfrak{p}} : (R \boxtimes_A N)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence, $\tilde{\iota}$ is an isomorphism in $\text{CM}(R)$.

Step 6. It remains to observe that $(\tilde{\iota}, \mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\kappa)) : \mathbb{F}'(N) \rightarrow (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ is an isomorphism in the category of triples $\text{Tri}'(A)$. Since both morphisms $\tilde{\iota}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\kappa)$ are known to be isomorphisms, it is sufficient to show that $(\tilde{\iota}, \mathbb{P}_{\bar{A}}(\kappa))$ is a morphism in $\text{Tri}'(A)$. In the notations of the commutative diagram (8), this fact follows from the commutativity of the following diagram in the category $A\text{-mod}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{R} \otimes_A \overline{N} & \xrightarrow{\theta_N} & \bar{R} \otimes_R (R \boxtimes_A N) & & \\ & \searrow \text{can} & \uparrow 1 \otimes \delta & & \\ & \bar{R} \otimes_R (R \otimes_A N) & & & \\ & \searrow \text{can} & & & \\ \bar{R} \otimes_A V & \xrightarrow{\theta^\circ} & \widehat{M}^\circ & \longleftarrow & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}, \end{array}$$

what can be verified by a simple diagram chasing. Theorem is proven. $\square$

As a result, we get the following practical rule to reconstruct a Cohen-Macaulay A -module M from the corresponding triple $\mathbb{F}(M) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Tri}(A))$.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ be an object of the category of triples $\text{Tri}(A)$. Then there exists a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module U , an injective morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules $\varphi : U \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ and an isomorphism $\psi : Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} U \rightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V$ such that the following*

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} U & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} & Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \\
 & \searrow \psi & \nearrow \theta \\
 & Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V &
 \end{array}$$

is commutative in the category of $Q(\bar{R})$ -modules. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows in the category of A -modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & U \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Then we have: $\mathbb{G}(T) \cong N^\dagger$. In particular, the isomorphism class of $N^\dagger$ does not depend on the choice of U and φ .

As we shall see later, in some cases the module N obtained by the recipe described in Corollary 4.9, turns out to be automatically Cohen-Macaulay. This can be tested using the following useful result.

Lemma 4.10. *In the notations of this section, let $\widetilde{M}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay R -module, V be a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module and $\tilde{\theta} : V \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be an injective morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules. Consider the A -module N given by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & V \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Then there is the following short exact sequence of A -modules:

$$(9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N^\dagger \longrightarrow H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^0(\text{coker}(\tilde{\theta})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular, N is a Cohen-Macaulay A -module if and only if $\text{coker}(\tilde{\theta})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\bar{A}$ -module.

Proof. By the snake lemma, we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\tilde{\theta}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the module $\widetilde{M}$ is Cohen-Macaulay over A , we have: $H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^0(\text{coker}(\tilde{\theta})) \cong H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^1(N)$. On the other hand, the module N is torsion free and in the canonical short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\delta} N^\dagger \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow 0$$

the module T has finite length. Hence, we have:

$$T \cong H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^0(T) \cong H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^1(N) \cong H_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}^0(\text{coker}(\tilde{\theta}))$$

yielding the desired short exact sequence (9). $\square$

5. DEGENERATE CUSPS AND SINGULARITIES OBTAINED BY GLUING OF CYCLIC QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

In this section we recall the definition and some basic properties of an important class of non-isolated surface singularities called “degenerate cusps”.

5.1. Non-isolated surface singularities obtained by gluing of normal rings. Let k be an algebraically closed field and $(R_1, \mathfrak{n}_1), (R_2, \mathfrak{n}_2), \dots, (R_t, \mathfrak{n}_t)$ be complete local normal k -algebras of Krull dimension two, where $t \geq 1$. For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ let $\pi_i : R_i \longrightarrow \bar{R}_i \cong k[[\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i]]/(\bar{u}_i \bar{v}_i)$ be a surjective ring homomorphism, $u_i, v_i \in R_i$ be some preimages of $\bar{u}_i$ and $\bar{v}_i$ respectively and $I_i = \ker(\pi_i)$. Consider the ring homomorphism

$$\tilde{\pi}_i := (\tilde{\pi}_i^{(1)}, \tilde{\pi}_i^{(2)}) : R_i \longrightarrow D := k[[u]] \times k[[v]]$$

obtained by composing π_i with the normalization map $k[[\bar{u}_i, \bar{v}_i]]/(\bar{u}_i \bar{v}_i) \rightarrow k[[u]] \times k[[v]]$.

Definition 5.1. In the above notations, consider the subring A of the ring $R := R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_t$ defined as

$$(10) \quad A := \{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in R \mid \tilde{\pi}_i^{(2)}(r_i) = \tilde{\pi}_{i+1}^{(1)}(r_{i+1}), 1 \leq i \leq t\},$$

where we identify R_{t+1} with R_1 and π_{t+1} with π_1 .

Proposition 5.2. *In the above notations we have:*

- (1) *The ring A is local and reduced. The ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite.*
- (2) *Let $I := \{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in R \mid \pi_i(r_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. Then $I = \text{Hom}_A(R, A)$. In other words, I is the conductor ideal.*
- (3) *The ring A is Noetherian and complete and R is its normalization. In particular, A has Krull dimension two.*
- (4) *We have: $\bar{A} := A/I \cong k[[\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_t]]/\langle \bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq t \rangle$ and $\bar{R} = R/I = k[[\bar{u}_1, \bar{v}_1]]/\bar{u}_1 \bar{v}_1 \times \dots \times k[[\bar{u}_t, \bar{v}_t]]/\bar{u}_t \bar{v}_t$. The canonical morphism $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ maps $\bar{w}_i$ to $\bar{v}_i + \bar{u}_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, where $\bar{u}_{t+1} = \bar{u}_1$.*
- (5) *The ring A is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. (1) Let $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in R$ be an element of A . Then $r_1(0) = r_2(0) = \dots = r_t(0) \in k$ and r is invertible if and only if $r_1(0) \neq 0$. This shows that A is local. Since A is a subring of a reduced ring, it is reduced, too. To show the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite, we consider separately the following two cases.

Case 1. Let $t \geq 2$ and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t$ be the idempotent elements of R corresponding to the units of the rings $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t$. Then we have: $R = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t \rangle_A$. Indeed, let $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in R$ be an arbitrary element. By the definition, we have: $r_i = e_i \cdot r$ and $r = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_t$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that for any $1 \leq i \leq t$ and any $r_i \in R_i$ we have: $r_i \in \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t \rangle_A$.

To prove this, it is sufficient to show the following statement: given an element $r_i \in R_i$ there exist such elements $r_j \in R_j, j \neq i$ that $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in A$. Note that without loss of generality we may assume $r_i \in \mathfrak{n}_i$. Then $\tilde{\pi}_i(r_i) \in D$ belongs to the radical $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ of the ring D . Since the ring homomorphisms $\tilde{\pi}_i : R_i \rightarrow D$ induces a surjective map $\mathfrak{n}_i \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, there exist elements $r_j \in \mathfrak{n}_j, j \in \{i-1, i+1\}$ that $\tilde{\pi}_i^{(1)}(r_i) = \tilde{\pi}_{i-1}^{(2)}(r_{i-1})$ and $\tilde{\pi}_i^{(2)}(r_i) = \tilde{\pi}_{i+1}^{(1)}(r_{i+1})$. Proceeding by induction, we get an element $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t) \in A$ we are looking for.

Case 2. Let $t = 1$ and $u = u_1, v = v_1 \in R$ be some preimages of the elements $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1$ and $\bar{v} = \bar{v} \in \bar{R}$ under the map $\bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi}_1$. Then we have: $R = \langle u, v \rangle_A$.

Indeed, any element $r \in R$ can be written as $r = c + up(u) + vq(v) + r'$ for some power series $p, q \in k[[T]]$, a scalar $c \in k$ and $r' \in I = \ker(\pi) \subseteq A$. Let $\bar{w} = \bar{u} + \bar{v} \in \bar{R}$ and $w \in R$ be its preimage in $A \subseteq R$. Then the element $r'' := r - up(w) - vq(w)$ belongs to I , hence to A , and the result follows.

(2) Since π is a ring homomorphism, it is clear that I is an ideal in R and A . We need to show that $I = J := \text{ann}_A(R/A) = \{a \in A \mid aR \subseteq A\}$. The inclusion $I \subseteq J$ is obvious. Hence, we have to show that $J \subseteq I$.

Since J is an ideal in R , we have an isomorphism of R -modules $J = J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_t$, where J_i is an ideal in R_i for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Again, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Let $t \geq 2$ and $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) \in J$, where $a_i = e_i \cdot a$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. In order to show that $a \in I$ it is sufficient to prove that $\pi_i(a_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

By the definition, the element $a_i = e_i \cdot a = (0, \dots, 0, a_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ belongs to $J \subseteq A$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. It implies that $\tilde{\pi}_i^{(k)}(a_i) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2$, thus $\pi_i(a_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ as wanted.

Case 2. Let $t = 1$. Then any element $a \in A$ can be written in the form $a = p(w) + a'$, where $p(T) \in k[[T]]$ is a formal power series and $a' \in I$. If a belongs to J then we have:

$$\tilde{\pi}^{(1)}(ua) = up(u) = \tilde{\pi}^{(2)}(ua) = 0.$$

Hence, $p(T) = 0$ and $a = a' \in I$.

(3) Since R is a Noetherian ring and I is an ideal in R , I is a finitely generated R -module. Next, R is a finite module over A , hence there exists elements $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l \in I$ such that $I = \langle c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l \rangle_A$. For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ let $w_i = v_i + u_{i+1} \in A$. Then any element $a \in A$ can be written in the form

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^t p_i(w_i) + c,$$

where $c \in I$ and $p_i(T) \in k[[T]]$, $1 \leq i \leq t$ are some power series. Consider the ring homomorphism

$$S := k[[x_1, \dots, x_t; z_1, \dots, z_l]] \xrightarrow{\varphi} R$$

defined by the rule: $\varphi(x_i) = w_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\varphi(z_j) = c_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. It is clear that the image of φ belongs to A . In order to show A is Noetherian and complete, it is sufficient to prove that the ring homomorphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow A$ is surjective.

For any integer $q \geq 1$, let $\hat{A}_q$ be the image of A under the canonical morphism $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{n}^q$. To show φ is surjective it suffices to prove that the ring homomorphism $S \rightarrow \hat{A}_q$ is surjective for all $q \geq 1$. Any element $a \in A$ can be written as

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^t p_i(w_i) + \sum_{j=1}^l b'_j c_j + \sum_{j=1}^l b''_j c_j$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_t \in k[[T]]$, $b'_1, \dots, b'_l \in k$ and $b''_1, \dots, b''_l \in I$. Then

$$a^{(1)} := \sum_{i=1}^t p_i(w_i) + \sum_{j=1}^l b'_j c_j \in \text{Im}(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad a - a^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{n}^2.$$

Writing similar expansions for $b''_1, \dots, b''_l \in I \subseteq A$, we end up with a sequence of elements $\{a^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $a^{(n)} \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$ and $a - a^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{n}^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. This shows the surjectivity of φ .

We have shown that the ring A is Noetherian and the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite. Hence, A has Krull dimension two. Moreover, the total rings of fractions of A and R are equal. Indeed, if $t \geq 2$ then $R = \langle e_1, \dots, e_t \rangle_A$, where all elements $e_i \in R$ satisfy the equation $e_i^2 = e_i$, hence $e_i \in Q(A)$. For $t = 1$ we have the equality $u^2 - uw + uv = 0$, where $w \in A$ and $uv \in I \subseteq A$. Hence, $u \in Q(A)$. In a similar way, $v \in Q(A)$. Thus, in both cases we have $Q(A) = Q(R)$, hence R is the normalization of A .

(4) Recall that we have: $\bar{R} = R/I = k[[\bar{u}_1, \bar{v}_1]]/\bar{u}_1\bar{v}_1 \times \dots \times k[[\bar{u}_t, \bar{v}_t]]/\bar{u}_t\bar{v}_t$. By the definition, the ring $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{R}$ is generated by power series in the elements $\bar{w}_i = \bar{v}_i + \bar{u}_{i+1}$, where $1 \leq i \leq t$.

In $\bar{R}$ we have the relations $\bar{w}_i\bar{w}_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Assume there is any additional relation in $\bar{A}$ between $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_t$. Then it has necessarily the form: $\bar{w}_i^n = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $n \geq 1$. But this implies that we have the relations $\bar{v}_i^n = 0 = \bar{u}_{i+1}^n$. Contradiction. Hence, we have: $\bar{A} \cong k[[\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_t]]/\langle \bar{w}_i\bar{w}_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq t \rangle$ and the canonical imbedding $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is given by the rule $\bar{w}_i \mapsto \bar{v}_i + \bar{u}_{i+1}$.

(5) We have a short exact sequence of Noetherian A -modules $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/A \rightarrow 0$. Since R is a normal surface singularity, it is Cohen-Macaulay. In particular,

$$\text{depth}_R(R) = 2 = \text{depth}_A(R).$$

Next, the A -module R/A is annihilated by I , hence it is an $\bar{A}$ -module. Moreover, we have an isomorphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules $R/A \cong \bar{R}/\bar{A}$. Our goal is to show that $\text{depth}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{R}/\bar{A}) = 1$. It is equivalent to the statement that the $\bar{A}$ -module $\bar{R}/\bar{A}$ has no finite length submodules. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the maximal ideal of $\bar{A}$. It suffices to show that there is no element $r \in \bar{R} \setminus \bar{A}$ such that $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \cdot r \in \bar{A}$.

Let $p_i, q_i \in k[[T]]$ be power series such that $p_i(0) = q_i(0)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $r = ((p_1(\bar{u}_1), q_1(\bar{v}_1)), \dots, (p_t(\bar{u}_t), q_t(\bar{v}_t))) \in \bar{R}$ be an element satisfying $\bar{w}_i \cdot r \in \bar{A}$. But this implies that for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have equalities of the power series $Tq_i(T) = Tp_{i+1}(T)$. Hence, $q_i = p_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $r \in \bar{A}$ as wanted. Applying the Depth Lemma we get: $\text{depth}_A(A) = 2$, hence A is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Corollary 5.3. In the notations of Proposition 5.2 we have: the ring A is Noetherian, local, reduced, complete and Cohen-Macaulay. The ring R the normalization of A and I is the conductor ideal. The canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \bar{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & \bar{R} \end{array}$$

is a pull-back diagram in the category of Noetherian rings. Moreover, we have:

$$Q(\bar{A}) \cong k((\bar{w}_1)) \times \dots \times k((\bar{w}_t)) \text{ and } Q(\bar{R}) \cong (k((\bar{u}_1)) \times k((\bar{v}_1))) \times \dots \times (k((\bar{u}_t)) \times k((\bar{v}_t)))$$

and the canonical ring homomorphism $Q(\bar{A}) \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$ sends the element $\bar{w}_i$ to $\bar{v}_i + \bar{u}_{i+1}$.

Lemma 5.4. In the notations of Proposition 5.2 we have: for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$ the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is either regular or $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong k(\mathfrak{p})[[u, v]]/uv$, where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. In particular, the ring A is Gorenstein in codimension one.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}$. By Proposition 3.6, the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular unless $\mathfrak{p}$ belongs to the associator of the conductor ideal I . Let $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\bar{A}$. Then $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a prime ideal

in $\bar{A}$ of height zero, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the normalization of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the conductor ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we have a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & \bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & \bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} \end{array}$$

in the category of Noetherian rings. Since we know that

$$\bar{A} \cong k[[\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_t]] / \langle \bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq t \rangle$$

the ideal $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \langle \bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_{i-1}, \bar{w}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{w}_t \rangle$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$. In this case we have: $\bar{A}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} = k((\bar{w}_i))$ and $\bar{R}_{\bar{\mathfrak{p}}} = k((\bar{v}_i)) \times k((u_{i+1}))$. Hence, the conductor ideal of the local one-dimensional ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is its maximal ideal, it contains its residue field $k((t))$ and there exists a pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & k((t)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & k((t)) \times k((t)). \end{array}$$

Hence, the completion of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $k((t))[[u, v]]/uv$, as wanted. $\square$

5.2. Generalities about cyclic quotient singularities. Let $S = k[[u, v]]$ and $G = C_{n,m} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ be a small cyclic subgroup group of order n . Then without a loss of generality we may assume that G is generated by the matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^m \end{pmatrix}$, where ε is a primitive n -th root of unity, and $0 \leq m < n$ is such that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. The group G acts on S by the rule $u \xrightarrow{g} \xi u$, $v \xrightarrow{g} \xi^m v$. Let $R = R(n, m) = S^G$ be the corresponding ring of invariants and $\Pi = \Pi(n, m) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For an element $l \in \Pi$ we denote by $\bar{l}$ the unique element in Π such that $l = \bar{l}m \bmod n$. The following result is due to Riemenschneider [33]

Theorem 5.5. *In the above notations we have:*

- (1) $R = k[[u^n, u^{n-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{n-1}}, v^n]] \subset S = k[[u, v]]$.
- (2) *More precisely, let $n/(n-m) = a_1 - 1/(a_2 - \dots - 1/a_e)$, where $a_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e$. Define the positive integers c_i and d_i by the following recurrent formulae:*

$$c_0 = n, c_1 = n - m, c_{i+1} = a_i c_i - c_{i-1}, \quad d_0 = 0, d_1 = 1, d_{i+1} = a_i d_i - d_{i-1}.$$

Then $R = k[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_e, x_{e+1}]]/L$, where $x_i = u^{c_i} v^{d_i}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, e+1$) and the ideal $L \subset k[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_e, x_{e+1}]]$ is generated by the relations

$$(11) \quad x_{i-1} x_{j+1} = x_i x_j \prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{a_k-2} \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq e.$$

- (3) *Let J be the ideal in R generated by $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e)$. Then $J = (u^{n-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{n-1}})_R$ and $\bar{R} := R/J = k[[x_0, x_{e+1}]]/(x_0 x_{e+1}) \cong D = k[[x, y]]/(xy)$.*
- (4) *The closed subset $V(J) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is the image of the union of the coordinate axes $V(uv) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(S)$ under the map $\mathrm{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$.*

In what follows we shall also need the following result about the exceptional divisor of a minimal resolution of singularities of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $o = \{\mathfrak{m}\} \in X$ be its unique closed point and $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be a minimal resolution of singularities. Then the exceptional divisor $E = \pi^{-1}(o)$ is a tree of projective lines. More precisely, the dual graph of E is*

$$\bullet \xrightarrow{b_1} \bullet \xrightarrow{b_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{b_f} \bullet$$

where $n/m = b_1 - 1/(b_2 - \cdots - 1/b_f)$ and $b_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq f$.

5.3. Degenerate cusps and their basic properties. In this subsection we recall the definition of an important class of non-isolated surface singularities called *degenerate cusps*.

Definition 5.7. Let $t \geq 1$ and $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^t$ be a collection of integers such that $0 \leq m_i < n_i$ and $\gcd(m_i, n_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $R_i = R(n_i, m_i) = k[[u_i, v_i]]^{C_{n_i, m_i}} \subseteq k[[u_i, v_i]]$ be the corresponding cyclic quotient singularity, $J_i \subseteq R_i$ the ideal defined in Theorem 5.5 and

$$A = \tilde{A}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \subseteq R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t =: R$$

be the ring obtained by the construction of Definition 5.1. Then A is called *degenerate cusp of type $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$* .

Lemma 5.8. *Given a local complete k -algebra A , which is a degenerate cusp. Then its type $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ is uniquely determined up to an action of the dihedral group D_t (i.e. up to a shift and reflection).*

Sketch of a proof. Let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $Y \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be its *improvement*, see [37] and [39, 40]. Let $o = \{\mathfrak{m}\} \in X$ be the unique closed point of X and $Z = \pi^{-1}(o)$ be the exceptional divisor. Then Z is a cycle of projective lines. Moreover, Z is a union of trees of projective lines: $Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup \cdots \cup Z_t$, where each Z_i is isomorphic to the exceptional divisor E_i of a minimal resolution $\tilde{X}_i$ of the cyclic quotient singularity $\operatorname{Spec}(R(n_i, m_i))$. The irreducible components of each tree Z_i have the same intersection multiplicities in Y as the intersection multiplicities of the corresponding irreducible components of E_i in $\tilde{X}_i$. These components $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_t$ intersect precisely at those points of E , where the variety Y is not smooth. Thus, Theorem 5.6 allows to reconstruct the parameters $(n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)$ as well as the order of gluing of the corresponding cyclic quotient singularities. $\square$

The following important result is due to Shepherd-Barron [37].

Theorem 5.9. *Let A be a degenerate cusp. Then A is Gorenstein.*

5.4. Irreducible degenerate cusps. In this subsection we write down equations of irreducible degenerate cusps. Let $R = k[[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_e, x_{e+1}]]/L$ be a cyclic quotient singularity (11) and $J \subset R$ be the ideal defined by $(x_1, \dots, x_e)$. Then $\bar{R} := R/J = k[[x_0, x_{e+1}]]/x_0 x_{e+1}$ and we define the ring A via the pull-back diagram in the category of commutative rings

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & \bar{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ R & \longrightarrow & \bar{R}, \end{array}$$

where $\bar{A} = k[[\bar{z}]]$ and $\gamma : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ maps $\bar{z}$ to $\bar{x}_0 + \bar{x}_{e+1}$. Our goal is to write explicitly a list of generators and relations of the ring A .

Case 1. Consider first case when the cyclic group is trivial and $R = k[[u, v]]$. Then the ring A is generated by the power series in $x = u + v$, $y = uv$ and $z = u^2v$. In the quotient ring $Q(R)$ we have the equalities

$$u = \frac{z}{y} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \frac{xy - z}{y}.$$

The equality $y = uv$ implies the relation: $y^3 + z^2 - xyz = 0$. We have a ring homomorphism $\pi : k[[x, y, z]] \rightarrow R$ defined by the formulae $x \mapsto u + v$, $y \mapsto uv$ and $z \mapsto u^2v$, whose image is the ring A . Moreover, $y^3 + z^2 - xyz$ belongs to $\ker(\pi)$. If $\ker(\pi)$ has further generators then $k[[x, y, z]]/\ker(\pi)$ has Krull dimension which is not bigger than one. Contradiction. Hence, A is a hypersurface singularity

$$(13) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(y^3 + z^2 - xyz).$$

Case 2. Let $e = 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $m = n - 1$. Then $R = k[[u, v, w]]/(v^n - uw)$ and $J = (v)$. As in the previous case, one can show that A is generated by the power series in $x = v$, $y = uv$ and $z = u + w$. In the quotient ring $Q(R)$ we have the equalities

$$u = \frac{y}{x} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{xz - y}{x}.$$

Hence, the relation $uv = v^n$ reads as $x^{n+2} + y^2 = xyz$. As in the previous case, we get:

$$(14) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^{n+2} + y^2 - xyz).$$

Since $x^{n+2} + y^2 - xyz = x^{n+2} + (y - \frac{1}{2}xz)^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^2z^2$, the equation of A can be rewritten in the form $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2(x^n + z^2) + y^2)$.

Case 3. Let $e = 2$ and $n/(n - m) = p - 1/q = (pq - 1)/q$, where $p, q \geq 2$. The ring $R = k[[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]]/L$ is given by Riemenschneider's relations

$$x_0x_2 = x_1^p, \quad x_1x_3 = x_2^q \quad \text{and} \quad x_0x_3 = x_1^{p-1}x_2^{q-1}.$$

The subring $A \subset R$ is generated by the elements $x = x_1$, $y = x_2$ and $z = x_0 + x_3$. We have the following equality in R : $x_1^{p+1} + x_2^{q+1} = x_1x_2(x_0 + x_3)$. Hence, we get:

$$(15) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^{p+1} + y^{q+1} - xyz), \quad p, q \geq 2.$$

Summing up, in the all considered cases we get the singularities

$$T_{pq\infty} = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^p + y^q - xyz),$$

where $p \geq q \geq 2$ and $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$.

Case 4. Let $e = 3$ and $n/(n - m) = p - 1/(q - 1/r)$, where $p, q, r \geq 2$. Then $R = k[[u, x, y, z, v]]/L$, where the Riemenschneider's relations are:

$$(16) \quad uy = x^p, \quad xz = y^q, \quad yv = z^r, \quad uz = x^{p-1}y^{q-1}, \quad xv = y^{q-1}z^{r-1}, \quad uv = x^{p-1}y^{q-2}z^{r-1}.$$

The ring A is generated by the power series in the elements $w = u + v$, x, y and z . Next, we have the following equality in R : $wy^q = wxz = (u + v)xz = x^py^{q-1} + y^{q-1}z^r$, implying the equality $wy = x^p + z^r$. One can show that in this case we have:

$$A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xz - y^q, yw - x^p - z^r)$$

for $p, q, r \geq 2$. In other words, A is a $T_{pqr\infty}$ -singularity.

Case 5. For $e \geq 4$ the ring A is no longer a complete intersection. We the sake of completeness, we give its presentation via generators and relations. Let $0 < m < n$ be coprime integers and

$$\frac{n}{n-m} = a_1 - 1/a_2 - \cdots - 1/a_e,$$

where all $a_i \geq 2$, $1 \leq i \leq e$. Then one can show that $A = k[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_e, z]]/L$, where the ideal L is generated by

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} zx_2 &= x_1^{a_1} + x_3 \left(\prod_{l=3}^e x_l^{a_l-2} \right) x_e, \\ zx_{e-1} &= x_1 \left(\prod_{l=1}^{e-2} x_l^{a_l-2} \right) x_{e-2}, \\ zx_i &= x_1 \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i-1} x_l^{a_l-2} \right) x_{i-1} + x_{i+1} \left(\prod_{l=i+1}^e x_l^{a_l-2} \right) x_e, \quad 2 < i < e-2, \\ x_{i-1}x_{j+1} &= x_i \left(\prod_{k=i}^j x_k^{a_k-2} \right) x_j, \quad 2 \leq i \leq j \leq e-1. \end{cases}$$

5.5. Other cases of degenerate cusps which are complete intersections. In this subsection we shall describe all other cases of the degenerate cusps which are complete intersections.

Case 6. Let $R = k[[x_1, x_2]] \times k[[y_1, y_2]]$. Then A is generated by the power series in the elements $x = (x_1, y_2)$, $y = (x_2, y_1)$ and $z = (x_1x_2, 0)$. The following relation is obviously satisfied: $xyz = z^2$. Hence, we have:

$$(18) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz - z^2) \cong k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2y^2 + z^2).$$

Case 7. Let $R = k[[x_1, x_2]] \times k[[y_0, y_1, y_2]]/(y_0y_2 - y_1^p)$, $p \geq 2$. Then A is generated by the power series in the elements $x = (x_1, y_0)$, $y = (x_2, y_2)$ and $z = (0, y_1)$. Moreover, we have: $xyz = z^{p+1}$ and

$$(19) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz - z^{p+1}), \quad p \geq 2.$$

In other words, Case 6 and Case 7 yield the class of $T_{p\infty\infty}$ -singularities.

Case 8. Let $R = k[[x_1, x_2]] \times k[[y_1, y_2]] \times k[[z_1, z_2]]$. Then the ring A is generated by the power series in the elements $x = (x_2, y_1, 0)$, $y = (0, y_2, z_1)$ and $z = (x_1, 0, z_2)$. They satisfy the relation $xyz = 0$ and we have:

$$(20) \quad A = k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz).$$

Case 9. Let $R = k[[x_0, x_1, x_2]]/(x_0x_2 - x_1^p) \times k[[y_0, y_1, y_2]]/(y_0y_2 - y_1^q)$, $p, q \geq 2$. Then the degenerate cusp A is generated by the power series in the elements

$$x = (x_0, y_2), \quad y = (x_2, y_0), \quad z = (x_1, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad w = (0, y_1).$$

Then we have the following equalities in R : $xy = z^p + w^q$ and $zw = 0$. One can show that we have:

$$(21) \quad A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(z^p + w^q - xy, wz).$$

Case 10. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$, where $R_1 = k[[x_1, x_2]]$ and $R_2 = k[[y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3]]/(y_0y_2 - y_1^p, y_1y_3 - y_2^q, y_0y_3 - y_1^{p-1}y_2^{q-1})$, where $p, q \geq 2$. Then A is generated by the power series in the elements $x = (x_1, y_3)$, $y = (x_2, y_0)$, $z = (0, y_1)$, $w = (0, y_2)$ and we have:

$$(22) \quad A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(yw - z^p, xz - w^q).$$

Case 11. Let $R = k[[x_1, x_2]] \times k[[y_1, y_2]] \times k[[z_0, z_1, z_2]]/(z_0z_2 - z_1^p)$, where $p \geq 2$. Then the ring A is generated by the power series in the elements

$$x = (x_2, y_1, 0), y = (0, y_2, z_0), z = (x_1, 0, z_2), w = (0, 0, z_1).$$

The following relations are satisfied: $xw = 0$ and $yz = w^p$ and we get

$$(23) \quad A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xw, yz - w^p).$$

Case 12. Let $R = k[[x_1, x_2]] \times k[[y_1, y_2]] \times k[[z_1, z_2]] \times k[[w_1, w_2]]$. Then A is generated by the power series in the elements

$$x = (x_2, y_1, 0, 0), y = (0, y_2, z_1, 0), z = (0, 0, z_2, w_1) \quad \text{and} \quad w = (x_1, 0, 0, w_2)$$

and we have:

$$(24) \quad A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(xz, yw).$$

6. MATRIX PROBLEM FOR COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OVER DEGENERATE CUSPS

The goal of this section is to state a matrix problem describing Cohen-Macaulay modules over a degenerate cusp $A = \tilde{A}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$. Recall that the normalization of A is $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_t$, where each component $R_i = R(n_i, m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq t$ is a cyclic quotient singularity of type (n_i, m_i) . As a first step, we recall a description of the indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over cyclic quotient singularities.

6.1. Cohen-Macaulay modules on the cyclic quotient surface singularities. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $S = k[[u, v]]$ and $G = C_{n,m} \subset \text{GL}_2(k)$ be a cyclic subgroup group of order n generated by the matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^m \end{pmatrix}$, where ε is a primitive n -th root of unity, and $0 \leq m < n$ is such that $\gcd(m, n) = 1$. Let $R = R(n, m) = S^G$ be the corresponding ring of invariants, $\Lambda = S * G$ and $\Pi = \Pi(n, m) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For an element $l \in \Pi$ we denote by $\bar{l}$ the unique element in Π such that $l = \bar{l}m \bmod n$. Recall that

$$R = k[[u^n, u^{n-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{n-1}}, v^n]] \subset S = k[[u, v]] \subset \Lambda = k[[u, v]] * G$$

is the center of Λ , $\text{rad}(\Lambda) = (u, v) * G$ and $\Lambda / \text{rad}(\Lambda) \cong k[G]$. The following result is due to Auslander [3], see also [43] and [42].

Theorem 6.1. *In the above notations, let $\text{Pro}(\Lambda)$ be the category of finitely generated left Λ -modules. Then the functor of taking invariants $\text{Pro}(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{CM}(R)$, assigning to a projective module P its invariant part $P^G = \{x \in P \mid g \cdot x = x \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ and to a morphism $P \xrightarrow{f} Q$ its restriction $f|_{P^G}$, is an equivalence of categories.*

Let U be an irreducible representation of G . Then it defines a left Λ -module $P_U := S \otimes_k U$, where an element $p[g] \in \Lambda$ acts on a simple tensor $r \otimes v$ by the rule

$$p[g] \cdot (r \otimes v) = pg(r) \otimes g(v).$$

It is easy to see that P_U is projective and indecomposable and the top of P_U is U viewed as a Λ -module.

Since G is a finite cyclic group, all its irreducible representations are one-dimensional. For any $l \in \Pi$ let $V_l = k$ be the representation of G determined by the condition $g \cdot 1 = \xi^{-l}$. From Theorem 6.1 we obtain the following description of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over R .

Corollary 6.2. *In the above notations, there exist precisely n indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay R -modules. For any $l \in \Pi$ the corresponding Cohen-Macaulay R -module I_l is*

$$(25) \quad I_l = (S \otimes_k V_l)^G \cong \left\{ \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} u^i v^j \mid i + mj = l \bmod n, a_{ij} \in k \right\} \subset S.$$

In other words, $I_l = \langle u^l, u^{l-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{l-1}}, v^{\bar{l}} \rangle_R \subset S$.

Our next goal is to describe the morphisms between the indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay R -modules.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $p \in \Pi$, let $P_p = S \otimes_k V_p$ be the corresponding projective left Λ -module. Next, for any $p, q \in \Pi$ we set:*

$$S_{p,q} = \left\{ \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} a_{ij} u^i v^j \mid i + mj = q - p \bmod n, a_{ij} \in k \right\} \subset S.$$

Then we have:

- (1) *For any pair $p, q \in \Pi$ we have an isomorphism of R -modules $S_{p,q} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_p, P_q)$ assigning to a polynomial $a \in S_{p,q}$ the map $r \otimes 1 \mapsto ar \otimes 1$.*
- (2) *Moreover, these isomorphisms are compatible with compositions of morphisms: for any triple $p, q, t \in \Pi$ the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{q,t} \times S_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_q, P_t) \times \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_p, P_q) \\ \text{mult} \downarrow & & \downarrow \circ \\ S_{p,t} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_p, P_t) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

- (3) *In particular, for any $p \in \Pi$ we have an isomorphism of rings*

$$R = S_{p,p} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\Lambda}(P_p).$$

Proof. We only give a proof of the first statement of this lemma, since the remaining two follow from the first one. For any pair $p, q \in \Pi$ we certainly have:

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_p, P_q) \subseteq \text{Hom}_S(P_p, P_q) = \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_k V_p, S \otimes_k V_q) \cong \text{Hom}_S(S, S) \cong S.$$

Hence, any Λ -linear morphism φ from P_p to P_q is given by the multiplication with a certain power series $a \in S$. In other words, $\varphi = \varphi_a$, where $\varphi_a(b \otimes 1) = ab \otimes 1$ for $b \in S$. Since φ_a has to be Λ -linear, we have:

$$\varphi_a(g \cdot (b \otimes 1)) = ag(b) \otimes \xi^{-p} = g \cdot \varphi_a(b \otimes 1) = g(a)g(b) \otimes \xi^{-q},$$

where g is a generator of G . It implies that $g(a) = \xi^{q-p}a$, hence $a \in S_{q-p}$ as stated. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *Let P be a projective left Λ -module. Then $P \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} P_p^{m_p}$ for some uniquely determined multiplicities $m_p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Moreover, any endomorphism $\varphi \in \text{End}_\Lambda(P)$ can be written in the matrix form*

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{0,0} & \varphi_{0,1} & \cdots & \varphi_{0,n-1} \\ \varphi_{1,0} & \varphi_{1,1} & \cdots & \varphi_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{n-1,0} & \varphi_{n-1,1} & \cdots & \varphi_{n-1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\varphi_{q,p} \in \text{Mat}_{m_p \times m_q}(S_{q-p})$ for all $p, q \in \Pi$. Moreover, φ is an isomorphism if and only if the matrices $\varphi_{p,p}(0) \in \text{Mat}_{m_p \times m_p}(k)$ are invertible for all $p \in \Pi$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $J = \langle u^{n-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{n-1}} \rangle_R$ be the ideal introduced in Theorem 5.5 and $\bar{R} = R/J$. Then the following statements are true.*

- (1) *We have a ring isomorphism $\psi = \psi_0 : D = k[[x, y]]/(xy) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ given by the formula $\psi(x) = u^n$ and $\psi(y) = v^n$.*
- (2) *For any $p \in \Pi \setminus \{0\}$ there exists an isomorphism of D -modules*

$$\psi_p : k[[x]] \oplus k[[y]] \longrightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R I_p / \text{tor}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p)$$

given by $\psi_p(1_x) = [1 \otimes u^p]$ and $\psi_p(1_y) = [1 \otimes v^{\bar{p}}]$.

Proof. The first statement of this lemma is a part of Theorem 5.5. We only give a proof of the second statement.

Since R is a domain and I_p is Cohen-Macaulay over R of rank one, the module $\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p / \text{tor}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p)$ is Cohen-Macaulay of multi-rank $(1, 1)$ over the ring D . Hence, it is sufficient to show that ψ_p is well-defined and is an epimorphism. In order to show ψ_p is well-defined, it is sufficient to check that $x \cdot \psi_p(1_y) = 0 = y \cdot \psi_p(1_x)$. The first equality follows from the fact that

$$x \cdot \psi_p(1_y) = [1 \otimes u^n v^{\bar{p}}] = [\overline{u^{n-m}v} \otimes u^m v^{\bar{p}-1}] = 0$$

in $\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p / \text{tor}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p)$ because $u^{n-m}v \in J$ and $u^m v^{\bar{p}-1} \in I_p$ (note that $\bar{p} - 1 \geq 0$). The second equality $y \cdot \psi_p(1_x) = 0$ can be proven in the same way.

In order to show ψ_p is surjective, recall that $I_p = \langle u^p, u^{p-1}v^{\bar{1}}, \dots, uv^{\overline{p-1}}, v^{\bar{p}} \rangle_R$. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that for any pair $1 \leq i, j < n$ such that $i + mj = p \bmod n$ we have:

$$1 \otimes u^i v^j \in \text{tor}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p).$$

To show this, it is sufficient to observe that

$$x \cdot (1 \otimes u^i v^j) = 1 \otimes u^{n+i} v^j = \overline{u^{n-m}v} \otimes u^{i+m} v^{j-1} = 0$$

in $\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p$ because $u^{n-m}v \in J$ and $u^{i+m} v^{j-1} \in I_p$. In a similar way, we have the equality $y \cdot (1 \otimes u^i v^j) = 0$ in $\bar{R} \otimes_R I_p$. $\square$

Definition 6.6. On the set $\Pi = \Pi(n, m) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ there are two orderings $\leq_x$ and $\leq_y$ defined as follows:

- (1) $p \leq_x q$ if and only if $p \leq q$, where p and q are regarded as natural numbers.
- (2) $p \leq_y q$ if and only if $\bar{p} \leq \bar{q}$, where $\bar{p}$ and $\bar{q}$ are regarded as natural numbers.

Proposition 6.7. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} I_p^{m_p}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay module over the ring R .*

- (1) If $m = \sum_{p \in \Pi} m_p$ then we have an isomorphism

$$\psi_M : \bar{Q}(M) := Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} (\bar{R} \otimes_R M / \text{tor}(\bar{R} \otimes_R M)) \longrightarrow k((x))^m \oplus k((y))^m,$$

induced by the isomorphisms ψ_p ($p \in \Pi$) from Proposition 6.5.

- (2) Let $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$ be an automorphism of M and $\bar{\varphi}$ be the induced automorphism of $\bar{Q}(M)$. Taking the basis of $\bar{Q}(M)$ induced by ψ_M , the endomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ can be written as a pair of matrices $\bar{\varphi}^x \in \text{GL}_m(k[[x]])$ and $\bar{\varphi}^y \in \text{GL}_m(k[[y]])$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi}^x = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi}_{0,0}^x & \bar{\varphi}_{0,1}^x & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{0,n-1}^x \\ \bar{\varphi}_{1,0}^x & \bar{\varphi}_{1,1}^x & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{1,n-1}^x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,0}^x & \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,1}^x & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,n-1}^x \end{pmatrix} \quad \bar{\varphi}^y = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varphi}_{0,0}^y & \bar{\varphi}_{0,1}^y & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{0,n-1}^y \\ \bar{\varphi}_{1,0}^y & \bar{\varphi}_{1,1}^y & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{1,n-1}^y \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,0}^y & \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,1}^y & \cdots & \bar{\varphi}_{n-1,n-1}^y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, the blocks of the matrices $\bar{\varphi}^x$ and $\bar{\varphi}^y$ satisfy the following properties:

- (a) $\bar{\varphi}_{q,p}^x \in \text{Mat}_{m_q \times m_p}(k[[x]])$ if $p \leq_x q$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{q,p}^x \in \text{Mat}_{m_q \times m_p}(xk[[x]])$ if $p >_x q$.
 - (b) $\bar{\varphi}_{q,p}^y \in \text{Mat}_{m_q \times m_p}(k[[y]])$ if $p \leq_y q$ and $\bar{\varphi}_{q,p}^y \in \text{Mat}_{m_q \times m_p}(yk[[y]])$ if $p >_y q$.
 - (c) For any $p \in \Pi$ we have: $\bar{\varphi}_{p,p}^x(0) = \bar{\varphi}_{p,p}^y(0) \in \text{GL}_{m_p}(k)$.
- (3) Any pair of matrices $(\bar{\varphi}^x, \bar{\varphi}^y) \in \text{GL}_m(k[[x]]) \times \text{GL}_m(k[[y]])$ having a decomposition into blocks as above and satisfying the above conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c), is induced by an automorphism $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(M)$.

Proof. This proposition is a corollary of Theorem 6.1, Lemma 6.3, Corollary 6.4 and Lemma 6.5. $\square$

6.2. Matrix problem for Cohen-Macaulay modules over the degenerate cusps.

The main idea of our approach to a study of Cohen-Macaulay modules over non-isolated singularities is the following. Let $A = \tilde{A}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ be a degenerate cusp. By Theorem 3.5, the classification of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over A is equivalent to a description of indecomposable objects of the category of triples $\text{Tri}(A)$. The latter problem turns out to be more accessible, because it can be reformulated as a certain matrix problem. Indeed, recall that

- (1) The normalization R of the ring A is a product of the cyclic quotient singularities:

$$R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_t = R(n_1, m_1) \times R(n_2, m_2) \times \cdots \times R(n_t, m_t).$$

- (2) If $I = \text{ann}_A(R/A)$ is the conductor ideal and $\bar{A} = A/I$ and $\bar{R} = R/I$ then

$$A = k[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t]]/L,$$

where the ideal L is generated by the monomials $z_i z_j$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$ and

$$\bar{R} = k[[x_1, y_1]]/x_1 y_1 \times k[[x_2, y_2]]/x_2 y_2 \times \cdots \times k[[x_t, y_t]]/x_t y_t.$$

- (3) Under the canonical morphism $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$, the element z_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) is mapped to $x_i + y_{i-1}$, where $y_0 = y_t$.

Let $T = (\tilde{M}, V, \theta)$ be an object of the category $\text{Tri}(A)$. Then we have:

1. $\tilde{M} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \tilde{M}_i$, where $\tilde{M}_i$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module over $R_i = R(n_i, m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. In particular, $\tilde{M}_i = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n_i-1} I_{i,p}^{d_{i,p}}$ for some uniquely determined multiplicities $d_{i,p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where $I_{i,p}$ is the rank one Cohen-Macaulay R_i -module defined by (25).

2. The second term of the triple T is a module V over the semi-simple ring $Q(\bar{A}) = k((z_1)) \times k((z_2)) \times \cdots \times k((z_t))$. Hence, $V \cong k((z_1))^{l_1} \oplus k((z_2))^{l_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus k((z_t))^{l_t}$ for some uniquely determined multiplicities $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

3. Using the isomorphism $\psi_{\widetilde{M}}$ from Proposition 6.7, we have:

$$Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \cong (k((x_1)) \oplus k((y_1)))^{d_1} \oplus (k((x_2)) \oplus k((y_2)))^{d_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus (k((x_t)) \oplus k((y_t)))^{d_t},$$

where $d_i = \sum_{p=0}^{n_i-1} d_{i,p}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq t$.

4. The morphism $\theta : Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_{Q(\bar{A})} V \rightarrow Q(\bar{R}) \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ is given by a collection of matrices $((\theta_1^x, \theta_1^y), (\theta_2^x, \theta_2^y), \dots, (\theta_t^x, \theta_t^y))$. These matrices satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have: $\theta_i^x \in \text{Mat}_{d_i \times l_i}(k((x_i)))$ and $\theta_i^y \in \text{Mat}_{d_i \times l_{i+1}}(k((y_i)))$ have full row rank.
- (2) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ the matrix $\left(\frac{\theta_i^x}{\theta_{i-1}^y} \right) (z) \in \text{Mat}_{(d_{i-1}+d_i) \times l_i}(k((z)))$ has a full column rank.

Corollary 6.8. Let $A = \widetilde{A}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ be a degenerate cusp over an algebraically closed field k . Then a description of isomorphism classes of objects in the category $\text{Tri}(A)$ (and thus, of the isomorphism classes of Cohen-Macaulay modules over A) reduces to the following *matrix problem*. Let $D = k[[z]]$, $\mathfrak{m} = zk[[z]]$ and $K = k((z))$.

- (1) We have $2t$ matrices $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t$ over the field K . More precisely, $X_i \in \text{Mat}_{d_i \times l_i}(K)$ and $Y_i \in \text{Mat}_{d_i \times l_{i-1}}(K)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. In particular, the matrices X_i and Y_i have the same number of rows and the matrices X_i, Y_{i-1} have the same number of columns (as usual, we set here $Y_0 = Y_t$).
- (2) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$, the matrices X_i and Y_i are divided into horizontal stripes labeled by the elements of the set $\Pi_i = \Pi(n_i, m_i) = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$. Moreover, the blocks labeled by the same letter $p \in \Pi_i$, have the same number of rows (which is allowed to be zero).
- (3) The matrices $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t$ satisfy the following constraints:
 - (a) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ the matrices X_i and Y_i have a full row rank.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ the matrix $\left(\frac{X_i}{Y_{i-1}} \right)$ has a full column rank.
- (4) It is allowed to do the following transformations with matrices X_i, Y_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$:
 - (a) One can perform any elementary transformations of rows of the matrices $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t$ with coefficients in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ one can do any *simultaneous* transformations of columns of the matrices X_i and Y_{i-1} over the *field of fractions* K .
 - (c) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ and any $p \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ one can do any *simultaneous* transformations of rows of the horizontal stripes of the matrices X_i and Y_i marked by the label p over the *residue field* k .
 - (d) Let $1 \leq i \leq t$ be fixed and $p \neq q \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ be two labels.
 - (i) If $p <_x q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix X_i of the block labeled by p to any row of X_i of the block labeled by q .
 - (ii) Similarly, if $p <_y q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix Y_i of the block labeled by p to any row of Y_i of the block labeled by q .

Remark 6.9. The matrix problem obtained in Corollary 6.8 has not been studied in an earlier literature. In the subsequent section we shall show that it has *tame* representation

type. In particular, the category of Cohen-Macaulay modules over a degenerate cusp has tame representation type. This result is very surprising because for a long time there was a belief that a non-isolated surface singularity can not be representation-tame.

7. DECORATED BUNCHES OF CHAINS

In this section we study a general class of matrix problems, which contain as a subclass the ones describing Cohen-Macaulay modules over degenerate cusps, see Corollary 6.8.

7.1. Definitions. In this subsection we consider the matrix problem defined by the following combinatorial data.

Definition 7.1. A *decorated bunch of chains* $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \sim, -, \leq, \triangleleft\}$ consists of:

- Two sets $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ without common elements. We denote $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}$ and write $x \parallel y$ if $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ or $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$, and $x \perp y$ if $x \in \mathcal{E}, y \in \mathcal{F}$ or vice versa.
- An equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that each equivalence class consists of at most two elements.
- A symmetric relation $-$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $x - y$ implies that $x \perp y$. We denote by θ the smallest equivalence relation containing the relation $-$, and for each θ -equivalence class c set $\mathcal{E}_c = c \cap \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}_c = c \cap \mathcal{F}$.
- A (partial) order $\leq$ on $\mathcal{X}$, which we suppose to be concentrated on the classes $\mathcal{E}_c$ and $\mathcal{F}_c$, i.e. such that $x \leq y$ implies that $x \parallel y$ and $x\theta y$.
- A *convex* subrelation $\trianglelefteq \subseteq \leq$. “Convex” means that if $x \leq y \leq z$ and $x \trianglelefteq z$, then also $x \trianglelefteq y$ and $y \trianglelefteq z$ (in particular, if $x \trianglelefteq y$, then also $x \trianglelefteq x$ and $y \trianglelefteq y$). We denote by $\preceq$ the difference $\leq \setminus \trianglelefteq$, i.e. $x \preceq y$ means that $x \leq y$, but not $x \trianglelefteq y$. We also denote $\Delta = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid x \trianglelefteq x\}$ and call the elements from Δ *decorated*.

These relations $\leq$ and $-$ must satisfy the following conditions:

- For each θ -class c both $\mathcal{E}_c$ and $\mathcal{F}_c$ is a chain (linear ordered set) with respect to the ordering $\leq$.
- If $x \sim y$ and $x \in \Delta$, then also $y \in \Delta$.
- If $x < x', y < y', x - y', x' - y$ and $x' - y'$, then also $x - y$.

Certainly, we write $x < y$, or $x \triangleleft y$, or $x \prec y$ if $x \neq y$ and, respectively, $x \leq y$, or $x \trianglelefteq y$, or $x \preceq y$.

Let D be a discrete valuation ring with the field of quotients K , the maximal ideal pD and the residue field $k = D/pD$. We define a category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{X}, D)$ and a $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{X}, D)$ as follows.

Definition 7.2. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \sim, -, \leq, \triangleleft\}$ be a decorated bunch of chains.

- First set, for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$B(x, y) = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } x - y, x \in \mathcal{F}, y \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$C(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x, y \text{ are non-comparable or } x \prec y, \\ K & \text{if } y \preceq x, \\ D & \text{if } y \trianglelefteq x, \\ pD & \text{if } x \triangleleft y. \end{cases}$$

- The objects of the category $\mathcal{C}$ are the equivalence classes with respect to $\sim$. If a, b are two such classes and either $a \neq b$ or $a = b$ consists of one element, then $\mathcal{C}(a, b) = \bigoplus_{x \in a, y \in b} C(x, y)$, considered, as the set of $b \times a$ -matrices, i.e. the matrices with columns numbered by $x \in a$, the rows numbered by $y \in b$ and the yx -entry being from $C(x, y)$. If $a = b = \{x, y\}$, $x \neq y$, we define $\mathcal{C}(a, a)$ as the subset of $\bigoplus_{x, y \in a} C(x, y)$ consisting of all such matrices S_{yx} that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{xx} &= S_{yy} \text{ if } x \notin \Delta, \\ S_{xx} &\equiv S_{yy} \text{ if } x \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplication of morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ is given by usual matrix multiplication.

- Now we define the $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ setting

$$\mathcal{B}(a, b) = \bigoplus_{x \in a, y \in b} B(x, y)$$

also considered as the set of $b \times a$ -matrices with the yx -entries from $B(x, y)$. The action of the morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ on the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ is also given by the usual matrix multiplication and replacing all products that appear on a “zero” place by zeros. Note that the condition (iii) above guarantees the associativity of this multiplication.

Definition 7.3. Now we define the *category of representations* $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}, D)$ of the decorated bunch of chains $\mathfrak{X}$ over the ring D as the *bimodule category* $\text{El}(\mathcal{B})$ (or the *category of elements of the bimodule* $\mathcal{B}$). Recall that it is defined as follows. Let $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ be the additive closure of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e. the category, whose objects are formal (finite) direct sums $X = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n X_j$ ($X_j \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$), while morphisms from X to $Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m Y_i$ are just $m \times n$ matrices with the ij -entries from $\mathcal{C}(X_j, Y_i)$. One extends the bimodule $\mathcal{B}$ up to a $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ -module such that $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is the set of $m \times n$ matrices with the ij -entries from $\mathcal{B}(X_j, Y_i)$. Then $\text{Ob } \text{El}(\mathcal{B}) = \bigcup_{X \in \text{Ob } \text{add } \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{B}(X, X)$ and morphisms from $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(X, X)$ to $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(Y, Y)$ are those morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that $f\alpha = \beta f$ (note that both sides are from $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$). We denote this set of morphisms by $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\alpha, \beta)$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(X, X)$, we call X the *support* of α .

More explicitly, one can consider objects from $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}, D)$ as block matrices as follows. Denote by $\mathcal{X}(2)$ the set of pairs (x, y) , where $x \in \mathcal{E}$, $y \in \mathcal{F}$, $x \sim y$. Choose non-negative integers m_x for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ so that $m_x = m_y$ if $x \sim y$. Then a representation of $\mathfrak{X}$ is given by a set of matrices $M = \{M_{xy} \in \text{Mat}(m_x \times m_y, K) \mid (x, y) \in \mathcal{X}(2)\}$. A morphism from such a representation M to another one $N = \{N_{xy}\}$, where $N_{xy} \in \text{Mat}(n_x \times n_y, K)$, is given by a set of matrices $S = \{S_x, S_{xy} \mid x, y \in \mathcal{X}, x < y \text{ or } y \triangleleft x\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (e1) \quad & S_x \in \text{Mat}(n_x \times m_x, K) \text{ if } x \notin \Delta, \\ & S_x \in \text{Mat}(n_x \times m_x, D) \text{ if } x \in \Delta, \\ & S_x = S_y \text{ if } x \sim y \text{ and } x \notin \Delta, \\ (e2) \quad & S_x \equiv S_y \pmod{p} \text{ if } x \sim y \text{ and } x \in \Delta, \\ & S_{xy} \in \text{Mat}(n_x \times m_y, K) \text{ if } x \prec y, \\ & S_{xy} \in \text{Mat}(n_x \times m_y, D) \text{ if } x \triangleleft y, \\ & S_{xy} \in p \text{Mat}(n_x \times m_y, D) \text{ if } y \triangleleft x. \end{aligned}$$

and, for each pair (x, y) with $x \in \mathcal{E}$, $y \in \mathcal{F}$, $x \sim y$,

$$(26) \quad S_x M_{xy} + \sum_{x < x' \text{ or } x' \triangleleft x} S_{xx'} M_{x'y} = N_{xy} S_y + \sum_{y' < y \text{ or } y \triangleleft y'} N_{xy'} S_{y'y}.$$

Recall that the *radical* $\mathcal{R}$ of the category $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X})$ is, by definition, such an ideal of this category that $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\alpha, \beta)/\mathcal{R}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a semi-simple artinian ring for all objects α, β and a morphism $f : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is invertible provided it is invertible modulo $\mathcal{R}$. One easily verifies that a set of matrices $S = \{S_x, S_{xy}\}$ as above defines a morphism from $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if $S_x = 0$ for each non-decorated x and $S_x \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for each decorated x . In particular, two sets of matrices $M = \{M_{xy}\}$ and $M' = \{M'_{xy}\}$ (of the same size) define isomorphic representations if and only if they can be transformed into the other by a sequence of the following “elementary transformations”:

- (T1) (Transformations of stripes.)
Choose a set of matrices $\{S_x \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, where $S_x \in \text{GL}(m_x, D)$ if $x \in \Delta$, $S_x \in \text{GL}(m_x, K)$ otherwise, such that $S_x = S_y$ if $x \sim y$, $x \notin \Delta$ and $S_x \equiv S_y \pmod{p}$ if $x \sim y$, $x \in \Delta$. Now replace each M_{xy} by $S_x M_{xy} S_y^{-1}$.
- (T2) (Transformations between the stripes.)
 - (a) Choose two elements $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x < y$ and a matrix S_{xy} of size $m_y \times m_x$ with entries from K , if $x \prec y$, or from D , if $x \triangleleft y$. Now, if $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, replace each M_{xz} by $M_{xz} + S_{xy} M_{yz}$, and if $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$, replace each M_{zy} by $M_{zy} + M_{zx} S_{xy}$.
 - (b) Choose two elements $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $y \triangleleft x$ and a matrix S_{xy} of size $m_y \times m_x$ with entries from D . Now, if $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, replace each M_{xz} by $M_{xz} + p S_{xy} M_{yz}$, and if $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$, replace each M_{zy} by $M_{zy} + p M_{zx} S_{xy}$.

7.2. Description of representations. In this subsection we describe the combinatorics of indecomposable representations of a given decorated bunch of chains.

Definition 7.4. (1) An $\mathfrak{X}$ -word (or simply a *word* if $\mathfrak{X}$ is fixed) is a sequence $w =$

$x_1 r_1 x_2 r_2 \dots x_{n-1} r_{n-1} x_n$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and r_i is either $\sim$ or $\overset{d_i}{-}$ for some integer d_i , such that

- (a) If $r_i = \sim$, then $x_i \sim x_{i+1}$ in $\mathfrak{X}$, otherwise $x_i - x_{i+1}$ in $\mathfrak{X}$.
- (b) If $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$, then $r_{i+1} = \overset{d_i}{-}$ and vice versa.
- (c) If there is $y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x_1 \sim y$ and $x_1 \neq y$ ($x_n \sim y$ and $x_n \neq y$), then $r_1 = \sim$ (respectively, $r_{n-1} = \sim$).

We set $w^* = x_n r_{n-1} x_{n-1} \dots r_2 x_2 r_1 x_1$ and call the word w^* *opposite* to w . We call n the *length* of w .

- (2) If in a word w as above $x_i \notin \Delta$ and $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$, we say that the word w' obtained from w by replacing d_i with $d_i + k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) and, if $i \geq 3$, simultaneously replacing d_{i-2} with $d_{i-2} \pm k$, where $+$ is taken if $x_i \parallel x_{i-1}$, $-$ is taken if $x_i \perp x_{i-1}$, is *elementary equivalent* to w . If there is a sequence of words $w = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_k = w'$ such that w_i is elementary equivalent to w_{i-1} for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we say that the words w and w' are *equivalent*. Note that if neither x_i in w is decorated, the word w is equivalent to $w_0 = x_1 \bar{r}_1 x_2 \bar{r}_2 \dots x_{n-1} \bar{r}_{n-1} x_n$, where $\bar{r}_i = \sim$ if $r_i = \sim$ and $\bar{r}_i = \overset{0}{-}$ if $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$. Then we call the word w_0 *standardized*.
- (3) A word w is called *cyclic* if $r_1 = r_{n-1} = \sim$ and $x_n - x_1$. We call a *cycle* a pair $W = (w, d)$, where w is a cyclic word and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. For such a cycle we set $d_n = d$, $r_n = \overset{d}{-}$, where n is the length of w , $x_{i+kn} = x_i$, $r_{i+kn} = r_i$ and $d_{i+kn} = d_i$ for all k . Note that the length n of a cycle is always even.
- (4) We call a cycle *decorated* if at least one of x_i is so.

- (5) We call two non-decorated cycles (w, d) and (w', d') *equivalent* if so are the words w and w' . Actually, it means that every non-decorated cycle is equivalent to $(w_0, 0)$, where w_0 is the word defined in (2). The latter cycle is also called *standardized*.
- (6) We define *equivalent decorated cycles* similar to equivalent words, but omitting the condition $i \geq 3$ in the definition of the elementary equivalence, using instead for $i = 1$ the agreement $d_{-1} = d_{n-1}$ and allowing the case $i = n$.
- (7) We call a cycle W *periodic* if there is a shorter cyclic word v such that $w = v - v - \dots - v$.
- (8) For a cycle W we define its k -th shift as the cycle $W^{(k)} = (w^{(k)}, d_{2k})$, where $1 \leq k < n/2$ and

$$w^{(k)} = x_{2k+1}r_{2k+1}x_{2k+2} \dots r_n x_1 r_1 x_2 \dots r_{2k} x_{2k}.$$

Let m be the number of integers i such that $0 \leq i < k$ and $x_{2i+1} \parallel x_{2i}$. We call the k -th shift of W *even* or *odd* if so is m .

- (9) We define the cycle W^* opposite to $W = (w, d)$ as the pair (w^*, d)

Now we are able to define our main personages, *string* and *band* representations. We always present them in the matrix form as described above.

Definition 7.5. (1) Given a word $w = x_1 r_1 x_2 r_2 \dots x_{n-1} r_{n-1} x_n$, we construct the corresponding *string representation* $M(w) = \{M_{xy}\}$ as follows:

- (a) The rows of each matrix M_{xy} are numbered by the indices $\{i \mid x_i = x\}$, while its columns are numbered by the indices $\{j \mid x_j = y\}$.
- (b) If $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$ and $x_i = x, x_{i+1} = y$, then the $(i, i+1)$ -th entry of M_{xy} equals p^{d_i} .
If $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$ and $x_i = y, x_{i+1} = x$, then the $(i+1, i)$ -th entry of M_{xy} equals p^{d_i} .
- (c) All other entries of M_{xy} equal zero.
- (2) Let $W = (w, d)$, where $w = x_1 r_1 x_2 r_2 \dots x_{n-1} r_{n-1} x_n$ be a non-periodic cycle, which is either decorated or standardized, m be a positive integer and $\phi(t) \neq t$ be an irreducible polynomial over the field k if this cycle is decorated and over the field K if it is standardized. We construct the *band representation* $M(W, m, \phi)$ as follows:
 - (a) Each matrix M_{xy} is a block matrix with all blocks of size $m \times m$. Its horizontal stripes are numbered by the indices $\{i \mid x_i = x\}$, while its vertical stripes are numbered by the indices $\{j \mid x_j = y\}$.
 - (b) If $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$ ($1 \leq i < n-1$) and $x_i = x, x_{i+1} = y$, then the $(i, i+1)$ -th block of M_{xy} equals $p^{d_i} I_m$. If $r_i = \overset{d_i}{-}$ and $x_i = y, x_{i+1} = x$, then the $(i+1, i)$ -th block of M_{xy} equals $p^{d_i} I_m$. (I_m is the identity $m \times m$ matrix.)
 - (c) If this cycle is standardized, we denote by Φ the Frobenius matrix over the field K with the characteristic polynomial $\phi(t)^m$. If it is decorated, we choose a matrix Φ over the ring D such that modulo p it coincides with the Frobenius matrix over the field k with the characteristic polynomial $\phi(t)$.
 - (d) If $x_n = x, x_1 = y$, then the $(n1)$ -th block equals $p^d \Phi$. If $x_1 = x, x_n = y$, then the $(1n)$ -th block equals $p^d \Phi$. (Recall that in the standardized case $d_i = 0$ for all i .)
 - (e) All other blocks of M_{xy} equal zero.

The main theorem about the decorated bunches of chains is the following.

Theorem 7.6. (1) *String and band representations are indecomposable and every indecomposable representation of $\mathfrak{X}$ is isomorphic to one of them.*

- (2) Neither string representation is isomorphic to a band one.
- (3) Two string representations $M(w)$ and $M(w')$ are isomorphic if and only if w' is equivalent either to w or to w^* .
- (4) Two band representations $M(W, m, \phi)$ and $M(W', m', \phi'(t))$ are equivalent if and only if $m = m'$, there is an integer k ($0 \leq k < n/2$) such that $W^{(k)}$ is equivalent either to W' or to $(W')^*$, $\phi'(t) = \phi(t)$ if the k -th shift of W is even, and $\phi'(t) = t^r \phi(1/t)$, where $r = \deg \phi(t)$, if this shift is odd. (Note that such k , if exists, is unique, since the cycle is non-periodic.)

In particular, bunches of chains are of *tame representation type*, at least with respect to the intuitive conception of this term. (We shall not try to precise its meaning right now.)

7.3. Reduction algorithm. The main tool in the proof of Theorem 7.6 is the so-called *reduction algorithm*. Actually it put a part of the matrices M_{xy} describing a representation to a certain “normal form”; then we only consider the transformations that do not change this form. It turns out that the new matrix problem can be again considered as one on the representations of a new decorated bunch of chains. It allows to prove the main theorem by a recursive procedure. In what follows we may only consider *faithful* representations α , i.e. such that the support of α contains all objects of $\mathcal{C}$ as direct summands. In the terms of matrices it means that for every class $a \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ there is an element $x \in a$ and an element $y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $M_{xy} \neq 0$ or $M_{yx} \neq 0$.

For an element $\alpha \in K$ let $\nu(\alpha) = \sup \{ m \mid \alpha \in p^m D \}$ (the *valuation* of α); in particular, $\nu(0) = \infty$. If $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is a matrix with the entries from K , let $\nu(A) = \min \nu(\alpha_{ij})$.

We call a $\triangleleft$ -interval in $\mathfrak{X}$ a maximal subchain (with respect to the order $\leq$) $X \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ such that if $x, y \in X$ and $x < y$, then $x \triangleleft y$. If $X \subseteq \mathcal{E}$, $Y \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ are two $\triangleleft$ -intervals such that $x - y$ for some $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, we write $X - Y$, denote by M_{XY} the block matrix consisting of the blocks M_{xy} for $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. In particular, the number $\nu(M; X, Y) = \min \{ \nu(M_{xy}) \mid x \in X, y \in Y \}$ is defined. We also write $X \leq Y$ if there are elements $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ such that $x \leq y$ (if $X \neq Y$, it means that $x \prec y$). We fix one θ -class $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_0$ and choose, among all $\triangleleft$ -intervals contained in $\mathcal{X}_0$, a pair $X_0 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_0$, $Y_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$ such that

- If $X_0 < X$, then $M_{XY} = 0$ for all Y .
- If $Y < Y_0$, then $M_{X_0Y} = 0$.

Obviously, such a pair always exists and is unique. Let $d = \nu(M_{X_0Y_0})$. Now we choose the elements $x_0 \in X_0$, $y_0 \in Y_0$ such that $\nu(M_{x_0y_0}) = d$ and, moreover,

- If $x_0 \triangleleft x$, then $\nu(M_{xy}) > d$ for all y .
- If $y \triangleleft y_0$, then $\nu(M_{x_0y}) > d$.

Such a pair also exists and is unique. Moreover, this pair is invariant under isomorphisms and in the case, when x_0 and y_0 are both decorated, the value d is also invariant under isomorphisms. On the contrary, if either x_0 or y_0 is non-decorated, we may, and always will suppose that $d = 0$. We call the pair (x_0, y_0) *leading pair* of the representation M and denote by $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ the full subcategory of $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X})$ consisting of all representations with the leading pair (x_0, y_0) and $\nu(M_{x_0y_0}) = d$. We also denote by $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ the union of all subcategories $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x, y, d')$ such that one of the following conditions holds:

- Either $x \prec x_0$ or $x \triangleleft x_0$, $d \leq d'$.
- $x = x_0$ and either $y \prec y'$ or $y' \triangleleft y$, $d \leq d'$.
- $x = x_0$, $y = y_0$ and $d < d'$.

Actually, we deal with each of these subcategories separately. The idea of the reduction algorithm is to construct a new bunch of chains $\mathfrak{X}'$ such that $\mathcal{E}' \supseteq \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}' \supseteq \mathcal{F}$, a “well-described” subset $\mathbf{S}$ of “special” representations from $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ and functors

$$F : \text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d) / \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle \longrightarrow \text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d),$$

where $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ is the ideal generated by the identity morphisms of the objects from $\mathbf{S}$, and

$$G : \text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d) \longrightarrow \text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d) / \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$$

such that $FG \simeq \text{Id}$, $GF(\alpha) \simeq \alpha$ for all objects α and if $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\alpha, \beta)$, where both $\alpha, \beta \in \text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ and have no direct summands from $\mathbf{S}$, then $GF(f) \equiv f \pmod{\mathcal{R}}$. Then the functor F has the evident properties:

- (1) F is full and *dense* (i.e. every object from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$ is isomorphic to $F(\alpha)$ for some object $\alpha \in \text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$).
- (2) F is *conservative*, i.e. a morphism f is an isomorphism if and only if so is $F(f)$.

A functor with these properties is called an *epivalence*. Then also an object α is indecomposable if and only if so is $F(\alpha)$. Therefore, F induces a one-to-one correspondence between isoclasses of indecomposable objects in $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$, except those from $\mathbf{S}$, and $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$. Moreover, we shall provide an explicit construction that gives a correspondence between string and band representations from $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ and those from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$.

The construction of the bunch $\mathfrak{X}'$ and the functors F, G depends on the following cases that can happen:

- (Case 1) Both x_0 and y_0 are non-decorated and $x_0 \not\sim y_0$.
- (Case 2) Both x_0 and y_0 are non-decorated and $x_0 \sim y_0$.
- (Case 3) x_0 is decorated and y_0 is not (or vice versa).
- (Case 4) Both x_0 and y_0 are decorated and $x_0 \not\sim y_0$.
- (Case 5) Both x_0 and y_0 are decorated and $x_0 \sim y_0$.

We denote $\nu(M_{x_0 y_0}) = d$. Let $M_{x_0 y_0}$ be of size $m \times n$. Since the cases 1 and 2 do not essentially differ from the case of “usual” (non-decorated) bunches of chains described in [6, 31] (and are simpler than the other cases), we shall not consider them here.

Case 4. This case seems to be the simplest among the “decorated” ones. Using elementary transformation of type (T1), one can reduce the matrix $M_{x_0 y_0}$ to the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p^d I_r \\ p^{d+1} N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $r, N \in \text{Mat}((m-r) \times (n-r), D)$. Then, using the transformations of type (T2), one can make zeros all rows and columns that match up those of I_r in all matrices outside $M_{x_0 y_0}$. The resulting set of matrices will be called $(x_0 y_0)$ -*reduced*. Suppose that both M and M' are already $(x_0 y_0)$ -reduced. It is easy to check that, for any set of matrices $S = \{S_x, S_y\}$ defining a morphism $M \rightarrow M'$, the matrices S_{x_0} and S_{y_0} are of the form

$$S_{x_0} = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ ps_3 & s_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{y_0} = \begin{pmatrix} s_5 & s_3 \\ ps_2 N & s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some blocks s_k ($1 \leq s \leq 5$).

We define a new decorated bunch of chains $\mathfrak{X}'$ in the following way.

- (1) The set $\mathcal{X}'$ coincide with $\mathcal{X}$ if there are no such elements $z \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x_0, y_0\}$ that $z \sim x_0$ or $z' \sim y_0$. If such an element exists in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$, we add to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F})$ a new decorated element z_* or z'_* .

- (2) All relations $x \sim y$, $x \neq y$ in $\mathfrak{X}'$ are the same as in $\mathfrak{X}$ except the case, when there are elements $z \sim x$, $z \neq x$ and $z' \sim y$, $z' \neq y$; then we add the relation $z_* \sim z'_*$.
- (3) The order relations ($\leq$ and $\preceq$) between the elements of $\mathcal{X}$ remain the same in $\mathfrak{X}'$. If z_* (z'_*) is the new element inserted above, we set $z_* \triangleleft z$ ($z' \triangleleft z'_*$) and the other order relations for z_* (z'_*) are the same as for z .
- (4) All relations – for the elements from $\mathcal{X}$ are the same as in $\mathfrak{X}$; for a new element z_* (z'_*) we set $z_* - x$ if and only if $z - x$ (respectively, $z'_* \sim x$ if and only if $z' \sim x$).

We also set $\mathbf{S} = \{p^d E_{x_0 y_0}\}$, where $E_{x_0 y_0}$ denotes the representation with support $x_0 + y_0$ given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since the $(x_0 y_0)$ -reduced representations form a full subcategory equivalent to the whole $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$, it is enough to construct the functor F for such representations. On the matrix level its construction is quite evident: we just cross out all rows and columns that math up those of the unit part I_r of $M_{x_0 y_0}$. If $z \sim x_0$, $z \neq x_0$ (or $z' \sim y_0$, $z' \neq y_0$), we divide each matrix of the z -th stripe into two parts: the first r of them (respectively, the last r) are sent to the corresponding z_* -matrix (respectively, z'_* -matrix), while the last $n_z - r$ (respectively, the first $n_z - r$) are sent into the new z -matrix (respectively, z' -matrix) (with the same second index). In the same way, in each set of matrices defining a morphism we cross out the rows and columns corresponding to x_0 and y_0 , and divide the matrices corresponding to $z \sim x$ or $z' \sim y$ just as for representations. One easily sees that F is indeed a functor.

Let now a representation $\alpha \in \text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$ be given by a set of matrices $N = \{N_{xy} \mid (x, y) \in \mathcal{X}'(2)\}$. We define $G(\alpha)$ as a representation of $\mathfrak{X}$ given by the (x_0, y_0) -reduced set of matrices $M = \{M_{xy} \mid (x, y) \in \mathcal{X}(2)\}$, where:

- $M_x = N_{xy}$ if $x \not\sim x_0$, $y \not\sim y_0$.
- If there is an element $z \sim x_0$, $z \neq x_0$, but there is no element $z' \sim y_0$, $z' \neq y_0$, or there is an element $z \sim y_0$, $z \neq y_0$, but there is no element $z' \sim x_0$, $z' \neq x_0$, then:
 $M_{zy} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{z_* y} \\ N_{zy} \end{pmatrix}$ for $y \neq y_0$ and $M_{zy_0} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{z_* y_0} & 0 \\ N_{zy_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where zero part has n_{z_*} columns, if $z \in \mathcal{E}$.
 $M_{xz} = (N_{xz} \ N_{xz_*})$ for $x \neq x_0$ and $M_{x_0 z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ N_{x_0 z} & N_{x_0 z_*} \end{pmatrix}$, where zero part has n_{n_*} rows, if $z \in \mathcal{F}$
- If there is both an element $z \sim x_0$, $z \neq x_0$ and an element $z' \sim y_0$, $z' \neq y_0$, then M_{xy} are defined as in the previous item, with the exception of $M_{zz'} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{z_* z'} & N_{z_* z'_*} \\ N_{zz'} & N_{zz'_*} \end{pmatrix}$ if $z \in \mathcal{E}$, $z' \in \mathcal{F}$ or $M_{z'z} = \begin{pmatrix} N_{z'_* z} & N_{z'_* z_1} \\ N_{z'z} & N_{z'z_*} \end{pmatrix}$ if $z \in \mathcal{F}$, $z' \in \mathcal{E}$.
- If there is neither an element $z \sim x_0$, $z \neq x_0$ nor an element $z \sim y_0$, $z \neq y_0$, then $M_{x_0 y_0} = N_{x_0 y_0}$; otherwise $M_{x_0 y_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p^d I_r \\ N_{x_0 y_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $r = n_{z_*}$.

Just in the same way we define $f = G(g) = \{S_x, s_{xy}\}$, where $g = \{T_x, T_{xy}\}$ is a morphism from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$. Certainly, here we must remember the rule (e2) from Definition 7.3. Therefore, if there is $z \sim x_0$ (or $z \sim y_0$), we have to set $S_{x_0} = S_z = \begin{pmatrix} T_{z_*} & T_{zz_*} \\ T_{z_* z} & T_z \end{pmatrix}$

(respectively, $S_{y_0} = S_z = \begin{pmatrix} T_z & T_{z_*z} \\ T_{zz_*} & T_{z_*} \end{pmatrix}$). Again it is quite evident that G is a functor $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d) \rightarrow \text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ such that $FG = id$. Moreover, if M is (x_0y_0) -reduced, then either $GF(M) = M$, if there is an element $z \sim x_0$ or $z \sim y_0$, and $M \simeq GF(M) \oplus (p^d E_{x_0y_0})^r$ if there are no such elements. In both cases $M \simeq GF(M)$ in $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)/\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$. Moreover, in the former case, if M, M' are (x_0y_0) -reduced and $f : M \rightarrow M'$, then all matrices $\hat{S}_x, \hat{S}_{xy}$ describing $f - GF(f)$ are zero except, maybe, $\hat{S}_{x_0}$ and $\hat{S}_{y_0}$, and the latter are with entries from pD . Therefore, $f - GF(f) \in \mathcal{R}$, so all conditions on F and G hold.

It is easy to see that a string $M(w)$ or a band representation $M(w, d, \phi(t))$ belongs to $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$, then, for every entry $x \overset{c}{-} y$ or $y \overset{c}{-} x$, where $x \in \mathcal{E}$, $y \in \mathcal{F}$

- either x is non-comparable with x_0 , or $x_0 \triangleleft x$ and $d < c$, or $x \trianglelefteq x_0$ and $d \leq c$, or $x \prec x_0$;
- if $x = x_0$, then either $y \triangleleft y_0$ and $d < c$ or $y_0 \trianglelefteq y$ and $d \leq c$, or $y_0 \prec y$.

Note that if there is neither $z \sim x_0$ nor $z \sim y_0$, the fragment $w_1 = x_0 \overset{d}{-} y_0$ (or $w_1^* = y_0 \overset{d}{-} x_0$) can not be prolonged, so there is the unique representation $M(x_0 \overset{d}{-} y_0) = dE_{x_0y_0}$ where this fragment can occur. If there is $z \sim x_0$ but no $z' \sim y_0$, then w_1 (w_1^*) can only occur at the beginning (respectively, end) of a word w (never cyclic) as $z \sim x_0 \overset{d}{-} y_0$ (respectively, $y_0 \overset{d}{-} x_0 \sim z$). If it is the whole word w , then $M(w) = p^d E_{x_0y_0}$. Otherwise we replace such a triple by z_* , thus obtaining an $\mathfrak{X}'$ -word w^F that defines the string representation $F(M(w))$ from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$. On the contrary, since $z_* \not\sim x$ for any $x \in \mathcal{X}'$, it only can occur at the beginning or end of a $\mathfrak{X}'$ -word v . Replacing it, respectively, with $z \sim x_0 \overset{d}{-} y_0$ or with $y_0 \overset{d}{-} x_0 \sim z$, we obtain an $\mathfrak{X}$ -word v^G defining the string representation $G(M(v))$. These two operations are mutually converse, so we get a one-to-one correspondence between string representations from $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d)$ and those from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$. At last, if there are both $z \sim x_0$ and $z' \sim y_0$, each time, when w_1 or w_1^* occurs in a word w , it matches, respectively, $w_z = z \sim x_0 \overset{d}{-} y_0 \sim z'$ or $w_{*z} = z' \sim y_0 \overset{d}{-} x_0 \sim z$. We replace these fragments, respectively, with $z_* \sim z'_*$ or $z'_* \sim z_*$. It gives again a one-to-one correspondence between string representations compatible with the functors F and G . If the $\mathfrak{X}'$ -word w is cyclic, so is the new $\mathfrak{X}'$ -word w^F and vice versa. Moreover, both w and w^F are decorated, so we also get a compatible one-to-one correspondence between band representations. Moreover, one easily sees that equivalent $\mathfrak{X}$ -words produce equivalent $\mathfrak{X}'$ -words and vice versa, which accomplishes the proof in Case 4.

Case 5. Let $M_{x_0y_0} = p^d A$, where $A \in \text{Mat}(m_x \times m_x, D)$ and $\nu(A) = 0$. Set $A \bmod p = \bar{A}$. Using transformations of type (T1), one can transform the matrix $\bar{A}$ to the Frobenius normal form, so we suppose that is already so. Let $\bar{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{A}_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A}_1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\bar{A}_0$ is nilpotent and $\bar{A}_1$ is invertible. Moreover, one can reduce A to the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\bar{A}_0 = A_0 \bmod p$ and $\bar{A}_1 = A_1 \bmod p$. Using transformations of type (T2), one can make zero all rows and columns outside M_{xy} that match the rows and columns of $\bar{A}_1$. Then M splits as $M_0 \oplus M_1$, where M_1 has a unique non-zero block, namely, the (x_0y_0) one, which equals A_1 , while the (x_0y_0) -block of M_0 equals A_0 . According to our rules, M_1 is a direct

sum of band representations $M(W, m_i, \phi_i(t))$, where $W = (x_0 \sim y_0, d)$ and $\phi_i(t)^{m_i}$ are the characteristic polynomials of the Frobenius blocks occuring in A_1 . We define $\mathbf{S}$ as the set of all such band representations. Therefore, in what follows we may suppose that $A = A_0$, thus $\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^l r_k J_k$, where J_k is the nilpotent Jordan matrix of size $k \times k$. It is convenient to rewrite the direct sum $r_k J_k$ as

$$J(k, r_k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{r_k} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_{r_k} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I_{r_k} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, we can make zero all rows (columns) in all matrices M_{xy} that match the nonzero rows (columns) of $\bar{A}_0$, except the units on the places where they are in $\bar{A}$. We call the representations with these properties $(x_0 y_0)$ -reduced. Now we define the new bunch of chains $\mathfrak{X}'$ as follows.

- (1) $\mathcal{E}' = (\mathcal{E} \setminus \{x_0\}) \cup \{e_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where e_k are new symbols.
- (2) $\mathcal{F}' = (\mathcal{F} \setminus \{y_0\}) \cup \{f_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where f_k are new symbols.
- (3) $e_k \sim f_k$; all other relations $\sim$ are the same as in $\mathfrak{X}$.
- (4) The elements e_k (f_k) inherit the relations $-, \triangleleft, \prec$ with the elements of $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{x_0, y_0\}$ from the element x_0 (respectively, y_0); we also add the relations $e_k - f_j$ for all k, j , $e_j \trianglelefteq e_k$ and $f_k \trianglelefteq f_j$ if $j \leq k$.

Every object of $\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d) / \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ is isomorphic to an $(x_0 y_0)$ -reduced one, thus we only have to construct $FM = N$ for such representations. But it has actually been done: now we only have to cross out in all matrices defining M all rows (columns) that match the non-zero rows (columns) of $\bar{A}$. Afterwards, we have to take for $N_{e_k y}$, or of $N_{x f_j}$, or of $N_{e_k f_j}$ the block, respectively, of $M_{x_0 y}$, or of $M_{x y_0}$, or of $M_{x_0 y_0}$ corresponding, respectively, to the zero rows of $J(k, r_k)$, or to zero columns of $J(r_j, k_j)$, or to both. Just in the same way F can be defined on morphisms.

In order to construct a representation $M = GN$ corresponding to a representation of $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$, we set

- $M_{xy} = N_{xy}$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.
- $M_{xy_0} = (N_{x f_1} \mid N_{x f_2} \ 0 \mid N_{x f_3} \ 0 \ 0 \mid \dots \mid N_{x f_l} \ 0 \dots 0)$ for $x \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{x_0\}$, where l is the biggest number such that f_l occurs in N . Analogously $M_{x_0 y}$ is defined for $y \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{y_0\}$.
- $M_{x_0 y_0} \oplus k = 1^l J(k, n_{e_k})$ (note that $n_{e_k} = n_{f_k}$).

Just as in Case 4, one easily verifies that $FG \simeq \text{Id}$, $GF(M) \simeq M$ and $GF(f) - f \in \mathcal{R}$.

Let $w_k = \underbrace{y_0 \sim x_0 - y_0 \sim x_0 - \dots y_0 \sim x_0}_{k \text{ times}}$. If $M(w)$ is a string representation from

$\text{rep}(\mathfrak{X}; x_0, y_0, d) \setminus \mathbf{S}$, find all fragments of the word w of the form $x - w_k - y$ and $y - w_k^* - x$, where $x \neq x_0$, $y \neq y_0$ there may be no x or y if w_k or w_k^* occur at the beginning or at the end of w). For each of such fragments we replace w_k (w_k^*) by $e_k \sim f_k$ ($f_k \sim e_k$). Thus we get an $\mathfrak{X}'$ -word w^F such that $FM(w) \simeq M(w^F)$. The inverse procedure just replace every fragment $e_k \sim f_k$ ($f_k \sim e_k$) by w_k (w_k^*). It gives us, for every string representation $M(v)$ from $\text{rep}^+(\mathfrak{X}'; x_0, y_0, d)$, a string representation $M(v^G) \simeq GM(v)$, and accomplishes the proof for Case 5.

Case 3. We suppose that y_0 is decorated, while x_0 is not (the opposite case is quite analogous). Here we must consider the whole matrix $M_{X_0 Y_0}$ (actually, it only has one

horizontal stripe corresponding to x_0). Recall that here we suppose that $d = 0$, so $M_0 = M_{X_0 Y_0}$ is a matrix over D . Let $Y_0 = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. (y_0 coincide with one of y_k) One can reduce the matrix M_0 to the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|ccc} 0 & I & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ pN_0 & 0 & pN_1 & 0 & \dots & pN_k & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Here the matrices $M_{x_0 y_i}$ are shown by the blocks consisting of two vertical stripes (they are marked out). We do not precise the sizes of the unit matrices I . They may differs in different blocks; moreover, some of them may be lacking (for instance, it is always the case if $y_i \triangleleft y_0$). Now, using the transformations of type (T2), one can make zero all rows and columns that match those of unit parts of M_0 . If there is no element $z \sim x_0$, $z \neq x_0$, and no element $z' \sim y_i$, $z' \neq y_i$, then M has a direct summand $E_{x_0 y_i} = M(x_0 - y_i)$; moreover, it is a unique case when a fragment $x_0 - y_i$ can occur in $\mathfrak{X}$ -words. In what follows, we suppose that M does not have any such direct summands, i.e. either there is an element $z \sim x_0$ or (and) there is an element $z'_i \sim y_i$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. For such elements we introduce new symbols z_{i*} or (and) z'_{i*} and define the new bunch of chains $\mathfrak{X}'$ as follows:

- (1) $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \cup \{z_{i*}, z'_{i*} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$; z_{i*} or z'_{i*} belongs to $\mathcal{E}'$ if z or z'_i was in $\mathcal{E}$, and to $\mathcal{F}$ if z or z_i was in $\mathcal{F}$.
- (2) All relations $x \sim y$, $x \neq y$ in $\mathfrak{X}'$ are the same as in $\mathfrak{X}$ except the case, when there are both an element $z \sim x$, $z \neq x$ and an element $z'_i \sim y_i$, $z'_i \neq y_i$; then we add the relation $z_{i*} \sim z'_{i*}$.
- (3) The order relations ($\trianglelefteq$ and $\preceq$) between the elements of $\mathcal{X}$ remain the same in $\mathfrak{X}'$. If z_{i*} (z'_{i*}) is the new element inserted above, we set $z_{i*} \prec z$ ($z'_i \triangleleft z'_{i*}$), and $z_{i*} \triangleleft z_{j*}$ ($z'_{i*} \triangleleft z'_{j*}$) if $y_i \triangleleft y_j$. The other order relations for z_{i*} (z'_{i*}) are the same as for z (z'_i).
- (4) All relations – for the elements from $\mathcal{X}$ are the same as in $\mathfrak{X}$; for new elements z_{i*} (z'_{i*}) we set $z_{i*} - x$ if and only if $z - x$ (respectively, $z'_{i*} \sim x$ if and only if $z'_i \sim x$).

We also set $\mathbf{S} = \{E_{x_0 y_i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq k\}$. Now the construction of F and G , as well as of the correspondence for strings and bands coincide in fact with that for Case 5 with the obvious changes necessary to deal with several objects y_i instead of one object y_0 as in Case 5.

Corollary 7.7. *Let A be a degenerate cusp. Then the category of Cohen-Macaulay modules over A is representation tame.*

In the next section we shall see how these results about representations of decorated bunches of chains can be translated back to obtain an explicit description of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules.

8. EXPLICIT DESCRIPTION OF COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES OVER CERTAIN DEGENERATE CUSPS

In this section we consider in details three important examples of degenerate cusps: $k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^3 - xyz)$, $k[x, y, z]/xyz$ and $k[x, y, z, w]/(xy, zw)$.

8.1. Singularity $T_{23\infty}$. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 - xyz)$ be a $T_{23\infty}$ -singularity. Recall that its normalization R is $k[[u, v]]$, where $u = \frac{y}{x}$ and $v = \frac{xz - y}{x}$. Next, $I = (x, y)A = (uv)R$ is the conductor ideal. Hence $\bar{A} = A/I = k[[z]]$, whereas $\bar{R} = k[[u, v]]$. The canonical map $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ maps z to $u + v$. We have: $Q(\bar{A}) \cong k((z))$ and $Q(\bar{R}) \cong k((u)) \times k((v))$.

Let $(\bar{M}, V, \theta)$ be an object of $\text{Tri}(A)$. Since R is regular, we have: $\bar{M} \cong R^m$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Next, V is just a vector space over the field $k((z))$, hence $V \cong k((z))^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. The gluing map θ is given by a pair of matrices of full row rank and the same size: $\theta = (\theta_u, \theta_v) \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(k((u))) \times \text{Mat}_{m \times n}(k((v)))$. The transformation rule is

$$(27) \quad (\theta_u, \theta_v) \mapsto (S_1^{-1}\theta_u T, S_2^{-1}\theta_v T),$$

where $T \in \text{GL}(n, k((z)))$ and $S_1 \in \text{GL}(m, k[[u]])$, $S_2 \in \text{GL}(m, k[[v]])$ are such that $S_1(0) = S_2(0)$. This matrix problem is an example of representations of a decorated bunch of chains. By Theorem 7.6, the pair (θ_u, θ_v) splits into a direct sum of the following indecomposable blocks.

Continuous series. Let $l, t \geq 1$ be positive integers, $\omega = ((m_1, n_1), \dots, (m_t, n_t)) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^2)^t$ be a “non-periodic sequence” such that $\min(m_i, n_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\lambda \in k^*$. Then we have the corresponding canonical form:

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_u &= \begin{pmatrix} u^{m_1} E & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & u^{m_2} E & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & u^{m_{t-1}} E & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & u^{m_t} E \end{pmatrix} \\ \theta_v &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v^{n_2} E & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v^{n_3} E & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & v^{n_t} E \\ v^{n_1} J(\lambda) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E = E_l$ is the identity $l \times l$ -matrix and $J(\lambda) = J_l(\lambda)$ is the Jordan block of size $l \times l$ with the eigenvalue λ . The triple $(R^{tl}, k((z))^{tl}, (\theta_u, \theta_v))$ defines an indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay module $M(\omega, l, \lambda)$, which is locally free of rank tl on the punctured spectrum of A . Moreover, any indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay module which is locally free on the punctured spectrum, is described by a triple of the above form.

Discrete series. Indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -modules which are not locally free on the punctured spectrum, correspond to the string representations. They are described by a single discrete parameter $\omega = (m_0(m_1, n_1), \dots, (m_t, n_t), n_{t+1})$, where $m_0 = n_{t+1} = 1$ and $\min(m_i, n_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Consider the matrices θ_u and θ_v of the size $(t+1) \times (t+2)$ given as follows:

$$(29) \quad \theta_u = \begin{pmatrix} u^{m_0} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & u^{m_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & u^{m_t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v^{n_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v^{n_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & v^{n_{t+1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case $t = 0$ we set $\theta_u = (1 \ 0)$ and $\theta_v = (0 \ 1)$. The triple $(R^{t+1}, k((z))^{t+1}, (\theta_u, \theta_v))$ defines an indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -module $N(\omega)$ of rank $t+1$, which is not

locally free on the punctured spectrum. Moreover, any indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -module, which is not locally free on the punctured spectrum is isomorphic to a module of the form $N(\omega)$. $\square$

Our next goal is to describe an algorithm to construct Cohen-Macaulay A -modules corresponding to the canonical forms (28) and (29). The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 8.1. *Let (θ_u, θ_v) be the canonical form given either by a band data (ω, l, λ) or by a string data ω . Let $\theta = \theta_u + \theta_v \in \text{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{A}^p, \bar{R}^q)$ be the corresponding morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules, where $p = q = tl$ in the case of bands and $p = t + 2, q = t + 1$ in the case of strings. Then the induced morphism of $Q(\bar{A})$ -modules $\mathbb{1} \otimes \theta : Q(\bar{A})^p \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})^q$ is given by the original matrices (θ_u, θ_v) , where we use the canonical isomorphism $Q(\bar{A}) \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{R} \rightarrow Q(\bar{R})$.*

As a consequence, we get a complete description of the indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over the ring $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 - xyz)$.

Corollary 8.2. *Let (θ_u, θ_v) be the canonical form defined either by a band data (ω, l, λ) or by a string data ω and $\theta = \theta_u + \theta_v$ and p, q be as in Lemma 8.1. Consider the matrix*

$$\bar{\theta} := (xI_q \mid yI_q \mid \theta) \in \text{Mat}_{q \times 2q+p}(R).$$

Let $L \subseteq R^q$ be the A -module generated by the columns of the matrix $\bar{\theta}$. Then the Cohen-Macaulay A -module $M := L^\dagger = L^{\vee\vee}$ satisfies:

$$\mathbb{F}(M) \cong (R^q, k((z))^p, (\theta_u, \theta_v)).$$

In other words, M is the indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -module corresponding to the canonical forms (28) and (29).

Corollary 8.2 allows to deduce the following result.

Proposition 8.3. *For the ring $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 - xyz)$ the classification of the Cohen-Macaulay A -modules of rank one is as follows.*

- (1) *There exists exactly one module $N = N(1, 1)$, which is not locally free on the punctured spectrum. We have the following A -module isomorphisms: $N \cong I \cong R$.*
- (2) *The rank one Cohen-Macaulay A -modules, which are locally free on the punctured spectrum, have the following shape:*

$$M((1, m), \lambda) \cong I_{m, \lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad M((m, 1), 1, \lambda) \cong J_{m, \lambda},$$

$$\text{where } m \geq 1, \lambda \in k^*, I_{m, \lambda} = \langle x^{m+1}, yx^{m-1} + \lambda(xz - y)^m \rangle \subset A \text{ and } J_{m, \lambda} = \langle x^{m+1}, y^m + \lambda x^{m-1}(xz - y) \rangle \subset A.$$

Proof. The fact that there exists precisely one Cohen-Macaulay A -module of rank one, which is not locally free on the punctured spectrum, follows from our classification given in Corollary 8.2. Both modules I and R have share the property to be Cohen-Macaulay of rank one, and to be not locally free on the punctured spectrum.

Let $\theta_u = u^m$, $\theta_v = \lambda v^n$ and $\theta = \theta_u + \theta_v$, where $\lambda \in k^*$ and $\min(m, n) = 1$. Then unless $\max(m, n) = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$, the cokernel of the morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules $\theta : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ has no finite length submodules. By Lemma 4.10 and Corollary 8.2 we get:

$$M((m, 1), 1, \lambda) = \langle x, y, u^m + \lambda v \rangle_A \subseteq R \quad \text{and} \quad M((1, m), 1, \lambda) = \langle x, y, u + \lambda v^m \rangle_A \subseteq R.$$

Next, observe that $u = \frac{y}{x}$ fulfills the equation $u^2 - zu + x = 0$. By induction it is not difficult to show that for any $m \geq 2$ there exists polynomials $p_m(X, Z)$ and $q_m(X, Z)$ from

$k[[X, Z]]$ such that the following equality holds in R : $u^m = p_m(x, z)u + q_m(x, z)$. Using this fact it is not difficult to derive that

$$y \in \langle x, u + \lambda v^m \rangle_A \cong \langle x^{m+1}, x^m y, x^{m-1} y + \lambda(xz - y)^m \rangle_A.$$

In a similar way, $y \in \langle x, u^m + \lambda v \rangle_A \cong \langle x^{m+1}, x^m y, y^m + \lambda x^{m-1}(xz - y) \rangle_A$. $\square$

It is very instructive to compute the matrix factorizations corresponding to some rank one Cohen-Macaulay A -modules. Note that the conductor ideal I corresponds to the matrix factorization $\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ -y & x^2 - yz \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & x^2 - yz \end{pmatrix} \right)$.

Consider now the family of modules $M((1, 1), 1, \lambda) \cong \langle x, \frac{y}{x} + \lambda \frac{xz - y}{x} \rangle_A$, where $\lambda \in k^* \setminus \{1\}$. The special value $\lambda = 1$ has to be treated separately: in this case we have $M((1, 1), 1, \lambda) \cong A$. For $\lambda \neq 1$ we know that $M((1, 1), 1, \lambda) = \langle x^2, y + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} xz \rangle_A$. The new moduli parameter $\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1}$ takes its values in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1 : -1)\} = (k \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \{-1\}$. One can check that $M((1, 1), 1, \lambda)$ has a presentation:

$$A^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x + \mu(\mu + 1)z^2 & y + \mu xz \\ y - (\mu + 1)xz & -x^2 \end{pmatrix}} A^2 \longrightarrow M((1, 1), 1, \lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that the forbidden value $\mu = -1$ corresponds to the module $R \cong I$, whereas the value $\mu = \infty$ corresponds to the regular module A . In other words, the “pragmatic moduli space” of the rank one modules $M((1, 1), 1, \lambda)$ can be naturally compactified to the nodal cubic curve $zy^2 = x^3 + x^2z$, where the unique singular point corresponds to the unique rank one Cohen-Macaulay A -module, which is not locally free on the punctured spectrum.

Next, let us compute the matrix factorization describing the family $M((2, 1), 1, \lambda)$. By Corollary 8.2 we have:

$$M((2, 1), 1, \lambda) = \langle x^3, y^2 + \lambda(xz - y)x \rangle_A = \langle x^3, xyz + \lambda(xz - y)x \rangle_A \cong \langle x^2, y(z - \lambda) + \lambda xz \rangle_A.$$

This family has the following presentation:

$$A^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x(z - \lambda)^2 + \lambda z^3 & y(z - \lambda) - \lambda xz \\ y(z - \lambda) - xz^2 & x^2 \end{pmatrix}} A^2 \longrightarrow M((2, 1), 1, \lambda) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Our approach can be also applied to describe Cohen-Macaulay modules of higher rank. Consider the long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0,$$

corresponding to the generator of the A -module $\text{Ext}_A^2(k, A) \cong k$. The module D is called the *fundamental module*. By a result of Auslander [3], it is Cohen-Macaulay and it plays a central role in the theory of almost split sequences in the category $\text{CM}(A)$. Let us compute a presentation of D using our method. First, one can show that D corresponds to the triple

$$\left(R^2, k((z))^2, \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \cong \left(R^2, k((z))^2, (\theta_u = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}, \theta_v = \begin{pmatrix} v & v \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}) \right).$$

Consider the module N given by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \bar{A}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\theta} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I^2 & \longrightarrow & R^2 & \longrightarrow & \bar{R}^2 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Then we have:

$$N = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} xy \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ xy \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} xz \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ xy \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_A \subseteq A^2$$

and $D \cong N^\dagger$. Note that the element $a := \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A^2$ does not belong to N , however $ma \in N$. Applying Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 2.5 we conclude that

$$D \cong N^\dagger = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -x^2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ xy \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ xy \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_A \subseteq A^2.$$

Moreover, D has the following presentation:

$$A^4 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & z & x & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & x \\ yz-x^2 & 0 & y & -z \\ 0 & yz-x^2 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} A^4 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 0.$$

One can check that we have an isomorphism $D \cong \text{syz}^3(k)$, what matches with a result obtained by Yoshino and Kawamoto [44].

Remark 8.4. By results of Kahn [27] and Drozd, Greuel and Kashuba [17] it is known that the isolated hypersurface singularity $B = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^3 + y^2 + z^p - \lambda xyz)$, where $p \geq 6$ and $\lambda \in k^*$, has tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. On the other hand, a concrete description of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay B -modules still remains widely unknown. It would be interesting to know what Cohen-Macaulay modules over the degenerate cusp A can be deformed to Cohen-Macaulay modules over the normal surface singularity B .

8.2. Cohen-Macaulay modules over the ordinary triple point $k[[x, y, z]]/xyz$. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/xyz$ be a $T_{\infty\infty\infty}$ -singularity and

$$\pi : A \longrightarrow R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3 = k[[x_1, y_2]] \times k[[y_1, z_2]] \times k[[z_1, x_2]]$$

be its normalization, where $\pi(x) = x_1 + x_2$, $\pi(y) = y_1 + y_2$ and $\pi(z) = z_1 + z_2$. Let $I = \text{ann}_A(R/A)$ be the conductor ideal. Then we have:

$$I = \langle xy, xz, yz \rangle_A = \langle x_1y_2, y_1z_2, z_1x_2 \rangle_R.$$

Next, we have: $\bar{A} = A/I = k[[x, y, z]]/(xy, xz, yz)$ and $\bar{R} = R/I = \bar{R}_1 \times \bar{R}_2 \times \bar{R}_3 = k[[x_1, y_2]]/(x_1y_2) \times k[[y_1, z_2]]/(y_1z_2) \times k[[z_1, x_2]]/(z_1x_2)$. It is convenient to introduce the ring $\tilde{A} = k[[x]] \times k[[y]] \times k[[z]]$. Note that the canonical map $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ factorizes through $\tilde{A}$.

Our goal is to describe indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over A which are locally free on the punctured spectrum.

Definition 8.5. Consider the following *band data*:

- a non-periodic sequence of integers

$$\omega = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1, f_2), (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2, f_3), \dots, (a_t, b_t, c_t, d_t, e_t, f_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{6t},$$

$$t \geq 1 \text{ such that } \min(a_i, f_i) = \min(b_i, c_i) = \min(d_i, e_i) = 1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

- a positive integer $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a continuous parameter $\lambda \in k^*$.

Then we attach to the data (ω, l, λ) the following matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_1^x &= \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{a_1} E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_1^{a_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_1^{a_t} E \end{pmatrix} & \Theta_1^y &= \begin{pmatrix} y_2^{b_1} E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^{b_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & y_2^{b_t} E \end{pmatrix} \\
\Theta_2^y &= \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{c_1} E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & y_1^{c_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & y_1^{c_t} E \end{pmatrix} & \Theta_2^z &= \begin{pmatrix} z_2^{d_1} E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z_2^{d_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_2^{d_t} E \end{pmatrix} \\
\Theta_3^x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2^{f_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_2^{f_t} E \\ x_2^{f_1} J & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \Theta_3^z &= \begin{pmatrix} z_1^{e_1} E & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z_1^{e_2} E & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_1^{e_t} E \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

where $E = E_l$ is the identity $l \times l$ matrix and $J = J_l(\lambda)$ is the Jordan block of size $l \times l$ with the eigenvalue λ . Denote

$$\Theta^x = \Theta_1^x + \Theta_3^x, \quad \Theta^y = \Theta_1^y + \Theta_2^y \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^z = \Theta_2^z + \Theta_3^z.$$

The indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -module $M = M(\omega, l, \lambda)$ attached to the band data (ω, l, λ) is constructed by the following recipe:

- (1) Consider the A -linear morphism $\tilde{\Theta} = [\Theta^x | \Theta^y | \Theta^z] : \tilde{A}^{lt} \rightarrow \bar{R}^{lt}$, where we write $\tilde{A}^{lt} = k[[x]]^{lt} \oplus k[[y]]^{lt} \oplus k[[z]]^{lt}$ and use the isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(k[[x]], \bar{R}) \cong k[[x]]$ etc.

- (2) Consider the torsion free A -module $L = L(\omega, l, \lambda)$ given by the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{lt} & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & \tilde{A}^{lt} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\Theta} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{lt} & \longrightarrow & R^{lt} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}^{lt} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

- (3) From the above description it follows that L is the A -submodule of R^{lt} generated by the columns of the matrix

$$\left(x_1 y_2 E \mid y_1 z_2 E \mid z_1 x_2 E \mid \Theta^x \mid \Theta^y \mid \Theta^z \right) \in \text{Mat}_{lt \times 6lt}(R).$$

- (4) Finally, we have: $M(\omega, l, \lambda) = L(\omega, l, \lambda)^{\vee\vee}$. Moreover, the following sequence is exact: $0 \rightarrow L(\omega, l, \lambda) \rightarrow M(\omega, l, \lambda) \rightarrow H_{\{m\}}^0(\text{coker}(\bar{\Theta})) \rightarrow 0$.

The ring $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$ is a subring of the total ring of fractions $Q(A)$. The units of R_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) are the idempotents

$$e_1 = \frac{xy}{xy + yz + xz}, \quad e_2 = \frac{yz}{xy + yz + xz} \quad \text{and} \quad e_3 = \frac{xz}{xy + yz + xz}.$$

It is easy to see that $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 1$ and $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. In these notations we can write the elements $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2$ of the normalization R as elements of the total ring of fractions $Q(A)$ in the following way:

$$x_1 = e_1 x, \quad y_2 = e_1 y, \quad y_1 = e_2 y, \quad z_2 = e_2 z, \quad z_1 = e_3 z \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = e_3 x.$$

Next, the element $xy + yz + xz \in A$ is not a zero divisor. Since the module L is torsion free, we have: $L \cong (xy + yz + xz) \cdot L \subseteq A^{lt}$. As a corollary, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 8.6. *Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(xyz)$ and (ω, l, λ) be a band data as in Definition 8.5. Consider the following matrices:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Theta}_1^x &= \begin{pmatrix} x^{a_1+1}yE & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x^{a_2+1}yE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x^{a_t+1}yE \end{pmatrix} & \tilde{\Theta}_1^y &= \begin{pmatrix} y^{b_1+1}xE & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y^{b_2+1}xE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & y^{b_t+1}xE \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_2^y &= \begin{pmatrix} y^{c_1+1}zE & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y^{c_2+1}zE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & y^{c_t+1}zE \end{pmatrix} & \tilde{\Theta}_2^z &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{d_1+1}yE & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z^{d_2+1}yE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z^{d_t+1}yE \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{\Theta}_3^x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{f_2+1}zE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x^{f_t+1}zE \\ x^{f_1+1}zJ & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \tilde{\Theta}_3^z &= \begin{pmatrix} z^{e_1+1}xE & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z^{e_2+1}xE & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z^{e_t+1}xE \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $E = E_l$ is the identity $l \times l$ matrix and $J = J_l(\lambda)$ is the Jordan block of size $l \times l$ with the eigenvalue λ . Denote $\tilde{\Theta}^x = \tilde{\Theta}_1^x + \tilde{\Theta}_3^x$, $\tilde{\Theta}^y = \tilde{\Theta}_1^y + \tilde{\Theta}_2^y$, $\tilde{\Theta}^z = \tilde{\Theta}_2^z + \tilde{\Theta}_3^z$ and consider the A -module $L'(\omega, l, \lambda)$ generated by the columns of the matrix

$$\left((xy)^2 E \mid (yz)^2 E \mid (xz)^2 E \mid \tilde{\Theta}^x \mid \tilde{\Theta}^y \mid \tilde{\Theta}^z \right) \in \text{Mat}_{lt \times 6lt}(A).$$

Then we have:

- (1) The module $M(\omega, l, \lambda) := L'(\omega, l, \lambda)^{\vee\vee}$ is an indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay module over A . Moreover, it is locally free of rank lt on the punctured spectrum.
- (2) Any indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay module over A which is locally free of rank one on the punctured spectrum, is isomorphic to some module $M(\omega, l, \lambda)$.
- (3) $M(\omega, l, \lambda) \cong M(\omega', l', \lambda')$ if and only if $l = l'$, $\lambda = \lambda'$ and ω' is obtained from ω by a cyclic shift.

Remark 8.7. The indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -modules which are not locally free on the punctured spectrum, correspond to the string data. They can be described along similar lines as above, what is left to the reader as an exercise.

Corollary 8.8. Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module which is locally free of rank one on the punctured spectrum. Then we have:

$M \cong M(\omega, \lambda) := \langle (xy)^2, (yz)^2, (xz)^2, x^{m_1+1}y + \lambda x^{m_2+1}z, y^{n_1+1}z + y^{n_2+1}x, z^{l_1+1}x + z^{l_2+1}y \rangle_A$ for some $\omega = ((m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2), (l_1, l_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}^6$ and $\lambda \in k^*$, where

$$\min(m_1, m_2) = \min(n_1, n_2) = \min(l_1, l_2) = 1.$$

Moreover, $M(\omega, \lambda) \cong M(\omega', \lambda')$ if and only if $\omega = \omega'$ and $\lambda = \lambda'$.

The above description can be elaborated one step further.

Proposition 8.9. Let M be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module which is locally free of rank one on the punctured spectrum. Then M is generated either by two or by three elements.

1. Assume M is generated by two elements. Then there exists a bijection $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow \{x, y, z\}$, a pair of integers $p, q \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in k^*$ such that $M = \text{coker}(A^2 \xrightarrow{\theta} A^2)$ with $\theta = \theta_i((p, q), \lambda)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, where

$$\theta_1((p, q), \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ v^p + \lambda w^q & vw \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_2((p, q), \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u + v^p w^q & w^{q+1} \\ u^{q+1} & vw \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\theta_3((p, q), \lambda) = \theta_1((p, q), \lambda)^{\text{tr}}$.

2. Assume M is generated by three elements. Then there exists a bijection $\{u, v, w\} \rightarrow \{x, y, z\}$, a triple of integers $m, n, l \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in k^*$ such that $M = \text{coker}(A^3 \xrightarrow{\theta} A^3)$ with $\theta = \theta_i((m, n, l), \lambda)$, $4 \leq i \leq 7$, where

$$\theta_4((m, n, l), \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u & w^l & 0 \\ 0 & v & u^m \\ \lambda v^n & 0 & w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta_5((m, n, l), \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u & w^l & \lambda v^n \\ 0 & v & u^m \\ 0 & 0 & w \end{pmatrix},$$

$\theta_6((m, n, l), \lambda) = \theta_4((m, n, l), \lambda)^{\text{tr}}$ and $\theta_7((m, n, l), \lambda) = \theta_5((m, n, l), \lambda)^{\text{tr}}$.

Proof. By Corollary 8.8 we know that $M \cong M(\omega, \lambda) = L(\omega, \lambda)^{\vee\vee}$ for some $\omega = ((m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2), (l_1, l_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}^6$ and $\lambda \in k^*$, where $\min(m_1, m_2) = \min(n_1, n_2) = \min(l_1, l_2) = 1$.

Case 1a. Assume $m_1 = n_1 = l_1 = 1$. Denote for simplicity $m_2 = m$, $n_2 = n$, $l_2 = l$ and

$$u_1 = x^2y + \lambda x^{m+1}z, \quad u_2 = y^2z + y^{n+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad u_3 = z^2x + z^{l+1}y.$$

Then we have: $(xy)^2 = xu_1$, $(yz)^2 = yu_2$ and $(xz)^2 = zu_3$. Hence, $L = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle_A$. Moreover, in this case L is already Cohen-Macaulay and we have an exact sequence

$$A^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} z & -y^n & 0 \\ 0 & x & -z^l \\ -\lambda x^m & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} A^3 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Case 1b. Assume $m_2 = n_2 = l_2 = 1$. Again, we denote $m_1 = m$, $n_1 = n$, $l_1 = l$ and

$$v_1 = x^{m+1}y + \lambda x^2z, \quad v_2 = y^{n+1}z + y^2x \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 = z^{l+1}x + z^2y.$$

Then we have: $(xy)^2 = zv_1$, $(yz)^2 = yv_3$ and $\lambda(xz)^2 = zv_1$. Hence, $L = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle_A$. As in the previous case, the module L is Cohen-Macaulay and we have an exact sequence

$$A^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda x & 0 & -y^n \\ -z^l & y & 0 \\ 0 & -x^m & z \end{pmatrix}} A^3 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Case 2. Assume $m_1 = l_1 = n_2 = 1$. Denote $m_2 = m$, $n_1 = n$ and $l_2 = l$. The case $n = 1$ has been already treated in Case 1a. Hence, without a loss of generality we may assume that $n \geq 2$. Denote

$$w_1 = x^2y + \lambda x^{m+1}z, \quad w_2 = y^{n+1}z + y^2x \quad \text{and} \quad w_3 = z^2x + z^{l+1}y.$$

We have: $(xy)^2 = xw_1$ and $(xz)^2 = xw_3$. Hence, $L = \langle (yz)^2, w_1, w_2, w_3 \rangle_A$. Note that the case $m = l = 1$ has been already considered in Case 1b.

Case 2a. Assume $m = 1$ and $l \geq 2$. Consider the element $r = xy + y^n z + \lambda(xz + z^l y) \in A$ and the module $\tilde{L} = \langle L, r \rangle_A \subseteq A$. First note that

$$xr = w_1, \quad yr = w_2 + \lambda z^{l-2}(yz)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad zr = y^{n-2}(yz)^2 + \lambda w_3.$$

By Lemma 2.5, the Macaulayfications of the modules L and $\tilde{L}$ are isomorphic. Moreover, $\tilde{L} = \langle r, (yz)^2 \rangle_A$ is Cohen-Macaulay and we have an exact sequence

$$A^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & y^{n-1} + \lambda z^{l-1} \\ 0 & -yz \end{pmatrix}} A^2 \longrightarrow \tilde{L} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Case 2b. Assume $m \geq 2$. The case $l = 1$ reduces to the Case 2a. Hence, we may without a loss of generality assume that $l \geq 2$. Consider the element $r = xy + y^n z + \lambda x^m z \in A$ and $\tilde{L} = \langle L, r \rangle_A \subseteq A$. Then we have: $xr = w_1$, $yr = w_2$ and $zr = y^{n-2}(yz)^2 + \lambda x^{m-1}w_3$. Hence, the Macaulayfications of L and $\tilde{L}$ are isomorphic. Next, $\tilde{L} = \langle (yz)^2, w_3, r \rangle_A$ is Cohen-Macaulay and it has a presentation

$$A^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & -z^{l-1} & -y^{n-2} \\ 0 & y & -\lambda x^{m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix}} A^3 \longrightarrow \tilde{L} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that the case $n = 2$ has to be treated separately because in that case the presentation is not minimal. Indeed, $(yz)^2 = z(xy + y^2z + \lambda x^m z) = zr$. Hence,

$$\tilde{L} = \langle xz^2 + yz^{l+1}, xy + y^2z + \lambda x^m z \rangle_A \subseteq A$$

is generated by two elements. It is easy to see that $\tilde{L}$ has a presentation

$$A^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda y + x^{m-1}z^{l-1} & z^l \\ x^m & xz \end{pmatrix}} A^2 \longrightarrow \tilde{L} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The remaining cases reduce to the ones considered above. $\square$

8.3. Cohen-Macaulay modules over $k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xy, uv)$. It seems that the only concrete examples of families of indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over surface singularities, which have been constructed so far, deal with the case of hypersurface singularities. From this perspective it is particularly interesting to consider the case of a degenerate cusp $A = k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xy, uv)$. Indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules over A can be described using the same technique as in Subsection 8.1 and Subsection 8.2. Omitting the details, we state the following result.

Proposition 8.10. *Let $A = k[[x, y, u, v]]/(xy, uv)$, $J = \langle (xu)^2, (xv)^2, (yu)^2, (yv)^2 \rangle_A$ and M be a Cohen-Macaulay A -module which is locally free of rank one on the punctured spectrum. Then $M \cong M(\omega, \lambda) :=$*

$$\langle J, x^{m_1+1}u + \lambda x^{m_2+1}v, u^{n_1+1}y + u^{n_2+1}x, y^{p_1+1}v + y^{p_2+1}u, v^{q_1+1}x + v^{q_2+1}y \rangle_A^{\vee\vee} \subseteq A$$

for some $\omega = ((m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2), (p_1, p_2), (q_1, q_2)) \in \mathbb{Z}^8$ and $\lambda \in k^*$, where

$$\min(m_1, m_2) = \min(n_1, n_2) = \min(p_1, p_2) = \min(q_1, q_2) = 1.$$

Moreover, $M(\omega, \lambda) \cong M(\omega', \lambda')$ if and only if $\omega = \omega'$ and $\lambda = \lambda'$.

Remark 8.11. In the above notations, let $m_1 = n_1 = p_1 = q_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = m, n_2 = n, p_2 = p, q_2 = q$. Then the corresponding Cohen-Macaulay module is

$$M = M(\omega, \lambda) = \langle x^2u + \lambda x^{m+1}v, u^2y + u^{n+1}x, y^2v + y^{p+1}u, v^2x + v^{q+1}y \rangle_A \subseteq A.$$

Moreover, M has a presentation

$$A^8 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v & u^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & v^q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u & 0 & \lambda x^m & 0 & 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} A^4 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

9. COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS OF DISCRETE AND TAME REPRESENTATION TYPE

First note that our results on classification of Cohen-Macaulay modules over surface singularities imply the following interesting result for non-reduced curve singularities.

Theorem 9.1. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $f = x^2y^2$ or $x^2y^2 + x^p$, $p \geq 3$ and $A = k[[x, y]]/f$. Then the curve singularity A has tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type.*

Proof. By a result of Knörrer [30], the ring A has the same Cohen-Macaulay representation type as the surface singularity $B = k[[x, y, z]]/(f + z^2)$. Hence, it is sufficient to observe that B is a degenerate cusp. Indeed, $u^2 + uvw = (u + \frac{1}{2}vw)^2 - \frac{1}{4}v^2w^2 = z^2 - x^2y^2$ for $z = u + \frac{1}{2}vw$, $x = v$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}w$. In a similar way, $u^2 + v^p + uvw = (u + \frac{1}{2}vw)^2 + v^p - \frac{1}{4}v^2w^2 = z^2 + x^p - x^2y^2$ for $z = u + \frac{1}{2}vw$, $x = v$ and $y = \frac{1}{2}w$. □

Remark 9.2. Note that Knörrer's periodicity theorem [30] only requires that the characteristic of the base field k is different from two. Since the normalization of the singularity $k[[u, v, w]]/(u^2 + uvw)$ is a product of two regular rings, it is tame in the case of an arbitrary characteristic. Hence, $k[[x, y]]/(xy)^2$ is tame provided $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$. We conjecture that the rings from Theorem 9.1 are tame in the case of an arbitrary field k .

Note that by a result of Drozd and Greuel [15], the reduced curve singularities $T_{p,q}(\lambda) = k[[x, y]]/x^p + y^q + \lambda x^2y^2$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \in k^*$, have tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type for an arbitrary field k , see also [10].

Next, we describe some surface singularities with infinitely but only countably many indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules. In a work of Buchweitz, Greuel and Schreyer [8] it was shown that the hypersurface singularities $k[[x, y, z]]/(xy)$ (A_∞ -singularity) and $k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2y + z^2)$ (D_∞ -singularity, also called “swallowtail”) have countable (also called discrete) Cohen-Macaulay representation type, see also [9] for a different proof. Moreover, they have shown that these two singularities are the only two-dimensional hypersurface singularities of countable Cohen-Macaulay representation type. This lead Schreyer [35] to the following question.

Question 9.3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and $A = k[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]/J$ be a Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity over k of countable Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Is it true that A is isomorphic to the ring of invariants of A_∞ or D_∞ with respect to a finite group of automorphisms?*

It turns out that the answer on this question is negative. We follow our notation from Section 5 and in particular of Theorem 5.5.

Definition 9.4. Let $t \geq 2$ and $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^t$ be a collection of integers such that $0 \leq m_i < n_i$, and $\gcd(m_i, n_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $R_i = R(n_i, m_i) = k[[u_i, v_i]]^{C_{n_i, m_i}} \subseteq k[[u_i, v_i]]$ be the corresponding cyclic quotient singularity, $J_i \subseteq R_i$ the ideal defined in Theorem 5.5, $\bar{R}_i = R_i/J_i \cong k[[x_i, y_i]]/(x_i y_i)$ and

$$C := k[[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_t]]/\langle z_i z_j \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq t \rangle.$$

We set $A := A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \subseteq R$ to be the ring defined by the following pull-back diagram in the category of commutative rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \bar{R}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{R}_t, \end{array}$$

where π is the canonical map, and γ is given by the rule: $\gamma(z_0) = x_1$, $\gamma(z_i) = y_i + x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $\gamma(z_t) = y_t$.

Proposition 9.5. *Let $A = A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ be the ring introduced in Definition 9.4. Then we have:*

(1) *The ring A is a complete reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity and R is the normalization of A .*

(2) *Let $I = \text{ann}_A(R/A)$ be the conductor ideal. Then we have the following isomorphisms:*

$$A/I \cong k[[z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}]]/\langle z_i z_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq t-1 \rangle \text{ and } Q(A/I) = k((z_1)) \times \dots \times k((z_{t-1})).$$

Moreover, $R/I \cong k[[y_1]] \times k[[x_2, y_2]]/x_2 y_2 \times \dots \times k[[x_{t-1}, y_{t-1}]]/x_{t-1} y_{t-1} \times k[[x_t]]$ and the canonical map $A/I \rightarrow R/I$ maps z_i to $y_i + x_{i+1}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$.

The proof of this proposition is the same as of Proposition 5.2 and is therefore skipped. $\square$

Remark 9.6. By Proposition 9.5, the ring $A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ has the same total ring of fractions as $R(n_1, m_1) \times \dots \times R(n_t, m_t)$. Hence, it has t irreducible components. Note that $A(1, 0) \cong k[[u, v, w]]/uv$ is the A_∞ -singularity.

As is Subsection 6.2, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 9.7. In the above notations, let $A = A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$. Then a description of Cohen-Macaulay modules over A reduces to the following matrix problem. Let $D = k[[z]]$, $\mathfrak{m} = zk[[z]]$ and $K = k((z))$.

- (1) We have $2(t-1)$ matrices $X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{t-1}$ over the field K . More precisely, $Y_i \in \text{Mat}_{d_i \times l_i}(K)$ and $X_{i+1} \in \text{Mat}_{d_{i+1} \times l_i}(K)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. In particular, for all $2 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrices X_i and Y_i have the same number of rows and for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrices X_{i+1} , Y_i have the same number of columns.
- (2) For any $2 \leq i \leq t-2$, the matrices X_i and Y_i are divided into horizontal stripes labeled by the elements of the set $\Pi_i = \Pi(n_i, m_i) = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$ introduced in Definition 6.6. Moreover, the blocks labeled by the same letter $p \in \Pi_i$, have the same number of rows (which is allowed to be zero). Similarly, the matrices Y_1 and X_t are divided into horizontal stripes labeled by the elements of $\Pi(n_1, m_1)$ and $\Pi(n_t, m_t)$ respectively.
- (3) The matrices $X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{t-1}$ satisfy the following additional constraints:
 - (a) For any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrices X_{i+1} and Y_i have a full row rank.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X_{i+1} \\ Y_i \end{pmatrix}$ has a full column rank.
- (4) It is allowed to do the following transformations of X_{i+1}, Y_i , $1 \leq i \leq t-1$:
 - (a) One can perform any elementary transformations of rows of the matrices $X_2, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{t-1}$ with coefficients in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ one can do any *simultaneous* transformations of columns of the matrices X_{i+1} and Y_i over the *field of fractions* K .
 - (c) For any $2 \leq i \leq t-1$ and any $p \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ one can do any *simultaneous* transformations of rows of the horizontal stripes of the matrices X_{i+1} and Y_i marked by the label p over the *residue field* k .
 - (d) Let $2 \leq i \leq t$ be fixed and $p \neq q \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ be two labels. If $p <_x q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix X_i of the block labeled by p to any row of X_i of the block labeled by q .
 - (e) Similarly, if $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, $p \neq q \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ are such that $p <_y q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix Y_i of the block labeled by p to any row of Y_i of the block labeled by q .

Corollary 9.7 shows that classification of Cohen-Macaulay modules over the surface singularity $A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ reduces to representations of a certain decorated bunch of chains. Moreover, by Theorem 7.6 this matrix problem has discrete representation type and the following result is true.

Theorem 9.8. Let $t \geq 2$, $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^t$ be a collection of integers such that $0 \leq m_i < n_i$ and $\gcd(m_i, n_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $A = A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ be the ring introduced in Definition 9.4. Then there exists only countably many indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -modules. Moreover, any such module has multi-rank of the type $(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. As we have shown before, the matrix problem stated in Corollary 9.7 has discrete representation type. Moreover, indecomposable objects of the category of triples $\text{Tri}(A)$ are described by discrete parameters (i, j, ω) , where

- (1) The parameters i and j are positive integers such that $1 \leq i \leq j \leq t$.
- (2) The parameter $\omega = ((m_i, n_i), \dots, (m_j, n_j))$ has the following shape:

- (a) $m_l, n_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i+1 \leq l \leq j-1$, whereas $m_i, n_j \in \{1, \infty\}$;
- (b) If $i = 1$ then we automatically have $m_1 = \infty$. Similarly, for $j = t$ we automatically have $n_j = \infty$.
- (c) for all $i \leq l \leq j-1$ we have: $\min(m_{l+1}, n_l) = 1$.

Then the corresponding triple $T_{i,j}(\omega) = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Tri}(A))$ is the following:

- (1) $V = k((z_i))^{\delta_{m_i, \infty}} \oplus k((z_{i+1})) \oplus \cdots \oplus k(z_j) \oplus k((z_{j+1}))^{\delta_{n_j, \infty}}$.
- (2) $\widetilde{M} = R_i \oplus \cdots \oplus R_j^{\delta_{j, \infty}}$.
- (3) Finally, the gluing morphism θ is given by the matrices $X_l = (z^{m_l})$ and $Y_l = (z^{n_l})$ for $i \leq l \leq j$. If $m_i = \infty$ (resp. $n_j = \infty$) then the corresponding matrix X_i (resp. Y_j) is empty.

Let $M = M_{i,j}(\omega)$ be the Cohen-Macaulay module corresponding to the triple $T_{i,j}(\omega)$, i.e. $\mathbb{F}(M_{i,j}(\omega)) = T_{i,j}(\omega)$. Then we have: $Q \otimes_A M \cong Q_i \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_j$, where $Q = Q(A) = Q(R)$ is the total ring of fractions of A and $Q_l = Q(R_l)$ is the quotient field of R_l , $1 \leq l \leq t$. This proves the statement about the multi-rank of an indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay A -module. $\square$

Remark 9.9. The spectrum of the ring of invariants of the ring A_∞ or D_∞ with respect to the action of a finite group of automorphisms has at most two irreducible components. The spectrum of the ring $A((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ has t irreducible components, where t can be arbitrarily large. This shows that the answer on Question 9.3 is negative.

It turns out that the technique developed in this paper can be applied to other interesting classes of non-isolated surface singularities.

Example 9.10. Let k be a field, $R = k[[x, y]]$, $\bar{R} = k[[x, y]]/xy$ and $\pi : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be the canonical morphism. Let $\bar{A} = k[[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]]/\tilde{x}\tilde{y}$ and $\gamma : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ the ring homomorphism given by the rule $\gamma(\tilde{x}) = x^2$ and $\gamma(\tilde{y}) = y^2$. Consider the ring A given by the pull-back diagram (12) in the category of commutative rings. Then we have:

$$k[[x, y]] \supseteq A = k[[x^2, y^2, xy, x^2y, y^2x]] \cong k[[u, v, w, a, b]]/\langle uv - w^2, ab - w^3, aw - bu, bw - av \rangle.$$

Moreover, A is an integral Cohen-Macaulay surface singularity and R is its normalization. Note that $\text{Ext}_A^2(k, A) \cong k^2$, hence A is not Gorenstein. Let $I = \text{ann}_A(R/A)$ be the conductor ideal. Then we have: $I = \langle w, a, b \rangle_A = \langle xy \rangle_R$. In particular, we can identify A/I with $\bar{A}$, R/I with $\bar{R}$ and the canonical ring homomorphism $A/I \rightarrow R/I$ with γ . The classification of Cohen-Macaulay A -modules reduces to the following matrix problem.

Let $K = k((z))$, $L = k((z^2))$, $D = k[[z]]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = zk[[z]]$. We have a pair of matrices $\Theta' \in \text{Mat}_{m \times p}(K)$ and $\Theta'' \in \text{Mat}_{m \times q}(K)$ of full row rank such that for the decompositions

$$\Theta' = \Theta'_0 + z\Theta'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta'' = \Theta''_0 + z\Theta''_1$$

with $\Theta'_0, \Theta'_1 \in \text{Mat}_{m \times p}(L)$ and $\Theta''_0, \Theta''_1 \in \text{Mat}_{m \times q}(L)$ the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \Theta'_1 \\ \Theta'_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \Theta''_1 \\ \Theta''_2 \end{pmatrix}$ have full column rank. One can do the following transformations:

$$(30) \quad (\Theta', \Theta'') \mapsto (S'^{-1}\Theta'T', S''^{-1}\Theta''T''),$$

where S', S'' are arbitrary matrices from $\text{GL}_m(D)$ such that $S'(0) = S''(0)$ and $T' \in \text{GL}_p(L), T'' \in \text{GL}_q(L)$.

Definition 9.11. Let $t \geq 1$ and $((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^t$ be a collection of integers such that $0 \leq m_i < n_i$, and $\gcd(m_i, n_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $R_i = R(n_i, m_i) = k[[u_i, v_i]]^{C_{n_i, m_i}} \subseteq k[[u_i, v_i]]$ be the corresponding cyclic quotient singularity, $J_i \subseteq R_i$ the ideal

defined in Theorem 5.5, $\bar{R}_i = R_i/J_i \cong k[[x_i, y_i]]/(x_i y_i)$ and $\pi : R \rightarrow \bar{R}_1 \times \dots \bar{R}_t$ to be the canonical projection. Consider the ring

$$C := k[[u, z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}, v]]/\langle z_i z_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq t-1; u z_i, v z_i; 1 \leq i \leq t-1 \rangle.$$

- (1) Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow \bar{R}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{R}_t$ be the ring homomorphism given by the rule: $\gamma(u) = x_1^2$, $\gamma(z_i) = y_i + x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $\gamma(z_t) = y_t$.
- (2) In a similar way, let $\tilde{\gamma} : C \rightarrow \bar{R}_1 \times \dots \times \bar{R}_t$ be given by the rule: $\gamma(u) = x_1^2$, $\gamma(z_i) = y_i + x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $\gamma(z_t) = y_t^2$.
- (3) We set $D((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) = \pi^{-1}(\gamma(C))$.
- (4) In a similar way, we define $\tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t)) := \pi^{-1}(\tilde{\gamma}(C))$.

Remark 9.12. Note that $D(1, 0) \cong k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 y - z^2)$ is a hypersurface singularity of type D_∞ and $\tilde{D}(1, 0)$ is the ring considered in Example 9.10. Along the same lines as in Proposition 5.2 it can be shown that $D((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ and $\tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ are reduced Cohen-Macaulay surface singularities whose normalization is the ring $R(n_1, m_1) \times \dots \times R(n_t, m_t)$.

As in Subsection 6.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 9.13. In the above notations, let $A = \tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$. Then a description of Cohen-Macaulay modules over A reduces to the following matrix problem. Let $D = k[[z]]$, $\mathfrak{m} = zk[[z]]$, $K = k((z))$ and $L = k((z^2))$.

- (1) We have $2t$ matrices $X_1, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ over the field K . For all $1 \leq i \leq t$ the matrices X_i and Y_i have the same number of rows and for all $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrices X_{i+1} , Y_i have the same number of columns.
- (2) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$, the matrices X_i and Y_i are divided into horizontal stripes labeled by the elements of the set $\Pi_i = \Pi(n_i, m_i) = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$ introduced in Definition 6.6. Moreover, the blocks labeled by the same letter $p \in \Pi_i$, have the same number of rows (which is allowed to be zero).
- (3) The matrices $X_1, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{t-1}$ satisfy the following constraints:
 - (a) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ the matrices X_i and Y_i have a full row rank.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} X_{i+1} \\ Y_i \end{pmatrix}$ has a full column rank.
 - (c) Let $X_1 = X'_1 + zX''_1$ and $Y_t = Y'_t + zY''_t$, where X'_1, X''_1 and Y'_t, Y''_t are matrices with entries from the field L . Then the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} X'_1 \\ X''_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} Y'_t \\ Y''_t \end{pmatrix}$ have full column rank.
- (4) It is allowed to do the following transformations of X_i, Y_i , $1 \leq i \leq t$:
 - (a) One can perform any elementary transformations of rows of the matrices $X_1, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$ with coefficients in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.
 - (b) For any $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ one can do any simultaneous transformations of columns of the matrices X_{i+1} and Y_i over the field K .
 - (c) One can perform any independent transformations of columns of the matrices X_1 and Y_t over the field L .
 - (d) For any $1 \leq i \leq t$ and any $p \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ one can do any simultaneous transformations of rows of the horizontal stripes of the matrices X_i and Y_i marked by the label p over the residue field k .
 - (e) Let $1 \leq i \leq t$ be fixed and $p \neq q \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ be two labels. If $p <_x q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix X_i of the block labeled by p to any row of X_i of the block labeled by q .

- (f) Similarly, if $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, $p \neq q \in \Pi(n_i, m_i)$ are such that $p <_y q$ then one can add a multiple over k of any row of the matrix Y_i of the block labeled by p to any row of Y_i of the block labeled by q .

Remark 9.14. The matrix problem corresponding to the classification of Cohen-Macaulay modules over the ring $D((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ is very similar to the one described in Corollary 9.13. The only difference with that case is that we have only $2t-1$ matrices $X_1, \dots, X_t$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{t-1}$ (the last “special matrix” Y_t , which was conjugate with X_t , is missing). However, the allowed transformations of this collection of matrices are the same as in Corollary 9.13.

Remark 9.15. In the case of the singularity $D(1, 0) = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2y - z^2)$, the corresponding matrix problem was treated in our survey article [9]. We have shown that in this case there exist only countably many indecomposable Cohen-Macaulay modules (what was already proven by Buchweitz, Greuel and Schreyer [8] by other methods). It turns out that the singularities $D((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ have discrete Cohen-Macaulay representation type and the singularities $\tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ have tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. This follows from the classification result for the corresponding matrix problem, recently announced by Drozd-Koroleva.

We conclude this section by stating several conjectures about Cohen-Macaulay representation type of non-isolated surface singularities.

Conjecture 9.16. *Let k be an algebraically closed field and A be a reduced complete Cohen-Macaulay non-isolated surface singularity.*

- (1) *Assume A has discrete Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Then A is isomorphic either to a singularity of type $A((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ or to a singularity of type $D((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$.*
- (2) *Assume A has tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Then A is isomorphic either to a singularity of type $\tilde{A}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ or to a singularity of type $\tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$.*

The second conjecture is inspired by our study of Cohen-Macaulay modules over surface singularities. However, it can be stated in pure deformation-theoretic terms.

Conjecture 9.17. *Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $X \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a flat morphism of Noetherian schemes over k of relative dimension two. For a closed point $b \in B$ denote by X_b the scheme-theoretic fiber $\pi^{-1}(b)$. Let $b_0 \in B$ be a closed point and $X_0 = X_{b_0}$ be the corresponding fiber. Assume that for all closed points $b \in B \setminus \{b_0\}$ the surface X_b is normal.*

- (1) *Assume X_0 has only singularities of types $A((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ or $D((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$. Then there exists on open neighborhood B' of b_0 such that for all $b \in B' \setminus \{b_0\}$ the surface X_b has only quotient singularities.*
- (2) *Assume X_0 has only degenerate cusps as singularities. Then there exists on open neighborhood B' of b_0 such that for all $b \in B' \setminus \{b_0\}$ the surface X_b has only simple, simple elliptic or cusp singularities.*
- (3) *Assume X_0 has only singularities of types $\tilde{A}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$ or $\tilde{D}((n_1, m_1), \dots, (n_t, m_t))$. Then there exists on open neighborhood B' of b_0 such that for all $b \in B' \setminus \{b_0\}$ the surface X_b has only quotient or log-canonical singularities.*

The evidence for this conjecture is the following. By results of Auslander [3] and Esnault [20] it is known that the quotient surface singularities are the only surface singularities of finite Cohen-Macaulay representation type. In particular, the representation-finite Gorenstein surface singularities are precisely the simple hypersurface singularities.

By results of Kahn [27] and Drozd, Greuel and Kashuba [17] it is known that log-canonical surface singularities have tame Cohen-Macaulay representation type. Moreover, it is conjectured that these are the only tame normal surface singularities. The semi-continuity conjecture (known to be true in the case of the reduced curve singularities, see [29] and [14]) claims that the representation type can be only improved by a flat local deformation: Cohen-Macaulay finite singularities deform to Cohen-Macaulay finite singularities, Cohen-Macaulay discrete singularities deform to Cohen-Macaulay finite or discrete singularities and Cohen-Macaulay tame singularity can not deform to Cohen-Macaulay wild singularities. On the other hand, Esnault and Viehweg have shown that the class of quotient surface singularities is closed under deformations [21].

10. APPENDIX: CATEGORY OF TRIPLES IN DIMENSION ONE

The goal of this section is to provide full details of a construction, which allows to reduce a description of Cohen-Macaulay modules over a reduced local Noetherian ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ of Krull dimension *one* to a matrix problem. It seems that for the first time it appeared in a work of Drozd and Roiter [18]. Similar constructions can be also found in works of Ringel and Roggenkamp [34], Green and Reiner [23] and Wiegand [41]. Since this construction plays a key role in our approach to Cohen-Macaulay modules over non-isolated surface singularities and its presentation in all above references is essentially different from the one given below, we have decided to give its detailed exposition in this appendix.

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced local Noetherian ring of Krull dimension one, $A \subseteq R$ be a finite ring extension such that $R \subset Q(A)$, where $Q(A)$ is the total ring of fractions of A . Let $I = \text{ann}_A(R/A) \cong \text{Hom}_A(R, A)$ be the corresponding conductor ideal. In the main applications, R is taken to be the normalization of A . Recall that if one assume the completion $\hat{A}$ to be reduced then the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is automatically finite, see [7, Chapitre 9, AC IX.33]. The following result is well-known.

Lemma 10.1. *In the notations as above, I is a sub-ideal of the Jacobson's radical of R . Moreover, the rings $\bar{A} = A/I$ and $\bar{R} = R/I$ have finite length.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_t$ be the set of the maximal ideals of R . Since the ring extension $A \subseteq R$ is finite, for any $1 \leq i \leq t$ we have: $\mathfrak{n}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{m}$. Since I is a proper ideal in A , it is contained in $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence, I is contained in $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cap \mathfrak{n}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{n}_t$, too.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in A of height zero. Since the ring A is reduced, the localization $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field. On the other hand $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \text{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Hence, $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\bar{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. It implies that the associator of the A -module $\bar{A}$ is $\{\mathfrak{m}\}$, hence $\bar{A}$ has finite length. Since $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{R}$ is finite, $\bar{R}$ has finite length, too. $\square$

Lemma 10.2. *For a Cohen-Macaulay A -module M we denote by*

$$\widetilde{M} := R \otimes_A M / \text{tor}_R(R \otimes_A M), \quad \overline{M} := \bar{A} \otimes_A M \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{M} := \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}.$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (1) $\widetilde{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module over R ;
- (2) the canonical morphism of A -modules $M \xrightarrow{j_M} \widetilde{M}$, $m \mapsto [1 \otimes m]$ is injective;

- (3) the canonical morphism $\overline{M} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\theta}_M} \widehat{M}$, $\bar{a} \otimes m \mapsto \bar{a} \otimes j_M(m)$ is injective and the induced morphism $\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \overline{M} \xrightarrow{\theta_M} \widehat{M}$, $\bar{r} \otimes \bar{m} \mapsto \bar{r} \cdot \tilde{\theta}_M(\bar{m})$ is surjective.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{M}$ is a torsion free Noetherian R -module, it is Cohen-Macaulay over R . Next, M is a torsion free A -module, hence $\ker(j_M)$ is again torsion free. However, the morphism

$$Q(A) \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j_M} Q(R) \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$$

is an isomorphism, hence $\ker(j_M) = 0$. As a result, the morphism $IM \xrightarrow{\bar{j}_M} I\widetilde{M}$, which is a restriction of j_M , is also injective. Moreover, $\bar{j}_M$ is also surjective: for any $a \in I, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ we have: $a \cdot [b \otimes m] = [ab \otimes m] = [1 \otimes (ab) \cdot m]$ and $ab \in I$.

Next, note that we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(31) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & IM & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \overline{M} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{j}_M & & \downarrow j_M & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta}_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since j_M is injective and $\bar{j}_M$ is an isomorphism, by the snake lemma $\tilde{\theta}_M$ is a monomorphism. Finally, it remains to note that θ_M coincides with the composition of canonical morphisms:

$$\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} \bar{A} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R R \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R (R \otimes_A M / \text{tor}_R(R \otimes_A M)),$$

where the first morphism is an isomorphism and the second one is an epimorphism. $\square$

Definition 10.3. Consider the following *category of triples* $\text{Tri}(A)$. Its objects are the triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module, V is a Noetherian $\bar{A}$ -module and $\theta : \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ is an epimorphism of $\bar{R}$ -modules such that the induced morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules $\tilde{\theta} : V \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V \xrightarrow{\theta} \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}$ is a monomorphism. A morphism between two triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ and $(\widetilde{M}', V', \theta')$ is given by a pair (ψ, φ) , where $\psi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ is a morphism of R -modules and $\varphi : V \rightarrow V'$ is a morphism of $\bar{A}$ -modules such that the following diagram of A -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V & \longrightarrow & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M} \\ \downarrow 1 \otimes \varphi & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \psi \\ \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V' & \longrightarrow & \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}' \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Remark 10.4. Note that the morphisms θ and $\tilde{\theta}$ correspond to each other under the canonical isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V, \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(V, \bar{R} \otimes_R \widetilde{M})$.

Definition 10.3 is motivated by the following theorem.

Theorem 10.5. *The functor $\mathbb{F} : \text{CM}(A) \longrightarrow \text{Tri}(A)$ mapping a Cohen-Macaulay module M to the triple $(\widetilde{M}, \overline{M}, \theta_M)$, is an equivalence of categories.*

Moreover, the category of free A -modules is equivalent to the full subcategory $\text{Tri}^{\text{fr}}(A)$ consisting of those triples $(\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ for which $\widetilde{M}$ and V are free modules and the morphism θ is an isomorphism.

Proof. We have to construct a functor $\mathbb{G} : \text{Tri}(A) \longrightarrow \text{CM}(A)$, which is quasi-inverse to $\mathbb{F}$. For a triple $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ consider the canonical morphism $\gamma := \gamma_{\widetilde{M}} : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow \widehat{M} := \bar{R} \otimes_R M$ and define $N := \mathbb{G}(T)$ by taking a kernel of the following morphism in $A\text{-mod}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -\iota \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} \widetilde{M} \oplus V \xrightarrow{(\gamma \ \tilde{\theta})} \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Equivalently, we have a commutative diagram in the category of A -modules

$$(32) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In other words, $N = \gamma^{-1}(\text{Im}(\tilde{\theta}))$.

Since $\tilde{\theta}$ is a monomorphism, the snake lemma implies that ι is a monomorphism, too. Moreover, $\widetilde{M}$ is torsion free viewed as A -module, hence N is torsion free as well. From the definition of morphisms in the category $\text{Tri}(A)$ and the universal property of a kernel it follows that the correspondence $\text{Tri}(A) \ni T \mapsto N \in \text{CM}(A)$ uniquely extends on the morphisms in $\text{Tri}(A)$. Hence, $\mathbb{G}$ is a well-defined functor.

Let M be a Noetherian A -module and $\pi_M : M \longrightarrow \bar{M} := \bar{A} \otimes_A M$ be the canonical morphism. Then we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -JM \\ \pi_M \end{pmatrix}} \widetilde{M} \oplus \bar{M} \xrightarrow{(\gamma_{\widetilde{M}} \ \tilde{\theta}_M)} \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0,$$

yielding an isomorphism of functors $\xi : \mathbb{1}_{\text{CM}(A)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G} \circ \mathbb{F}$. This implies that $\mathbb{F}$ is fully faithful and $\mathbb{G}$ is dense and full on the essential image of the functor $\mathbb{F}$.

Next, we show the functor $\mathbb{G}$ is faithful. Let $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$ and $T' = (\widetilde{M}', V', \theta')$ be a pair of objects in $\text{Tri}(A)$ and $(\varphi, \psi) : T \rightarrow T'$ be a morphism in $\text{Tri}(A)$. Let $M = \mathbb{G}(T)$, $M' = \mathbb{G}(T')$ and $\phi = \mathbb{G}((\varphi, \psi))$. Then we have a commutative diagram in the category of A -modules:

$$(33) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M} \oplus V & \xrightarrow{(\gamma \ \theta)} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \psi \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \widehat{\varphi} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M}' \oplus V' & \xrightarrow{(\gamma' \ \theta')} & \widehat{M}' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

First note that $(\varphi, \psi) = 0$ in $\text{Tri}(A)$ if and only if $\varphi = 0$. Indeed, one direction is obvious. To show the second, let $\varphi = 0$. Then $\widehat{\varphi} = 0$ and $\theta' \circ \psi = 0$. Since θ' is a monomorphism, we have: $\psi = 0$.

Next, a morphism of Cohen-Macaulay R -modules $\varphi : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ is zero if and only if $\mathbb{1} \otimes \varphi : Q(A) \otimes_A \widetilde{M} \rightarrow Q(A) \otimes_A \widetilde{M}'$ is zero in $Q(A)\text{-mod}$. Assume the morphism of triples $(\varphi, \psi) : T \rightarrow T'$ is no-zero. Apply the functor $Q(A) \otimes_A -$ on the diagram (33). It follows that $\mathbb{1} \otimes \phi \neq 0$, hence $\mathbb{G}((\varphi, \psi)) \neq 0$ as well. Hence, $\mathbb{G}$ is faithful.

Hence, it remains to show the functor $\mathbb{F}$ is dense. For this, it is sufficient to prove that for any object $T = (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta) \in \text{Tri}(A)$ we have: $T \cong \mathbb{F}\mathbb{G}(T)$.

Let $N := \mathbb{G}(T)$, so that we have the diagram (32). In these notations, the morphism $\iota : N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ restricts to the morphism $\bar{\iota} : IN \rightarrow I\widetilde{M}$ such that the following diagram is

commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} IN & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & N \\ \bar{\iota} \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota \\ \widetilde{IM} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \widetilde{M}, \end{array}$$

where ε is the canonical inclusion. From the equality $\beta\bar{\iota} = \iota\alpha\bar{\iota} = \iota\varepsilon$ and the fact that ι is a monomorphism, we conclude that $\alpha\bar{\iota} = \varepsilon$. In particular, we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I\widetilde{N} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\widetilde{N}}} & \widetilde{N} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\widetilde{N}}} & \widehat{N} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \bar{j}_N \uparrow & & \uparrow j_N & & \uparrow \tilde{\theta}_N \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & IN & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi_N} & \bar{N} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \bar{\iota} \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \varphi \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{IM} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & N & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & = \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \tilde{\theta} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{IM} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{\widetilde{M}}} & \widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\widetilde{M}}} & \widehat{M} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

First observe that by the snake lemma, the morphism φ is an epimorphism. Next, there exists a unique morphism of R -modules $\psi : \widetilde{N} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ such that $\psi \circ j_N = \iota$ in the category $A\text{-mod}$. This follows from the natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_A(N, \widetilde{M}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A N, \widetilde{M}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{M}).$$

Since the morphisms ι and j_N are rational isomorphisms (i.e. they become isomorphisms after applying the functor $Q(A) \otimes_A -$) the map ψ is a rational isomorphism, too. Hence, ψ is a monomorphism.

Consider the morphism $\widehat{\psi} : \widehat{N} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ induced by ψ . It is not difficult to see that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} N & \xrightarrow{\theta_N} & \widehat{N} \\ \mathbb{1} \otimes \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \widehat{\psi} \\ \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{A}} V & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \widehat{M}. \end{array}$$

Since the morphisms θ, θ_N and φ are epimorphisms, $\widehat{\psi}$ is an epimorphism, too. Moreover, by Lemma 10.1, I is a subideal of the Jacobson's radical of R . Hence, by Nakayama's lemma, ψ is an epimorphism, hence an isomorphism.

Note, that the map $I\widetilde{N} \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} \widetilde{IM}$, which is a restriction of ψ , is again an isomorphism. Since $\bar{\iota} = \bar{\psi} \circ \bar{j}_N$ and $\bar{j}_N$ is an isomorphism, $\bar{\iota}$ is an isomorphism, too. Hence, φ is an isomorphism as well. It remains to observe that we get the following isomorphism in the category $\text{Tri}(A)$: $(\psi, \varphi) : (\widetilde{N}, \bar{N}, \theta_N) \rightarrow (\widetilde{M}, V, \theta)$, what can be checked by a simple diagram chasing left for the reader. This concludes the proof of the fact that $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{G}$ are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories.

It remains to characterize triples corresponding to the images of free modules. One direction is clear: if $M = A^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ then $\mathbb{F}(M) \cong (R^n, \bar{A}^n, \theta)$, where θ is given by the identity matrix in $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\bar{R})$.

On the other hand, let $T = (R^n, \bar{A}^n, \theta)$ be a triple, such that the morphism $\theta \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\bar{R})$ is an isomorphism. First note the induced morphism $\tilde{\theta} : \bar{A}^n \rightarrow \bar{R}^n$ is automatically injective. Next, Nakayama's lemma implies that the canonical morphism $\text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{R})$ is an epimorphism. This means that θ can be lifted to an isomorphism $\psi : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ and we get an isomorphism of triples $(\psi, \mathbb{1}) : (R^n, \bar{A}^n, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow (R^n, \bar{A}^n, \theta)$. Hence, the triple T belongs to the image of the functor $\mathbb{F}$. Since $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{G}$ are quasi-inverse equivalences of categories, this concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin and J.-L. Verdier, *Reflexive modules over rational double points*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), no. **1**, 79–82.
- [2] M. Atiyah, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957) 414–452.
- [3] M. Auslander, *Rational singularities and almost split sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **293** (1986), no. **2**, 511–531.
- [4] C. Baciuc, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and stable vector bundles*, Computational commutative and non-commutative algebraic geometry, 65–73, NATO Sci. Ser. III Comput. Syst. Sci., **196** (2005).
- [5] L. Bodnarchuk, I. Burban, Yu. Drozd and G.-M. Greuel, *Vector bundles and torsion free sheaves on degenerations of elliptic curves*, Global aspects of complex geometry, 83–128, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [6] V. M. Bondarenko, *Representations of bundles of semichained sets and their applications*, Algebra i Analiz **3** (1991), no. **5**, 38–61.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 8 et 9*, Springer (2006).
- [8] R. Buchweitz, G.-M. Greuel and F.-O. Schreyer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. **1**, 165–182.
- [9] I. Burban and Yu. Drozd, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over surface singularities*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, 101–166, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, (2008).
- [10] I. Burban, O. Iyama, B. Keller and I. Reiten, *Cluster tilting for one-dimensional hypersurface singularities*, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), no. **6**, 2443–2484.
- [11] T. de Jong and G. Pfister, *Local Analytic Geometry*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg 2000.
- [12] E. Dieterich, *Tame orders*, In Topics in Algebra, Part 1 (Warsaw, 1988), Banach Center Publ. **26**, Part 1, PWN, Warsaw, 1990, 233–261.
- [13] Yu. Drozd, *Vector bundles and Cohen-Macaulay modules*, In Representations of Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics in Lie Theory and Geometry, Fields Inst. Commun., **40**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2004), 189–222.
- [14] Yu. Drozd and G.-M. Greuel, *Tame-wild dichotomy for Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), no. **3**, 387–394.
- [15] Yu. Drozd and G.-M. Greuel, *Cohen-Macaulay module type*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), no. **3**, 315–338.
- [16] Yu. Drozd and G.-M. Greuel, *Tame and wild projective curves and classification of vector bundles*, J. Algebra **246** (2001), no. **1**, 1–54.
- [17] Yu. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel and I. Kashuba, *On Cohen-Macaulay modules on surface singularities*, Mosc. Math. J. **3** (2003), no. **2**, 397–418.
- [18] Yu. Drozd and A. Roiter, *Commutative rings with a finite number of indecomposable integral representations*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967), 783–798.
- [19] D. Eisenbud, *Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), no. **1**, 35–64.
- [20] H. Esnault, *Reflexive modules on quotient surface singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **362** (1985), 63–71.
- [21] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Two-dimensional quotient singularities deform to quotient singularities*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), no. **3**, 439–449.
- [22] P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.

- [23] E. Green and I. Reiner, *Integral representations and diagrams*, Michigan Math. J. **25** (1978), no. **1**, 53–84.
- [24] G.-M. Greuel and H. Knörrer, *Einfache Kurvensingularitäten und torsionsfreie Moduln*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), no. **3**, 417–425.
- [25] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen and E. Shustin, *Introduction to Singularities and Deformations*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [26] J. Herzog, *Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. **1**, 21–34.
- [27] C. Kahn, *Reflexive modules on minimally elliptic singularities*, Math. Ann. **285** (1989), no. **1**, 141–160.
- [28] A. Kapustin and Yi Li, *Topological correlators in Landau-Ginzburg models with boundaries*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7** (2003), no. **4**, 727–749.
- [29] H. Knörrer, *Torsionsfreie Moduln bei Deformation von Kurvensingularitäten*, Singularities, Representation of Algebras and Vector Bundles, Lecture Notes Math. **1273** (1987), 150–155.
- [30] H. Knörrer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities I*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. **1**, 153–164.
- [31] L. Nazarova and A. Roiter, *About one problem of I. M. Gelfand*, Functional analysis and its applications **7**, (1973) no. **4** 54–69.
- [32] N. Popescu, *Abelian categories with applications to rings and modules*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, no. **3**, Academic Press, London-New York, (1973).
- [33] O. Riemenschneider, *Zweidimensionale Quotientensingularitäten: Gleichungen und Syzygien*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **37** (1981), 406–417.
- [34] C. Ringel and K. Roggenkamp, *Diagrammatic methods in the representation theory of orders*, J. Algebra **60** (1979), no. **1**, 11–42.
- [35] F.-O. Schreyer, *Finite and countable CM-representation type*, In Singularities, Representation of Algebras, and Vector Bundles, Lecture Notes Math. **1273** (1987), 9–34.
- [36] J. -P. Serre, *Local Algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2000).
- [37] N. Shepherd-Barron, *Degenerations with numerically effective canonical divisor*, The birational geometry of degenerations, 33–84, Progr. Math. **29**, Birkhäuser (1983).
- [38] J. Stevens, *Degenerations of elliptic curves and equations for cusp singularities*, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), no. **2**, 199–222.
- [39] J. Stevens, *Improvements of nonisolated surface singularities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), no. **1**, 129–144.
- [40] D. van Straten, *Weakly normal surface singularities and their improvements*, Dissertation, Leiden 1987.
- [41] R. Wiegand, *Noetherian rings of bounded representation type*, Commutative algebra, 497–516, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 15, 1989.
- [42] J. Wunram, *Reflexive modules on cyclic quotient surface singularities*, In Singularities, Representation of Algebras, and Vector Bundles, Lecture Notes Math. **1273** (1987), 221–231.
- [43] Y. Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay Modules over Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **146**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [44] Y. Yoshino and T. Kawamoto, *The fundamental module of a normal local domain of dimension 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), no. **1**, 425–431.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT UNIVERSITÄT BONN, ENDENICHER ALEEE 60, D-53115 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: burban@math.uni-bonn.de

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, TERESCHENKIVSKA STR. 3, 01004 KYIV, UKRAINE
E-mail address: drozd@imath.kiev.ua